TABLE OF CONTENTS

DLH Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

**Table of Contents** 

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

		FOR	M 10-K			
(Mark One)						
×	<b>■</b> ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934					
	For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012					
	□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934					
		For the transition pe	riod from to			
		Commission	File No. 0-18492			
		DLH HOLD	OINGS CORP.			
		(Exact name of registran	at as specified in its charter)			
		New Jersey (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	22-1899798 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)			
		1776 Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>30309</b> (Zip Code)			
			952-1647 umber, including area code)			
Securities	s registered pursuant to Section 12(		amost, metading area code)			
	Tit	le of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on	Which Registered		
	COMMON STO	CK, PAR VALUE \$.001 PER SHARE	THE NASDAQ STOCK M	MARKET, LLC		
Securities	s registered pursuant to Section 12(	g) of the Securities Exchange Act: NONE				
Indicate b	by check mark if the registrant is a	well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in F	Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes □ No 🗷	3		
Indicate	by check mark if the registrant is no	ot required to file reports pursuant to Section	n 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act	t. Yes □ No 🗷		
	-		ed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Ex ject to such filing requirements for the past 9	change Act during the preceding 12 months (or for 00 days. Yes ⊠ No □		
	-		on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interaction period that the registrant was required to sul	ctive Data File required to be submitted and posted bmit and post such files). Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$		
	-	-	ation S-K (ss.229.405 of this chapter) is not c reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or an	contained herein, and will not be contained, to the y amendment to this Form 10-K.		
	-	it is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the E	filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller report schange Act. (check one):	rting company. See definitions of "large		
Large acco	elerated filer	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer □  (do not check if a	Smaller reporting company <b>⊠</b>		

#### smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗵

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (March 31, 2012): \$11,967,618.

#### APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: On November 30, 2012, there were 9,265,702 shares outstanding of common stock of the Registrant.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (e.g., Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (e) under the Securities Act of 1933.

Part III of this report incorporates information by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement, which proxy statement is due to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after September 30, 2012.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	<u>3</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>11</u>
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	28 28 28
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure	<u>29</u>
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market For the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases	
	of Equity Securities	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>30</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>49</u>
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplemental Data	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>49</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>50</u>
Item 9B.	Other Information	<u>51</u>
	PART III	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	
	<u>Matters</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>52</u> <u>52</u>
	PART IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	53

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### **GENERAL**

#### Company Profile

For more than 25 years, DLH Holdings Corp. ("DLH") through its subsidiaries, has provided professional services to the U.S. Government. Headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, DLH employs approximately 1,100 skilled technicians, logisticians, engineers, healthcare and support personnel at more than 25 locations around the United States. DLH's operating subsidiary, DLH Solutions, Inc., is organized into three broad integrated business areas: Healthcare Delivery Solutions, Logistics and Technical Services, and Contingency / Staff Augmentation Services. Our government customers, a majority of whom are within the Departments of Defense ("DoD") and Veterans Affairs ("DVA"), benefit from proven leadership processes, technical excellence, industry-leading productivity and affordability enhancement tools, and Lean Six Sigma-based quality improvement processes.

In February 2012, the Company's shareholders approved a proposal to change its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. This change was driven by management in order to better align the Company's branding with its core competencies and revised strategic focus. On June 25, 2012 the Company filed an amendment to its certificate of incorporation to implement the change in its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. (together with its subsidiaries, "DLH" or the "Company" and also referred to as "we," "us" and "our"). DLH's corporate headquarters and its principal executive offices are located at 1776 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

#### Corporate History

DLH Holdings Corp. was originally incorporated in New Jersey in 1969 as a payroll staffing company. Through several strategic transactions over recent decades, the Company has evolved considerably and in early 2010, made the strategic decision to build the Company around its government services entity, DLH Solutions, Inc. This transformation began with the divestiture of its commercial business and replacement of the CEO and CFO of the Company with executives with skills and experience more closely aligned with the Company's new direction. The Company is now completely focused on government services both as a prime contractor as well as a partner with other government contractors. The Company's other wholly-owned subsidiaries are not actively operating.

#### Strategic Development

In late 2010, the Company realigned its business into three broad integrated business areas: Healthcare Delivery Solutions, Logistics & Technical Services, and Contingency/Staff Augmentation. This structure enables us to leverage our core competencies and drive towards profitable growth within our focused target markets. We intend to strategically enhance the Company's value through sustainable, profitable growth leveraging our core competencies and performance track record within current customers and adjacent markets.

During fiscal 2011, the Company continued to strengthen its leadership team and its business base, with substantial competitive contract wins (including renewals within the Department of Veterans Affairs), leading to a contract backlog of approximately three times revenue at year end. In fiscal 2011, the Company reported its first major prime DoD IDIQ contract awards, including the Navy's Seaport-e contract. In addition, Management initiated a strategic market advisory board consisting of two former high-ranking military officers aligned with the Company's top strategic focus areas (Healthcare and Logistics). During fiscal 2012, the Company invested in key initiatives to bolster its performance, roll-out its market differentiators, enhance performance tracking and reporting, and achieve

government certification of its business systems. The company made significant progress toward achieving these goals during fiscal 2012.

The Company operates primarily through prime contracts awarded competitively through full and open competition by the government. Additionally, the Company has a diverse mix of contract vehicles with various agencies of the United States Government, which we expect to support our overall corporate growth strategy. The majority of our contracts are fixed-price type contracts that were awarded on a best-value basis. As such, the Company has developed and continues to leverage a suite of solution offerings geared toward enhancing performance and productivity while reducing costs to its US government clients. With a voluntary turnover rate in the low single digits, the Company continues to demonstrate that it values and effectively supports its workforce. In addition, we have implemented a Quarterly Performance Review program which provides effective oversight to ensure that we meet or exceed safety, technical, quality, schedule, cost and customer satisfaction objectives on our projects. We also utilize various components of Lean Six Sigma and other management techniques to achieve continuous improvement. We believe that since 2010, the Company's track record of growth and improved results of operations are leading indicators of the effectiveness of these and other measures.

#### Major Lines of Business

#### Healthcare Delivery Solutions

The Healthcare Delivery Solutions business unit, provides a broad continuum of care for our nation's servicemen/women and veterans in various settings and facilities. These include Combat Trauma Centers (CTCs), Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs), Medical Centers, Community-based Outpatient Clinics (CBOCs), and Pharmacy Distribution Centers (including VA Consolidated Mail-order Outpatient Pharmacy). We leverage our network of over 400 active clinicians and other healthcare workers throughout selected regions in the US, applying differentiating tools, databases and technology (including e-PRAT and SPOT-m) to deliver these services. For over a decade, DLH Solutions has been serving the DVA and DoD in providing qualified medical and other professionals in a variety of positions. Healthcare Delivery Solutions is one of our strategic focus areas for growth and a major business area that DLH Solutions services. As more and more Federal and DoD programs increase their performance-based requirements, DLH Solutions' workforce profile of medical talent and credentials (as described above) will help it to compete and differentiate itself in the market place. Our healthcare and medical service new business pipeline adds important credentials strategically linked to diversifying and profitably growing our Healthcare Delivery Solutions business base. Professional services have included case management, health and injury assessment, critical care, medical/surgical, emergency room/trauma center, counseling, behavioral health and traumic brain injury management, medical systems analysis, and medical logistics. While the DVA is its largest customer in this business unit, the Company has focused on leveraging that experience in adjacent healthcare markets within DoD and other federal agencies. In fiscal 2012, approximately 54% of our revenue was derived from the Healthcare Delivery Solutions business unit.

#### Logistics & Technical Services

The Logistics & Technical Services business unit draws heavily upon our proven logistics expertise and processes. DLH resources possess expertise covering a wide range of logistics, readiness, and project engineering. The experience of DLH Solutions' project personnel is diverse from operational unit level to major systems and program office experience. Our core competencies include; supply chain management, performance-based logistics, distribution center and inventory management, statistical process control, packaging/handling/storage & transportation, configuration management, readiness planning and supply support operations. In addition, we provide program and project management, systems engineering and applicable information technology services, integrated logistics support (including operational systems), readiness assessments, training, equipment maintenance, hazardous

material management, facilities and shipyard support services and more. DLH Solutions also provides professional staff to the federal government specializing in logistics, office administration, IT, and facilities/warehouse management.

Through competitively awarded contracts and task orders (including its LOGWORLD contract) DLH Solutions has developed a strong portfolio of logistics processes, personnel and tools to help its clients achieve nationally recognized awards for customer satisfaction. While the DVA is its largest customer in this area, the Company has taken steps to expand in adjacent logistics markets within DoD and other federal agencies. In fiscal 2012, over 45% of our revenue was derived from the Logistics & Technical Services business unit.

#### Contingency/Staff Augmentation

The Contingency/Staff Augmentation business unit provides disaster and emergency response services and civilian workforce augmentation services. General staffing and selective recruitment process outsourcing are key components of this service area. Less than 1% of fiscal 2012 revenue was derived from the Contingency/Staff Augmentation line of service.

#### Recent Business Trends

Though the recent Federal election is now behind us, the uncertainty surrounding the budget deficit negotiations and potential sequestration continues to cause delays in funding and contract awards by government program offices. While it is unclear whether sequestration will occur and what the exact impact of it would be, we are continuously reviewing our operations and new pursuits in an attempt to identify those programs that could be at risk so that we can make appropriate contingency plans. While we may experience reduced funding on some of our targeted programs, we do not expect the cancellation of any of our major programs. In addition, financial developments in the U.S., Europe and emerging markets will continue to have a significant impact on U.S. Gross Domestic Product growth and in turn, U.S. fiscal deficits for the foreseeable future.

In addition, the government has continued to change the manner in which it purchases goods and services. We have noticed an increased emphasis on the use of low priced, technically acceptable proposal evaluations, which could challenge our ability to maintain value added differentiation to our solutions. Further, we have also seen a reduced rate of usage of T&M and sole-source contracts along with a commitment to expand small business set asides. In addition, more scrutiny is being placed on the amount of fee bid on cost reimbursable type contracts. Pricing competition is taking on an increasing role in best value determinations with more detailed pricing oversight.

Based on the above considerations, from an overall budget perspective it is likely that government discretionary spending will be constrained for several years to come. Although specific funding priorities are subject to change from year to year, we believe that our strategic business alignment around DoD and Veterans healthcare and logistics sustainment services allows us to remain well-placed to address what we consider are top national priority budget areas (along with cyberspace and intelligence). We particularly benefit from the multi-year budgeting process unique to the Department of Veterans Affairs. As with other companies operating in the Federal government market, the possibility remains, however, that one or more of our targeted programs could be cut back or terminated as a result of the budget deficit negotiations.

#### Intellectual Property

We claim copyright, trademark and other proprietary rights in a variety of intellectual property, including each of our proprietary computer software and data products and the related documentation. Because our business involves providing services to government entities, our operations generally are not substantially dependent upon obtaining and/or maintaining copyright or trademark protections,

although our operations make use of such protections and benefit from them as discriminators in competition. We maintain a number of trade secrets that contribute to our success and competitive distinction and endeavor to accord such trade secrets protection adequate to ensure their continuing availability to us.

An important aspect of the Company's strategy is the use of program management to achieve the integration of people, processes and tools. The key principles of our program management approach begin with a joint understanding of the project requirements, and include attaining and sustaining excellence from all Program Management Office staff members, consistent quality control measures, and the delivery of compliant task order solutions on time and within budget. We tailor our program management methodologies and apply them to individual task orders, depending on their complexity and duration. Our goal is to manage cost, schedule, and performance by implementing our integrated People, Processes, and Tools methodology. Our tools and processes include our electronic Practitioner Resource Allocation Tool ("ePRAT"), which is a proprietary software solution and our SPOT-m supply chain management optimization process.

#### Customers

Our primary customers are agencies of the U.S. Government. Total revenue from all agencies of the U.S. Government accounted, either directly or indirectly, for 100% of our total revenue during the 2012 fiscal year. Our largest service line is healthcare, which accounted for approximately 54% and 45% of revenue in fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. Within the U.S. Government, our largest customer in fiscal 2012 and 2011 continued to be the DVA with whom the Company held over a dozen unique contracts and/or task orders for logistics, pharmaceutical, and other medical services covering a substantial percentage of the Company's revenues as discussed in Note 13 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. During fiscal 2011 the Company was awarded contracts with an estimated value of up to \$145 million for pharmaceutical and other medical services during a period of up to five years which will both retain and expand its business with the DVA. Work under this contract began November 1, 2011. Accordingly, DLH Solutions remains particularly dependent on the continuation of its relationship with the DVA. See Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A.

The DVA's mission is one of service to the 27 million veterans who have served our country. To accomplish this mission, the DVA provides various products and services to veterans by working closely with various industry partners. These products and services include medical care, benefits, and social programs for the veteran community throughout the country.

We currently hold multiple GSA schedule contracts, under which we provide services that constitute a significant percentage of our total revenue. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 our federal supply schedule contract for professional and allied healthcare services was extended through June 30, 2017. In October 2012, our logistics worldwideservices contract was extended through November 2017.

We provide services to the U.S. Government pursuant to a variety of contract types, including fixed-price awards, indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity (IDIQ) and government wide acquisition contracts such as General Services Administration (GSA) schedule contracts, which are awarded competitively through full and open competition by the government. The majority of our contracts are fixed-price type contracts that were awarded on a best-value basis. As such, the Company has developed and continues to leverage a suite of solution offerings geared toward enhancing performance and productivity while reducing costs to its US government client. Management believes the expanded use of these differentiating tools will become even more valuable as the Federal government deficit reduction initiatives evolve. The award of an IDIQ contract does not represent a firm order for services and is subject to competitive bidding. Generally, under an IDIQ contract, the government is not

obligated to order a minimum of services or supplies from its contractor, irrespective of the total estimated contract value.

#### Backlog

At September 30, 2012, the total backlog was approximately \$153 million. Total backlog as of September 30, 2011 was approximately \$160 million. Backlog represents total estimated contract value of predominantly multi-year government contracts, based upon customer commitments that the Company believes to be firm over the remaining performance period of our contracts. Backlog value is based upon contract commitments, management judgment and assumptions about the volume of services, availability of customer funding and other factors. Our backlog may consist of both funded and unfunded amounts under existing contracts including option periods. At September 30, 2012, our funded backlog was \$65 million and our unfunded backlog was \$88 million. However, there can be no assurances that existing contracts will result in earned revenue in any future period, or at all. The value of multi-client, competitive ID/IQ awards is included in backlog computation only when a task order is awarded. A number of U.S. Government programs with which we are associated are multi-year programs, and as such a substantial portion of our backlog is expected to be filled subsequent to fiscal 2013.

#### Government Regulation

Our business is affected by numerous laws and regulations relating to the award, administration and performance of U.S. Government contracts. In addition, many Federal and state laws materially affect the Company's operations. These laws relate to ethics, labor, tax, and employment matters. As any employer, DLH is subject to Federal and state statutes and regulations governing their standards of business conduct with the government. The development of additional statutes and regulations and interpretation of existing statutes and regulations with respect to our industry can be expected to evolve over time. Through its corporate membership with the Professional Services Council and other affiliations, DLH monitors proposed and pending regulations from relevant congressional committees and government agency policies that have potential impact upon our industry and our specific strategically targeted markets. As with any commercial enterprise, DLH cannot predict with certainty the nature or direction of the development of federal statutes and regulations that will affect its business operations. See Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A.

Most of our revenue arrangements with agencies of the U.S. Government are subject to unique procurement and administrative rules. These rules are based on both laws and regulations, including the U.S. Federal Acquisition Regulations ("FAR"), that: (1) impose various profit and cost controls, (2) regulate the allocations of costs, both direct and indirect, to contracts and (3) provide for the non-reimbursement of unallowable costs. Our contract administration and cost accounting policies and practices are also subject to oversight by government inspectors, technical specialists and auditors. The U.S. Government contract bid process is complex and sometimes lengthy. Once a bid is won and a contract awarded, there still is the possibility of a bid protest or numerous delays in implementation.

The U.S. government also regulates the methods by which allowable costs may be allocated under U.S. government contracts. Our government contracts are subject to audits at various points in the contracting process. Pre-award audits are performed at the time a proposal is submitted to the U.S. government for cost-reimbursement contracts. During the performance of a contract, the U.S. government has the right to examine our costs incurred on the contract, including any labor charges, material purchases and indirect cost allocations. Upon a contract's completion, the U.S. government typically performs an incurred cost audit of all aspects of contract performance for cost-reimbursement contracts to ensure that we have performed the contract in a manner consistent with our proposal.

As is common in the U.S. defense industry, we are subject to business risks, including changes in the U.S. Government's procurement policies (such as greater emphasis on competitive procurement), governmental appropriations, and availability of funds. A reduction in expenditures by the U.S. Government for the services that we provide, lower margins resulting from increasingly competitive procurement policies, a reduction in the volume of contracts or subcontracts awarded to us or the incurrence of substantial contract cost overruns could materially adversely affect our business. All of our U.S. Government contracts can be terminated by the U.S. Government either for its convenience or if we default by failing to perform under the contract. Termination for convenience provisions provide only for our recovery of costs incurred or committed and settlement of expenses and profit on the work completed prior to termination. Termination for default provisions provide for the contractor to be liable for excess costs incurred by the U.S. Government in procuring undelivered items from another source.

#### **Employee Relations**

As of September 30, 2012, the Company employed approximately 1,100 employees performing in over 22 states throughout the U.S. The Company believes it maintains good relations with its employees as evidenced by a voluntary attrition rate of approximately 5 percent. As of this date, the Company has no employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

#### Competitive Landscape

Intense competition and long business development cycles are characteristics of our business and the government and defense industry. For major program competition, the business acquisition cycle typically ranges from 18 to 36 months for prime contractor companies. Companies may pursue work either as prime contractor or partner with other companies in a subcontractor role. Those competing as prime contractors normally expend substantially more resources than those in subcontractor roles. We partner and compete with several large and small-business companies in pursuit of acquiring new business.

In the Federal and Defense logistics and technical services sector, we compete and partner with major tier I and very large companies such as Lockheed Martin, Raytheon Company, BAE Systems, SAIC, General Dynamics, Northrop Grumman, and L-3 Communications Corporation. Other companies in our space include Honeywell, CACI, Computer Sciences Corp., Mantech, URS, DynCorp International, Deloitte and many others. We compete and partner with many of these same companies and a range of others in the healthcare delivery and healthcare information technology market, including both large and small businesses.

DLH competes with these companies by leveraging our differentiating suite of tools and uniquely integrating People, Processes, and Tools resulting in highly competitive proposals and a solid track record of past performance. We compete for awards through a full and open competition on a "best-value basis". The Company draws heavily from its consistent high quality past performance ratings, proven and evolving technical differentiators, key personnel credentials and growing market recognition to team and compete favorably against its competition. Further, the Company believes that our track record, knowledge and processes with respect to government contract bidding processes represents a competitive advantage.

Our recent and future success in this competitive landscape hinges on our ability to continue to uniquely integrate people, processes and technology tools to deliver best value solutions for our targeted clients (both government and industry partners). Due in large part to government fiscal pressures and major defense platform cancellations and budget cuts, our ability to remain cost-competitive remains important, particularly in labor-intensive markets where we can see greater pressure on margin rates.

#### **Financing**

In an effort to improve and stabilize the Company's financial position in fiscal 2012, the Company further amended its secured credit facility with Presidential Financial Corporation and also completed a rights offering in which we received \$4.2 million in gross proceeds.

In November 2011, we entered into an amendment of our Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation, pursuant to which they agreed to not seek to terminate the Loan and Security Agreement without cause until after December 31, 2012. Following that date, the terms of the original loan continue to apply, which provide that the agreement automatically renews upon each anniversary date, unless the Borrower or Lender provides written notice of intent to not renew 60 days in advance of the anniversary date. The financial institution has the ability to terminate the Company's line of credit immediately upon the occurrence of a defined event of default, including among others, a material adverse change in the Company's circumstances or if the financial institution deems itself to be insecure in the ability of the Company to repay its obligations or, as to the sufficiency of the collateral. At present, the Company has not experienced, and the financial institution has not declared, an event of default.

Further, in May 2012, we again amended the Loan and Security Agreement and Presidential Financial agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. However, as described in greater detail in Note 6 below, the Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit is subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions, and presently, the maximum availability under this loan facility is \$3,000,000; subject to eligible accounts receivable.

In addition, on March 16, 2012, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a rights offering in which its existing stockholders received non-transferable rights to purchase \$4.2 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock. Each subscription right entitled the holder to purchase 0.532 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share. In connection with the rights offering, on May 2, 2012, the Company entered into a standby purchase agreement with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. ("Wynnefield Capital"), which owned, prior to the rights offering, approximately 21% of the Company's common stock (excluding common stock warrants and a convertible note) through certain affiliated entities. The closing of the rights offering occurred on June 15, 2012 and the Company raised gross proceeds of \$4.2 million from the sale of 3,230,769 shares of common stock.

#### **Corporate**

Our principal executive offices are located at 1776 Peachtree Street NW, Suite 300S, Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Our telephone number is 866-952-1647 and our new consolidated homepage is www.dlhcorp.com. References herein to our website are provided purely as a convenience and do not constitute, and should not be viewed as, incorporation by reference of the information contained on, or available through, the website.

#### **Executive Officers**

Our executive officers are:

Name	Age	Positions
Zachary C. Parker	55	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Kathryn M. JohnBull	53	Chief Financial Officer
John F. Armstrong	63	Executive Vice President—Corporate Development
Kevin Wilson	47	President, DLH Solutions, Inc.

Zachary C. Parker became Chief Executive Officer and President of DLH Holdings Corp. in February 2010. He has over 25 years of experience with the government services market, including DoD, holding several senior and executive management positions in addition to business development posts. His tenure includes approximately 19 years with Northrop Grumman, 7 years with GE Government Services (now Lockheed Martin), and 3 and 2 years with VSE Corporation and VT Group, respectively. Prior to joining DLH, Mr. Parker held executive positions, including President and previously Executive Vice President for Business Development, within VT Group, from March 2008 to February 2010. His executive development includes the GE Crotonville Executive Development Program, Darden Executive Leadership Program, Northrop Grumman Action Leadership Program, Wharton Earned Value Management, California Institute of Technology Strategic Marketing Program, and is Lean Six Sigma Green Belt certified among other professional and technical certifications. Mr. Parker is active in both professional and community associations including the Governmental Affairs Committee and the Veteran Affairs Task Force of the Washington DC-based Professional Services Council and has served as industry co-chair of the Government/Industry Partnership Executive council. He is an advisory board member of Hero Health Hire (a non-profit entity). He has also served as board member on joint venture companies in the government services business. Mr. Parker earned his bachelors degree from California State University, Northridge (with honors) specializing in Human Factors Engineering and has completed post-graduate studies.

Kathryn M. JohnBull was named Chief Financial Officer on June 25, 2012. She has 25 years of experience within the government services market, principally with publicly-traded companies who experienced substantial organic and acquisitive growth. From January 2008 to June 2012, Ms. JohnBull was a senior financial executive with QinetiQ North America, serving in both corporate and operating group roles, including as Senior Vice President—Finance for its overall operations. From August 2002 to December 2007, Ms. JohnBull served as Operations Segment Chief Financia Officer for MAXIMUS, Inc, a publicly-traded provider of business process outsourcing, consulting and systems solutions. Prior industry positions, with emphasis on tax and treasury, were with BDM International, Inc. and United Defense. Ms. JohnBull is a certified public accountant and from 1985 to 1988 was with Arthur Andersen & Company as a tax manager and staff. Ms. JohnBull received a Bachelor of Business Administration, summa cum laude, from the University of Tulsa.

John F. Armstrong, FACHE joined DLH Holdings Corp. as its Executive Vice President on December 1, 2010 and leads our strategic partnerships corporate business development efforts. Mr. Armstrong has over three decades of in-depth experience (both public and private) in the military and the government services industry. Mr. Armstrong most recently served as director of the Sustainment and Health Services operation within Lockheed Martin Corporation from May 2008 to November 2010. Previously, from August 2002 to May 2008, he served as senior vice president of business development for Eagle Group International where he was instrumental in successfully growing the company to a competitive large business prior to being acquired by Lockheed Martin. Additionally, Mr. Armstrong served a distinguished career as an officer in the U.S. Army (Medical Services Corps), retiring as a Colonel in 2002. Mr. Armstrong is a fellow in the American College of Healthcare Executives and earned a Master of Business Administration degree from Marymount University, a

Master of Arts from Ball State University and completed his undergraduate studies at the University of Central Florida.

Kevin Wilson was appointed as the President of our subsidiary DLH Solutions in October 2008, previously serving as the Director of DLH Solutions from June 2007 through September 2008. From January 2004 to June 2007, Mr. Wilson served as the Director of Strategic Alliances of government services provider SAIC, Inc., where he was responsible for business development in the domestic and foreign defense markets. From March 1997 to January 2004, Mr. Wilson was the Program Manager for a multiyear defense services contract with Endress Hauser Systems & Gauging. Mr. Wilson also worked at Tracer Research Corporation from January 1990 to March 1997, where he was Project Manager for the United States Air Force, Air Combat Command professional services contract. Mr. Wilson holds a BS in Business Marketing from Northwest Missouri State University.

#### Available Information

We file registration statements, periodic and current reports, proxy statements, and other materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a web site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including our filings. We make our public filings with the SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all exhibits and amendments to these reports available free of charge on our Web site, http://www.dlhcorp.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material with the SEC. We also make available on our Web site reports filed by our executive officers and directors on Forms 3, 4 and 5 regarding their ownership of our securities. These materials are available in the "Investor Relations" portion of our Web site, under the link "SEC Filings." We also use our website to make generally available important information about our company. Important information, including press releases, presentation and financial information regarding our company, is routinely posted on and accessible on the Investor Relations subpage of our website, which is accessible by clicking on the tab labeled "Investor Relations" on our website home page. Therefore, investors should look to the "Investor Relations" subpage of our web site for important information. Information contained on our Web site is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other filings we make with the SEC.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As provided for under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("1995 Reform Act"), we wish to caution shareholders and investors that the following important factors, among others discussed throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, have affected, and in some cases could affect, our actual results of operations and cause our results to differ materially from those anticipated in forward looking statements made herein. Our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected due to any of the following risks. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks we are not presently aware of or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks. In assessing these risks, you should also refer to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

#### **Safe Harbor Statement**

Certain statements contained herein constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the 1995 Reform Act. DLH desires to avail itself of certain "safe harbor" provisions of the 1995 Reform Act and is therefore including this special note to enable it to do so. Forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which could cause DLH's actual results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements to differ from the future results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such future results are based upon management's best estimates based upon current conditions and the most recent results of operations. These risks include, but are not limited to, the risks identified below.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations to our shareholders and potential shareholders. There may be events in the future, however, that we are not accurately able to predict or over which we have no control. The risk factors listed below, as well as any cautionary language in this filing, provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. You should be aware that the occurrence of any of the events described in the risk factors below, elsewhere in this filing and other events that we have not predicted or assessed could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, financial condition, cash flows or business. In such case, the price of our securities could decline.

#### Risks Relating to Our Business and Our Industry

We depend on contracts with the federal government for virtually all of our revenue and our business could be seriously harmed if the federal government decreased or ceased doing business with us or changed its budgets or budgetary priorities.

We derive virtually all of our revenues from the U.S. Government as a prime contractor or a subcontractor. Further, our revenue concentration is heavily dependent upon contracts with the DVA. Accordingly, the loss or delay of all or a substantial portion of our sales to the U.S. Government, whether due to a reduction in the overall level of U.S. Government spending or a change in its priorities, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

Presently, the Company derives all of its revenue from agencies of the Federal government and the Company has derived a substantial portion of its revenues through various contracts awarded by the DVA. The Company currently provides services to the DVA under a single source Blanket Purchase Agreement awarded in fiscal 2011 that has a ceiling value of up to \$145,000,000 and is scheduled to expire on October 31, 2016. The agreement is subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and there can be no assurance as to the actual amount of services that the Company will ultimately provide under the agreement. This agreement represented approximately 51% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. In addition, the Company also holds contractual order cover through September 30, 2013 in respect of DVA contracts that generated approximately 44% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, which are not currently the subject of requests for proposals and may in due course be further extended by the DVA on a sole source basis. While the Company believes it is well positioned to continue its relationship with the DVA, no assurances can be given that the DVA would further extend our current service orders for the provision of services, that we would be successful in any bid for new contracts to provide such services or that if we are granted subsequent orders, that such orders would be of a scope comparable to the services that we have provided to date. If the DVA does not further extend our current service contracts or we are not successful in our efforts to obtain contract awards pursuant to either the current or new solicitations for the provision of such services, our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition would be materially adversely

affected. However, in such circumstances, the Company may be able to avail itself of a right to continue for an additional period beyond the expiration date as part of any protest filed by an interested party.

Furthermore, even if the overall level of U.S. Government spending does increase or remains stable, the budgets of the government agencies with which we do business may be decreased or our projects with them may not be sufficiently funded. In the event the budgets or budgetary priorities of the U.S. Government entities with which we do business, particularly the DVA, are decreased or underfunded, our consolidated revenues and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Our future success and revenue growth will depend in part upon our ability to continue to expand our business base. Because of the current concentration of our contracts, if a significant number of our contracts are simultaneously delayed or cancelled for budgetary, performance or other reasons, it would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

During 2011, the federal government was unable to reach agreement on budget reduction measures required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Budget Act) passed by Congress. Unless Congress and the Administration take further action, the Budget Act will trigger automatic reductions in both defense and discretionary spending in January 2013. While the impact of sequestration is yet to be determined, automatic across-the-board cuts would approximately double the \$487 billion top-line reduction already reflected in the defense funding over a ten-year period, with a \$52 billion reduction occurring in the government's fiscal year 2013. The resulting automatic across-the-board budget cuts in sequestration could have significant consequences to our business and industry. While it is unclear whether sequestration will occur and what the exact impact of it would be, we are continuously reviewing our operations in an attempt to identify those programs that could be at risk so that we can make appropriate contingency plans. These or other factors could result in a significant decline in, or redirection of, current and future budgets and could adversely affect our operating performance, including the possible loss of revenue and reduction in our operating cash flow.

#### We face risks relating to U.S. Government contracts because these contracts may be terminated at will.

Many of the U.S. Government programs in which we participate as a contractor or subcontractor may extend for several years. However, these programs are normally funded on an annual basis. As mentioned above the U.S. Government may modify, curtail or terminate its contracts and subcontracts for convenience. Due to our dependence on these relationships, the modification, curtailment or termination of our major programs or contracts would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If the government terminates a cost reimbursable contract for convenience, we may not recover the cost of work which has not been completed. We can recover only our incurred or actual cost, to include in certain cases committed costs, and cost for settling outstanding debts and restocking fees. We will also be able to negotiate for a fee based upon the percentage of the work performed or cost incurred. If the government terminates a contract for default, normally we would be unable to recover all costs for work performed and in some cases may be liable for liquidated damages in excess of actual costs incurred. Additionally, the government may seek to have the contract pay for its re-procurement cost for all undelivered items and services not received from another source. Depending on the value of a contract, such termination could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. The Company has never had a contract terminated for default.

We incurred a net loss from operations for the year ended September 30, 2012 and industry conditions under which we operate have negatively impacted our revenues. Any failure to increase our revenues and keep our expenses consistent with revenues could prevent us from achieving and maintaining profitability.

We incurred a net loss from continuing operations of approximately \$2.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$67.4 million at such date. Our business is under economic pressures due to Federal government procurement delays, substantial Federal budget uncertainty, an economy in recession, and other challenging industry dynamics. We have expended, and will continue to be required to expend, substantial funds to enhance our marketing efforts and to otherwise operate our business. Therefore, we will need to generate higher revenues to continue increasing profitability and cannot assure you that we will be profitable in any particular future period. Our prospects should be considered in light of the difficulties we are facing, including the current economic climate and the overall competitive environment in which we operate. Revenue levels achieved from our customers, the mix of solutions that we offer and our ability to reduce and manage our operating expenses will affect our financial results.

#### Our capital requirements are significant and we may need to raise additional capital to supplement our revenues derived from operations.

Our working capital requirements have been and will continue to be significant. As previously reported, in July 2010, we entered into a secured loan arrangement with Presidential Financial Corporation which, as amended to date, provides us with a maximum amount of \$6.0 million of credit, subject to the conditions and limitations of the facility. As described in greater detail in Note 6, our ability to borrow against the increased available credit is subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions, and presently, the maximum availability under this loan facility is \$3.0 million, subject to eligible accounts receivable. In addition, as further described in Note 10, during 2012 the Company completed a rights offering and raised gross proceeds of \$4.2 million from the sale of 3,230,769 shares of its common stock. However, we have, from time to time, utilized our secured credit facility to supplement cash flows from operations in order to satisfy our working capital needs. We used approximately \$2.8 million and \$1.0 million in cash for operating activities for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and our available cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2012 totaled approximately \$3.1 million. Based on our business plan and current working capital position, we presently believe that we have sufficient liquidity resources, including those expected to be generated by forecasted operations and from timely collection of unbilled receivables from the DVA as well as those expected to be available under our credit facility, and the effects of cost reduction programs and initiatives to fund our operations for the next twelve months. This in part assumes the ultimate non-payment of certain liabilities and recorded guarantees which we are currently contesting or are not expected to be settled in cash (see Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) (classified as current at September 30, 2012) in fiscal 2013. During 2011, the Company received equity and debt funding o

However, it may be necessary for us to raise additional capital to accelerate growth, fund operations and to meet our obligations in the future. To meet our financing requirements, we may seek to raise funds through equity, debt or equity-based financings (such as convertible debt) or strategic alliances. Raising additional funds by issuing equity or convertible debt securities may cause our stockholders to experience substantial dilution in their ownership interests and new investors may have rights superior to the rights of our other stockholders. Raising additional funds through debt financing, if available, may involve covenants that restrict our business activities and options. We currently have no firm agreements with any third-parties for such transactions and no assurances can be given that we will be successful in raising sufficient capital from any proposed financings, or that additional financing,

if at all available, can be obtained on acceptable terms to us. If we are unable to obtain additional capital when required, or on acceptable terms, we may need to reduce expenses and operations and you may lose your investment in our Company. Our future capital requirements will depend on, and could increase substantially as a result of, many factors, including:

- our need to utilize a significant amount of cash to support operations and to make incremental investments in our organization;
- our ability to achieve targeted gross profit margins and cost management objectives;
- our ability to reach break-even or profitability;
- our ability to achieve timely collection of unbilled accounts receivable from the DVA;
- our ability to continue to not make payment of certain liabilities (classified as current at September 30, 2012);
- the success of our sales and marketing efforts; and
- changes in economic, regulatory or competitive conditions.

Our contract proposals and in many cases our invoices are subject to audits and investigations by U.S. Government agencies and unfavorable government audit results could force us to refund previously recognized revenues and could subject us to a variety of penalties and sanctions.

From time to time, U.S. Government representatives may audit our performance on and invoices submitted on our U.S. Government contract. Further, federal agencies can also audit and review our compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. Under these audits, if it is found that we incorrectly invoiced or invoiced work not performed or claimed hours to be performed that were not performed we would have to refund these amounts. Normally, these audits are performed throughout the year and as such if found represent a refund within the current year. However, the government may go further back in time than the present fiscal year and adjustments may result over one or more fiscal years. Additionally, as a government contractor, we are from time to time subject to inquiries and investigations of our business practices by the U.S. Government due to our participation in government contracts. We cannot assure you that any such inquiry or investigation will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

If a government audit uncovers illegal activities or activities not in compliance with a contract's terms or conditions, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with federal government agencies. In addition, we could suffer serious harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety were made against us, whether or not true. If we were suspended or debarred from contracting with the federal government generally or with any specific agency, if our reputation or relationships with government agencies were impaired, or if the government otherwise were to cease doing business with us or were to significantly decrease the amount of business it does with us, our revenue, cash flows and operating results would be materially adversely affected.

If an audit determines that any of our administrative processes and systems do not comply with requirements, we may be subjected to increased government scrutiny and approval that could delay or otherwise adversely affect our ability to compete for or perform contracts or collect our revenue in a timely manner. Therefore, an unfavorable outcome of an audit could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. Moreover, if an audit determines that costs were improperly allocated to a specific contract, such amounts will not be reimbursed, and any such costs already reimbursed must be refunded and certain penalties may be imposed.

## The U.S. Government contract bid process is highly competitive, complex and sometimes lengthy, and is subject to protest and implementation delays.

Many of our contracts and task orders with the federal government are awarded through a competitive bidding process, which is complex and sometimes lengthy. We expect that much of the business that we will seek in the foreseeable future will continue to be awarded through competitive bidding. If a bid is won and a contract awarded, there still is the possibility of a bid protest or numerous delays in implementation. Our business could be adversely affected by delays caused by our competitors protesting major contract awards received by us, resulting in the delay of the initiation of work. It can take many months to resolve protests by one or more of our competitors of contract awards we receive. The resulting delay in the start up and funding of the work under these contracts may cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated, and there can be no assurance that such protest process or implementation delays will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations in the future. This competitive bidding process presents a number of risks, including the following:

- we expend substantial cost and managerial time and effort to prepare bids and proposals for contracts that we may not win;
- we may be unable to estimate accurately the resources and cost structure that will be required to service any contract we win; and
- we may encounter expenses and delays if our competitors protest or challenge awards of contracts to us in competitive bidding, and any such protest or challenge could result in the resubmission of bids on modified specifications, or in the termination, reduction or modification of the awarded contract.

If we are unable to win particular contracts, we may be prevented from providing to clients services that are purchased under those contracts for a number of years. If we are unable to consistently win new contract awards over any extended period, our business and prospects will be adversely affected and that could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. In addition, upon the expiration of a contract, if the client requires further services of the type provided by the contract, there is frequently a competitive rebidding process. There can be no assurance that we will win any particular bid, or that we will be able to replace business lost upon expiration or completion of a contract, and the termination or non-renewal of any of our significant contracts could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our failure to comply with complex Federal procurement laws and regulations could cause us to lose business, incur additional costs, and subject us to a variety of penalties.

We must comply with complex laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration, and performance of federal government contracts. These laws and regulations create compliance risk and affect how we do business with our federal agency clients, and may impose added costs on our business. If a government review or investigation uncovers illegal activities or activities not in compliance with a particular contract's terms or conditions, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, harm to our reputation, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with federal government agencies. The government may in the future reform its procurement practices or adopt new contracting rules and regulations, including cost accounting standards, that could be costly to satisfy or that could impair our ability to obtain new contracts. A failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in contract termination, price or fee reductions, or suspension or debarment from contracting with the federal government, each of which could lead to a material reduction in our revenues, cash flows and operating results.

Our government services business is dependent upon maintaining our reputation, our relationships and our performance in regard to government service.

The reputation and relationships that we have established and currently maintain with government personnel and agencies are important to maintaining existing business and identifying new business. If our reputation or relationships were damaged, it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to maintain or expand our business relationship with U.S. Government entities. In addition, if our performance does not meet agency expectations, our revenue and operating results could be materially harmed.

#### Competition is intense in the government services business.

There is often intense competition to win federal agency contracts. If we are unable to successfully compete for new business or win competitions to maintain existing business, our revenue and margins may materially decline. Many of our competitors are larger and have greater resources than we do, larger client bases and greater brand recognition. Our competitors, individually or through relationships with third parties, may be able to provide clients with different or greater capabilities or benefits than we can provide.

Budgetary pressures and changes in the procurement process have caused many government clients to increasingly purchase goods and services through Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity ("IDIQ") contracts, General Services Administration ("GSA") schedule contracts and other government-wide acquisition contracts. These contracts, some of which are awarded to multiple contractors, have increased competition and pricing pressure, requiring that we make sustained post-award efforts to realize revenue under each such contract. In addition, in consideration of the practice of agencies awarding work under such contracts that is arguably outside the intended scope of the contracts, both the GSA and the DoD have initiated programs aimed to ensure that all work fits properly within the scope of the contract under which it is awarded. The net effect of such programs may reduce the number of bidding opportunities available to us. Moreover, even if we are highly qualified to work on a particular new contract, we might not be awarded business because of the federal government's policy and practice of maintaining a diverse contracting base.

We may not receive the full amounts authorized under the contracts included in our backlog, which could reduce our revenue in future periods below the levels anticipated.

Our backlog consists of funded backlog, which is based on amounts actually committed by a client for payment for goods and services, and unfunded backlog, which is based upon management's estimate of the future potential of our existing contracts and task orders, including options, to generate revenue. Our backlog may not result in actual revenue in any particular period, or at all, which could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. The maximum contract value specified under a government contract or task order awarded to us is not necessarily indicative of the revenue that we will realize under that contract. Although many of our Federal government contracts contemplate performance over a period of years, Congress often appropriates funds for these contracts for only one year at a time. As a result, our contracts typically are only partially funded at any point during their term, and all or some of the work intended to be performed under the contracts will remain unfunded pending subsequent Congressional appropriations and the obligation of additional funds to the contract by the procuring agency. Nevertheless, we may estimate our share of the contract values, including values based on the assumed exercise of options relating to these contracts, in calculating the amount of our backlog. Because we may not receive the full amount we expect under a contract, our estimate of our backlog may be inaccurate and we may generate results that differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

#### Failure to maintain strong relationships with other contractors could materially and adversely affect our revenue.

We intend to derive substantial revenue from contracts in which we act as a subcontractor or from teaming arrangements, in which we and other contractors bid on particular contracts or programs. As a subcontractor or teammate, we will often lack control over fulfillment of a contract, and poor performance on the contract could impact our customer relationship, even if we perform as required. We expect to increasingly depend on relationships with other contractors for a portion of our revenue in the foreseeable future. Moreover, our revenue and operating results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated if any such prime contractor or teammate chose to offer directly to the client services of the type that we provide or if they team with other companies to provide those services.

### Loss of our GSA schedule contracts or other contracting vehicles could impair our ability to win new business and perform under existing contracts.

We currently hold multiple GSA schedule contracts, including a federal supply schedule contract for professional and allied healthcare services and the logistics worldwide services contract. During 2012, our professional and allied healthcare services schedule was extended through June 2017. In October 2012, the term of our logistics worldwide schedule was extended to November 2017. If we were to lose one or more of these contracts or other contracting vehicles, we could lose a significant revenue source and our operating results and financial condition would be materially and adversely affected. These contracts typically have an initial term with multiple options that may be exercised by our government agency clients to extend the contract for successive periods of one or more years. We can provide no assurance that our clients will exercise these options.

## Our employees (or those of others, with whom we are associated, such as teammates, prime or sub-contractors) may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, which could harm our business.

Like all government contractors, we are exposed to the risk that employee fraud or other misconduct could occur. Misconduct by our employees (or those of others, with whom we are associated, such as teammates, prime or sub-contractors) could include intentional failures to comply with federal government procurement regulations, engaging in unauthorized activities, seeking reimbursement for improper expenses, or falsifying time records. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of our clients' sensitive or classified information and result in a serious harm to our reputation. While we have policies in effect to deter illegal activities and promote proper conduct, these are not a failsafe. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct, and precautions to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in controlling such risks or losses, which could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, and liquidity.

## The failure by Congress to approve budgets on a timely basis for the federal agencies we support or changes in the budget priorities of such agencies could delay or reduce spending and cause us to lose revenue.

On an annual basis, Congress must approve budgets that govern spending by each of the federal agencies we support. When Congress is unable to agree on budget priorities and is unable to pass the annual budget on a timely basis, Congress typically enacts a continuing resolution. A continuing resolution allows government agencies to operate at spending levels approved in the previous budget cycle. When government agencies must operate under a continuing resolution, it may delay funding we expect to receive from clients on work we are already performing and will likely result in any new initiatives being delayed or, in the extreme, cancelled. In particular, if the Federal government does not adopt, or delays adoption of, a budget for each fiscal year beginning on October 1, or fails to pass a continuing resolution, federal agencies may be forced to suspend our contracts and delay the award of

new and follow-on contracts and orders due to a lack of funding. Therefore, period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be a good indication of our future performance and the occurrence of any of the above mentioned scenarios may materially and adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

#### Our profits and revenues could suffer if we are involved in legal proceedings, investigations and disputes.

As with much of the government services market, workers performance can result in substantial injury and we are exposed to legal proceedings, investigations and disputes. As previously reported, in fiscal 2012, we were advised of a claim by the U.S. Attorney based on an alleged failure to pay certain classes of employees the prevailing wages as required by the Service Contract Act during the years 2003-2010. The Company is continuing to review the data allegedly supporting the claims with the U.S. Department of Justice in an effort to determine whether any wage adjustment is required. These claims appear, in part, to be part of the claims previously disclosed by DLH and related to services provided to the Department of Veterans Affairs by DLH. See "Potential Contractual Billing Adjustments" in the Management Discussion and Analysis, below. Until the analysis of the data is complete, we cannot finally determine either the merits of the claim or the potential impact on us. However, we continue to believe that we have acted in conformity with our contractual commitments and no wage adjustment is required. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that an adverse decision or settlement would not have a material adverse impact on us.

In addition, in the ordinary course of our business we may become involved in legal disputes regarding personal injury or employee disputes. While we provision for these types of incidents through commercial party insurance carriers, we often defray these types of cost through higher deductibles. Any unfavorable legal ruling against us could result in substantial monetary damages by losing our deductible portion of carried insurance or even criminal violations. We maintain insurance coverage as part of our overall legal and risk management strategy to lower our potential liabilities. If we sustain liabilities that exceed our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured, it could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition, including our profits, revenues and liquidity.

#### We are dependent upon certain of our management personnel and do not maintain "key personnel" life insurance on our executive officers.

Our success to date has resulted in part from the significant contributions of our executive officers. Our executive officers are expected to continue to make important contributions to our success. As of September 30, 2012, our CEO, CFO, Executive Vice President of Business Development and the President of DLH Solutions are under employment contracts. However, we do not maintain "key person" life insurance on any of our executive officers. Loss for any reason of the services of our key personnel could materially affect our operations.

Demand for our services could be significantly affected by the general level of economic activity and unemployment or by factors beyond our control (e.g. hurricanes, weather conditions, acts of war, etc.) in the United States.

Our business, financial condition, cash flow and results of operations may be affected by various economic factors. Unfavorable economic conditions may make it more difficult or impossible for us to maintain or grow our revenue. In an economic recession or under other adverse economic conditions, customers and vendors may be more likely to be unable to meet contractual terms or their payment obligations.

#### We may be held liable for the actions of our employees and therefore incur unforeseen liabilities.

While we carry insurance for these types of liabilities, as a result of our employer status, we may be liable for violations of these or other laws despite contractual protections. In addition, as our employees may be deemed to be our agents, we could be held liable for their actions which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

#### Our staffing of healthcare professionals exposes us to potential malpractice liability.

Through our subsidiaries, we engage or have recently engaged in the business of providing healthcare professionals. The placement of such employees increases our potential liability for negligence and professional malpractice of those employees and any such liabilities may not become immediately apparent. Although we are covered by professional malpractice liability insurance on a claims made basis in the aggregate amount of \$5.0 million with a \$2.0 million per occurrence limit, which we deem reasonable under the circumstances, not all of the potential liability we face may be fully covered by insurance. Any significant adverse claim which is not covered by insurance may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

## We may not be fully covered by the insurance we procure and our business could be adversely impacted if we were not able to renew all of our insurance plans.

Although we carry liability insurance, it may not be sufficient to cover the total cost of any judgments, settlements or costs relating to any present or future claims, suits or complaints. In addition, sufficient insurance may not be available to us in the future on satisfactory terms or at all. Also, any increase in our costs of insurance will impact our profitability to the extent that we cannot offset these increases into our costs of services. If the insurance we carry is not sufficient to cover any judgments, settlements or costs relating to any present or future claims, suits or complaints, our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

## Our financial condition may be affected by increases in employee healthcare claims and insurance premiums, unemployment taxes and workers' compensation claims and insurance rates.

Our current workers' compensation and medical plans are partially self-funded insurance programs. The Company currently pays base premiums plus actual losses incurred, not to exceed certain individual and aggregate stop-loss limits. In addition, health insurance premiums, state unemployment taxes and workers' compensation rates for the Company are in large part determined by our claims experience. These categories of expenditure comprise a significant portion of our direct costs. If we experience a large increase in claim activity, our direct expenditures, health insurance premiums, unemployment taxes or workers' compensation rates will increase. Although we employ internal and external risk management procedures in an attempt to manage our claims incidence and estimate claims expenses and structure our benefit contracts to provide as much cost stability as reasonably possible given the self-funded nature of our plans, we may not be able to prevent increases in claim activity, accurately estimate our claims expenses or pass the cost of such increases on to our clients. Since our ability to incorporate such increases into our fees to our clients is constrained by contractual arrangements with our clients, a delay could occur before such increases could be reflected in our fees, which may reduce our profit margin. As a result, such increases could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

## If we were not able to renew all of the health and workers' compensation plans that cover our employees, our business would be adversely impacted.

The maintenance of health and workers' compensation insurance and administration plans that cover our employees is a significant part of our business. If we were unable to secure renewal of

contracts for such plans or the renewal of such plans with favorable rates and with competitive benefits, our business would be adversely affected. The current health and workers' compensation contracts are provided by vendors with whom we have an established relationship and on terms that we believe to be favorable. While we believe that renewal contracts could be secured on competitive terms without causing significant disruption to our business, there can be no assurance in this regard.

#### If we are unable to attract qualified personnel for our business, our business may be negatively affected.

We rely heavily on our ability to attract and retain qualified professionals and other personnel who possess the skills, experience and licenses necessary in order to provide our solutions for our assignments. Our business is materially dependent upon the continued availability of such qualified personnel. Our inability to secure qualified personnel would have a material adverse effect on our business. The cost of attracting qualified personnel and providing them with attractive benefits packages may be higher than we anticipate and, as a result, if we are unable to pass these costs on to our clients, our profitability could decline. Moreover, if we are unable to attract and retain qualified personnel, the quality of our services may decline and, as a result, we could lose clients.

#### Our results of operations and cash flow are affected by our ability to leverage our cost structure.

We have technology, operations and human capital infrastructures in place to support both our current business operations and future growth. As revenues grow, these costs are leveraged over a larger revenue base, which positively impacts our results of operations and cash flows. Similarly, in periods of contraction, these costs are no longer as leveraged, adversely affecting our results of operations and cash flow. During the last fiscal year, in light of the adverse market conditions being experienced by our business, we took steps to attempt to manage our general and administrative expenses. However, we expect reductions in such costs to be limited and there to be areas where additional spend may be deemed appropriate by management in preparation for anticipated growth, which will adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow until revenues increase.

### We are exposed to increased costs and risks associated with complying with increasing and new regulation of corporate governance and disclosure standards.

Since the effectiveness for us of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we spend an increasing amount of management's time and resources (both internal and external) to comply with changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosures. This compliance requires management's annual review and evaluation of our internal control systems. This process has caused us to engage outside advisory services and has resulted in additional accounting and legal expenses. We may encounter problems or delays in completing these reviews and evaluation and the implementation of improvements. If we are not able to timely comply with the requirements set forth in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities. Any such action could materially adversely affect our business and our stock price.

#### We are highly dependent on the proper functioning of our information systems.

We are highly dependent on the proper functioning of our information systems in operating our business. Critical information systems used in daily operations identify and match staffing resources and client assignments. The system also tracks regulatory credentialing expirations and other relevant client and healthcare information. They also perform payroll, billing and accounts receivable functions. While we have multiple back up plans for these types of contingencies, our information systems are vulnerable to fire, storm, flood, power loss, telecommunications failures, physical or software break-ins and similar events. If our information systems fail or are otherwise unavailable, these functions would have to be accomplished manually, which in turn could impact our financial viability, due to the increased cost associated with performing these functions manually.

#### Potential tax liabilities may adversely affect our financial condition.

The Company has received notices from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") claiming taxes, interest and penalties due related to payroll taxes predominantly from its former PEO operations which were sold in fiscal 2003. The Company has also received notices from the IRS reporting overpayments of taxes. Management believes that these notices are predominantly the result of misapplication of payroll tax payments between its legal entities. If not resolved favorably, the Company may incur interest and penalties. Until the sale of certain assets related to the former PEO operations, the Company operated through 17 subsidiaries, and management believes that the IRS has not correctly identified payments made through the different entities, therefore leading to the notices. To date, the Company has been working with the IRS to resolve these discrepancies and has had certain interest and penalty claims abated. DLH has also received notices from the Social Security Administration claiming variances in wage reporting compared to IRS transcripts. The Company believes the notices from the Social Security Administration are directly related to the IRS notices received. The Company believes that after the IRS applies all the funds correctly, any significant interest and penalties will be abated; however, there can be no assurance that each of these matters will be resolved favorably. In settling various years for specific subsidiaries with the IRS, the Company has received refunds for those specific periods; however, as the process of settling and concluding on other periods and subsidiaries is not yet completed, the potential exists for related penalties and interest. No payments have been made by the Company in fiscal 2012 or 2011, but as disclosed in Note 9 to the Financial Statements, a liability of \$1.3 million is recorded at September 30, 2012. Management believes that the ultimate resolution of these remaining payroll tax matters will not have a significant adverse effect on its financial position or future results of operations. The Company's intention is that it will, in due course, seek to negotiate a mutually satisfactory payment plan with the IRS, but there is no assurance that it would be successful in doing so and the Company's future cash flows and liquidity could therefore be materially affected by this matter.

We have a substantial amount of goodwill on our balance sheet. Future write-offs of goodwill may have the effect of decreasing our earnings or increasing our losses.

We have previously obtained growth through acquisitions of other companies and businesses. Under existing accounting standards, we are required to periodically review goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets for possible impairment. In the event that we are required to write down the value of any assets under these pronouncements, it may materially and adversely affect our earnings. See the more detailed discussion appearing as part of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 herein. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$8.6 million of goodwill, which represents the excess of the total purchase price of our acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. As permitted, we do not amortize goodwill deemed to have an indefinite useful life. Impairment, for goodwill deemed to have an indefinite life, exists if the net book value of the goodwill asset equals or exceeds its fair value. As permitted, we performed a qualitative and quantitative assessment of factors to determine whether it was necessary to perform the goodwill impairment test. Based on the results of the work performed, the Company has concluded that no impairment loss was warranted at September 30, 2012. Additional impairment analyses at future dates may be performed to determine if indicators of impairment are present, and if so, such amount will be determined and the associated charge will be recorded to the consolidated statement of operations. Although it does not affect our cash flow, an impairment charge to earnings has the effect of decreasing our earnings or increasing our losses, as the case may be. If we are required to record goodwill impairment charges, our stock price could also be adversely affected.

We have a significant amount of net operating loss carry forwards which we may not be able to utilize in certain circumstances.

At September 30, 2012, we had net operating losses, or NOLs, of approximately \$40.2 million and \$28.2 million for U.S. and state tax return purposes, respectively, and unutilized tax credits approximate \$1.1 million. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, following an "ownership change," special limitations apply to the use by a "loss corporation" of its: (i) NOL carry forwards arising before the ownership change; and (ii) net unrealized built-in losses (if such losses existed immediately before the ownership change and exceed a statutory threshold amount) recognized during the five years following the ownership change. As a result of previous business combinations and changes in ownership, there is a substantial amount of U.S. NOLs that are subject to annual limitations on utilization. Our U.S. NOLs begin to expire in 2021 and continue to expire through 2032. These net operating losses are fully offset by valuation allowances as of September 30, 2012.

#### Risks Relating To Our Revolving Credit Line

Our credit facility is secured by a lien on substantially all of our assets and if we are unable to make the scheduled principal and interest payments on the facility or maintain compliance with other debt covenants, we may default on the facility.

On July 29, 2010, DLH Solutions entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Presidential Financial Corporation (the "Lender"). Under the Loan Agreement, the Lender agreed to provide a two (2) year secured loan facility to DLH Solutions in an aggregate amount of up to \$1.5 million, upon the further terms and subject to the conditions of the Loan Agreement. In November, 2010, the Lender agreed by means of an amendment to the Loan Agreement to increase the maximum amount available under the facility from \$1.5 million to \$2.5 million and on February 9, 2011, we entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement pursuant to which the Lender agreed to further increase our maximum availability under the Loan Agreement from \$2.5 million to \$3.0 million and to provide an unbilled receivable facility within the limits of the Loan Agreement. The February 2011 amendment also extended the term of the Loan Agreement by 12 months, to July 29, 2013, and will automatically renew annually unless terminated by either party.

In May 2012, the Company entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement (the "Fifth Amendment") pursuant to which the Lender agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. The Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit, however, is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Fifth Amendment provides for an initial sublimit under the maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000 (the "Initial Sublimit") and an adjusted sublimit of \$4,000,000 (the "Adjusted Sublimit"). The Initial Sublimit of \$3,000,000 will remain in effect until the satisfaction of the following conditions: (i) the repayment of the \$500,000 over-advance accommodation agreed to by Lender as of May 9, 2012, (ii) the Company's demonstration of the need for the increase, (iii) the Company's continued compliance with the Loan Agreement, and (iv) Lender, in its sole discretion, agrees to increase the Initial Sublimit. In the event that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the credit available to under the Loan Agreement shall remain subject to the Adjusted Sublimit until the parties receive any required waivers or consents from the holders of the Company's subordinated Convertible Debentures issued as of July 28, 2011. In addition, the increased availability under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement is subject to the satisfaction of the same conditions that are applicable to Initial Sublimit. Accordingly, until these conditions are satisfied, the current borrowing limits remain in effect. In addition, borrowings in excess of the Initial Sublimit are subject to an origination fee of 1% of the increased availability.

An interest rate premium of 2% is payable in respect of any advances secured by unbilled accounts receivable, which are subject to a sub-facility limit of \$500,000 and an advance rate of 75%. The loan is secured by a security interest and lien on all of DLH Solutions' cash accounts, account deposits, letters of credit and investment property, chattel paper, furniture, fixtures and equipment, instruments, investment property, general intangibles, deposit accounts, inventory, other property, all proceeds and products of the foregoing (including proceeds of any insurance policies and claims against third parties for loss of any of the foregoing) and all books and records related thereto. DLH Solutions' ability to request loan advances under the Loan Agreement is subject to (i) computation of DLH Solutions' advance availability limit based on "eligible accounts receivables" (as defined in the Loan Agreement) multiplied by the "Accounts Advance Rate" established by the Lender which initially shall be 85% and may be increased or decreased by the Lender in exercise of its discretion; and (ii) compliance with the covenants and conditions of the loan.

Under the Loan and Security Agreement, interest accrues at the greater of (a) 3.25% or (b) (i) 1.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the accounts receivable portion of the credit line and (ii) 3.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the unbilled accounts portion. In addition, DLH Solutions will pay certain other related fees and expense reimbursements including a monthly service charge of 0.65% based on the average daily loan balance which shall accrue daily and be due and payable on the last day of each month so long as the Loan Agreement is outstanding and a monthly collateral monitoring fee. The interest rate in effect at September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 5.2%. At September 30, 2012, based on current eligible accounts receivable, the amount of the unused availability under the line was \$344,000. The amount outstanding as of September 30, 2012 was \$2,363,000.

The Loan Agreement requires compliance with customary covenants and contains restrictions on the Company's ability to engage in certain transactions. Among other matters, under the loan agreement we may not, without consent of the Lender, (i) merge or consolidate with another entity, form any new subsidiary or acquire any interest in a third party; (ii) acquire any assets except in the ordinary course of business; (iii) enter into any transaction outside the ordinary course of business; (iv) sell or transfer collateral; (v) make any loans to, or investments in, any affiliate or enter into any transaction with an affiliate other than on an arms-length basis; (vi) incur any debt outside the ordinary course of business; (vii) pay or declare any dividends or other distributions; or (viii) redeem, retire or purchase any of our equity interests exceeding \$50,000. Further, without the consent of the Lender, the Company is also restricted from making any payments in respect of other outstanding indebtedness. The Lender agreed to eliminate the tangible net worth covenant as part of the Fifth Amendment. The Lender may terminate the Loan Agreement at any time upon 60 days written notice after December 31, 2012 and the Loan Agreement provides for customary events of default following which the Lender may, at its option, terminate the loan agreement and accelerate the repayment of any amount outstanding. The defined events of default include, among other things, a material adverse change in the Company's circumstances, or if the Lender deems itself insecure in the ability of the Company to repay its obligations, or as to the sufficiency of the collateral.

The Company has concurrently executed a Corporate Guaranty Agreement with Lender pursuant to which it has guaranteed all of the obligations of DLH Solutions under the Loan Agreement.

Availability of funds under the Presidential Financial line of credit is directly related to the successful assignment of certain accounts receivable. Certain government accounts of DLH Solutions are required to execute "Acknowledgements of Assignment." There can be no assurance that every DLH Solutions government account will execute the documentation to effectuate the assignment and secure availability. The failure of government customers to sign the required documentation could result in a decrease in availability under the existing line of credit.

#### Our customers make payments directly to a bank account controlled by our Lender.

Our customers make payments directly to a bank account controlled by our Lender over which we have no control and which is used to pay down our loans. As a result, our access to cash resources is substantially at the discretion of our lender and could cease in the event of a default on our loan agreement.

#### Risks Relating To Our Stock

#### Our stock price may be volatile and your investment in our common stock may suffer a decline in value.

The price of our common stock could be subject to fluctuations and may decline in the future. This volatility may result from the impact on our stock price of various specific factors, including but not limited to the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- actual or anticipated changes in our growth rates or our competitors' growth rates;
- actual or anticipated changes in healthcare or government policy in the U.S.;
- conditions in the financial markets in general or changes in general economic conditions;
- our ability to stay in compliance with credit facility covenants;
- our inability to raise additional capital when and if it is required for use in our business;
- conditions of our competitors or the government services industry generally;
- conditions of our current and desired clients;
- changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding our common stock, other comparable companies or the government services industry generally;
- the impact of our ability to effectively implement acquisitions, investments, joint ventures and divestitures that we may undertake;
- the impact of the volatility of the market value of comparable public companies that are considered in our valuation process and any publicly traded securities we may own; and
- the impact of litigation, government investigations or customer or other disputes on our operating performance and future prospects.

#### Since we have not paid dividends on our common stock, you cannot expect dividend income from an investment in our common stock.

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and do not contemplate or anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Future potential lenders may prohibit us from paying dividends without its prior consent. Therefore, holders of our common stock may not receive any dividends on their investment in us. Earnings, if any, will be retained and used to finance the development and expansion of our business.

The exercise of our outstanding options and warrants, or conversion of our outstanding debentures may depress our stock price and dilute your ownership of the company.

As of September 30, 2012, the following options and warrants were outstanding:

• Stock options to purchase 1,362,500 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.56 to \$1.88 per share, not all of which are immediately exercisable. The weighted average

exercise price of the outstanding stock options is \$1.19 per share. These stock options are employee, non employee and non-executive director options.

Warrants to purchase 53,846 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.96 per share.

In addition, July 2011, we sold an aggregate amount of \$350,000 of convertible debentures to entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. (the "Purchasers") pursuant to a standby commitment (the "Commitment"). The convertible debentures will mature on the 27-month anniversary of issuance and bear interest at the rate of the greater of the prime rate plus 5%, or 10% per annum, payable at maturity or upon redemption. The convertible debentures are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.30 per share, which was adjusted to \$1.25 following the rights offering in accordance with the weighted-average anti-dilution provision. The conversion rate is also subject to adjustment to account for certain customary events. The Company can redeem the outstanding convertible debentures at any time at 120% of the remaining principal amount, plus accrued but unpaid interest. Presently, the convertible debentures are convertible into a total of 280,682 shares of our common stock.

To the extent that these securities are exercised or converted, dilution to our shareholders will occur. Moreover, the terms upon which we will be able to obtain additional equity capital may be adversely affected, since the holders of these securities can be expected to exercise or convert them at a time when we would, in all likelihood, be able to obtain any needed capital on terms more favorable to us than the exercise and conversion terms provided by those securities. Further, in the event the conversion price of our outstanding shares of convertible debentures is lower than the actual trading price on the day of conversion, the holders could immediately sell their converted common shares, which would have a dilutive effect on the value of the outstanding common shares. Furthermore, the significant downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock as convertible debenture holders converted these securities and sell the common shares received on conversion could encourage short sales by the holders of convertible debentures or other shareholders. This would place further downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. Even the mere perception of eventual sales of common shares issued on the conversion of the shares of convertible debentures could lead to a decline in the trading price of our common stock.

We may issue preferred stock with rights senior to our common stock, which may adversely impact the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock.

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock with such designations, rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our board of directors up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Accordingly, our board of directors is empowered, without stockholder approval, to issue preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights, which would adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock. In the event of issuance, the preferred stock could be utilized, under certain circumstances, as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control of our Company, which could have the effect of discouraging bids for our Company and thereby prevent stockholders from receiving the maximum value for their shares. Although we have no present intention to issue any shares of our preferred stock, in order to discourage or delay a change of control of our Company, we may do so in the future. In addition, we may determine to issue preferred stock in connection with capital raising efforts and the terms of the stock so issued could have special voting rights or rights related to the composition of our Board.

#### Anti-takeover provisions in our Articles of Incorporation make a change in control of our Company more difficult.

The provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and the New Jersey Business Corporation Act, together or separately, could discourage potential acquisition proposals, delay or prevent a change in control and limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for our common stock. Among other things, these provisions:

- require certain supermajority votes;
- establish certain advance notice procedures for nomination of candidates for election as directors and for shareholders' proposals to be considered at shareholders' meetings; and
- divide the board of directors into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms.

Pursuant to our articles of incorporation, the board of directors has authority to issue up to 5,000,000 preferred shares without further shareholder approval. Such preferred shares could have dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting and other rights and privileges that are superior or senior to our common stock. Issuance of preferred shares could result in the dilution of the voting power of our common stock, adversely affecting holders of our common stock in the event of its liquidation or delay, and defer or prevent a change in control. In certain circumstances, such issuance could have the effect of decreasing the market price of our common stock. In addition, the New Jersey Business Corporation Act contains provisions that, under certain conditions, prohibit business combinations with 10% shareholders and any New Jersey corporation for a period of five years from the time of acquisition of shares by the 10% shareholder. The New Jersey Business Corporation Act also contains provisions that restrict certain business combinations and other transactions between a New Jersey corporation and 10% shareholders.

#### Our executive officers, directors and significant stockholders will be able to influence matters requiring stockholder approval

Our executive officers, directors and largest shareholder (Wynnefield Capital, Inc. and its affiliates) possess beneficial ownership of over 50% of our common stock. Within this amount, Wynnefield Capital, Inc. and its affiliates own approximately 44% of our outstanding common stock. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change in control of our company, could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale or merger of our company and may negatively affect the market price of our common stock. These transactions might include proxy contests, tender offers, mergers or other purchases of common stock that could give our stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for shares of our common stock.

In addition, an employee of Wynnefield Capital, Inc. currently serves on our Board of Directors. As a result of this share ownership and representation on our Board of Directors, our largest stockholder will be able to influence all affairs and actions of our company, including matters requiring stockholder approval such as the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. The interests of our principal stockholders may differ from the interests of the other stockholders.

#### You may not be able to rely on forward-looking statements.

The information contained in this report or in documents that we incorporate by reference or in statements made by our management includes some forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. A number of factors, including but not limited to those outlined in the Risk Factors, could cause our actual results, performance, achievements, or industry results to be very different from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In addition, forward-looking statements depend upon assumptions, estimates and dates that

may not be correct or precise and involve known or unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors. Accordingly, a forward-looking statement in this report is not a prediction of future events or circumstances and those future events or circumstances may not occur. Given these uncertainties and risks, you are warned not to rely on the forward-looking statements. A forward-looking statement is usually identified by our use of certain terminology including "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "seeks," "pro forma," "anticipates" or "intends," or by discussions of strategies or intentions. We are not undertaking any obligation to update these factors or to publicly announce the results of any changes to our forward-looking statements due to future events or developments.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There are no unresolved staff comments.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

#### **Operations and Facilities**

Effective August 2, 2011, DLH's corporate headquarters was relocated to 1776 Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 300S, in Atlanta, Georgia. The Company has vacated the former headquarters facility in Somerset, New Jersey. The Company also has leased office space in Loganville, Georgia. The facilities provide sufficient capacity to meet demands for the foreseeable future. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, DLH's total lease expense for continuing operations was approximately \$173,000.

The following is summary information on DLH's facilities as of September 30, 2012:

<u>LOCATION</u>	APPROXIMATE SQUARE FEET	EXPIRATION DATE
Corporate Headquarters	3,925	7/31/2017
1776 Peachtree Street		
Suite 300 S Atlanta,		
GA 30309		
3525 Highway 81 South	6,200	5/31/2015
Loganville, GA		

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As a commercial enterprise and employer, we are subject to various claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. These matters can include professional liability, employment-relations issues, workers' compensation, tax, payroll and employee-related matters and inquiries and investigations by governmental agencies regarding our employment practices. We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation that we believe is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows. However, as previously reported, in fiscal 2012 we were advised of a claim by the U.S. Attorney based on an alleged failure to pay certain classes of employees the prevailing wages as required by the Service Contract Act during the years 2003-2010. The Company is continuing to review the data allegedly supporting the claims with the U.S. Department of Justice in an effort to determine whether any wage adjustment is required. These claims appear, in part, to be part of the claims previously disclosed by DLH and related to services provided to the Department of Veterans Affairs by DLH. See "Risk Factors" in Part I and "Potential Contractual Billing Adjustments" in the Management Discussion and Analysis. Until the analysis of the data is complete, we cannot finally determine either the merits of the claim or the potential impact on DLH; however, DLH continues to believes that it has acted in conformity with its contractual commitments and no wage adjustment is required. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that an adverse decision or settlement would not have a material adverse impact on DLH.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

#### **PART II**

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### **Principal Market**

Our common stock is currently traded on The Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol "DLHC". Prior to June 27, 2012, our common stock traded on The Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol "TSTF".

#### **Market Information**

The range of high and low sales prices for the Company's common stock for the periods indicated below are:

#### **Common Stock**

FISCAL YEAR 2012	LOW	HIGH
1st Quarter	\$ 1.32	\$ 2.92
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.83	\$ 2.22
3rd Quarter	\$ 1.16	\$ 2.04
4th Quarter	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.49

FISCAL YEAR 2011	LOW	HIGH
1st Quarter	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.77
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.63
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.46	\$ 1.60
4th Quarter	\$ 0.99	\$ 3.27

The above quotations reported by Nasdaq, represent prices between dealers and do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commissions. Such quotations do not necessarily represent actual transactions. On September 30, 2012, the Company's common stock had a closing price of \$1.06 per share.

#### Dividends

The Company has not declared any cash dividends on its common stock since inception and has no present intention of paying any cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

#### **Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders**

As of September 30, 2012, there were 9,265,702 shares of common stock outstanding held of record by 238 persons. The Company believes it has approximately 1,585 beneficial owners of its common stock.

#### **Sales of Unregistered Securities**

During the period covered by this report, the Company did not issue any securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as has been reported in previous filings with the SEC or as set forth herein.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

DLH presently utilizes one shareholder-approved equity compensation plan under which it makes equity compensation awards available to officers, directors, employees and consultants. The table set forth below discloses outstanding and available awards under our equity compensation plans as of September 30, 2012. All grants of equity securities made to executive officers and directors, including those to the Chief Executive Officer are presently made under the 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan.

Equity Compensation Plan Information(*)						
<u>Plan Category</u>	(a) Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted Average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (or fair value at date of grant)		(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuances under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))		
Equity Compensation Plans						
Approved by Security Holders:						
2006 Long Term Incentive Plan	1,362,500	\$	1.19	1,079,847		
Equity Compensation Plans Not						
Approved by Stockholders	20,000(1	)\$	2.28	_		

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of warrants to purchase common stock issued to a consultant.

#### **Registrant Repurchases of Securities**

The following table provides certain information with respect to our purchases of shares of our common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2012:

<u>Period</u>	Total Number of Shares Purchased	nge Price Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
July 2012	_	\$ _	_	<u> </u>
August 2012	_	_	_	_
September				
2012	40,000	1.00	_	<u> </u>
Total	40,000	\$ 1.00	_	

<sup>(1)</sup> In connection with the resolution of the Company's remaining liability to holders of certain notes, in September 2012, the Company's remaining liability was satisfied in part through its repurchase of 40,000 shares of its common stock held by one of the note holders in consideration of the payment of \$40,000.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Regulation S-K and as such, are not required to provide the information contained in this item pursuant to Regulation S-K.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Forward Looking and Cautionary Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the 1995 Reform Act, Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. DLH

desires to avail itself of certain "safe harbor" provisions of the 1995 Reform Act and is therefore including this special note to enable DLH to do so. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "will," "may" and other similar expressions. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forwardlooking statements. Forward-looking statements included in this report involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which could cause DLH's actual results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements to differ from the future results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and best estimates and projections about future events. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, these forwardlooking statements. The following factors (among others) could cause our actual results to differ materially from those implied by the forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K: our ability to secure contract awards, including the ability to secure renewals of contracts under which we currently provide services; our ability to enter into contracts with United States Government facilities and agencies on terms attractive to us and to secure orders related to those contracts; changes in the timing of orders for and our placement of professionals and administrative staff; the overall level of demand for the services we provide; the variation in pricing of the contracts under which we place professionals; our ability to manage growth effectively; the performance of our management information and communication systems; the effect of existing or future government legislation and regulation; changes in government and customer priorities and requirements (including changes to respond to the priorities of Congress and the Administration, budgetary constraints, and cost-cutting initiatives); economic, business and political conditions domestically; the impact of medical malpractice and other claims asserted against us; the disruption or adverse impact to our business as a result of a terrorist attack; the loss of key officers, and management personnel; the competitive environment for our services; the effect of recognition by us of an impairment to goodwill; other tax and regulatory issues and developments; the effect of adjustments by us to accruals for self-insured retentions; our ability to obtain any needed financing: our ability to attract and retain sales and operational personnel; and the effect of other events and important factors disclosed previously and from timeto-time in DLH's filings with the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission. For a discussion of such risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, see "Risk Factors" in the company's periodic reports filed with the SEC. In light of the significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such statements should not be regarded as a representation by the company or any other person that the objectives and plans of the Company will be achieved. The forward-looking statements contained in this report in Form 10-K are made as of the date hereof and may become outdated over time. The Company does not assume any responsibility for updating any forward-looking statements.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

DLH believes the accounting policies below represent its critical accounting policies due to the significance or estimation process involved in each. See Note 2 of DLH's 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as "Critical Accounting Policies" contained therein for a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies.

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and the rules of the SEC. The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates, which include judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We have based our estimates on historical experience and on various assumptions that we

believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We evaluate our estimates on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow will be affected.

A critical accounting estimate is based on judgments and assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the estimate is made. Different estimates that reasonably could have been used or changes in accounting estimates could materially impact our financial statements. We believe that the policies described below represent our critical accounting policies, as they have the greatest potential impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. However, you should also review our *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* beginning on page F-8 of the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

DLH's revenue is derived from professional and other specialized service offerings to US Government agencies through a variety of contracts, some of which are fixed-price in nature and/or sourced through Federal Supply Schedules administered by the General Services Administration ("GSA") at fixed unit rates or hourly arrangements. We generally operate as a prime contractor, but have also entered into contracts as a subcontractor. The recognition of revenue from fixed rates is based upon objective criteria that generally do not require significant estimates that may change over time. Other types of US Government contracts may include cost reimbursable contracts, fixed price or flexibly priced contracts requiring estimates based on percentage-of-completion methods of recognizing revenue and profit. These contracting vehicles do not, at this time, represent a significant portion of our revenue nor require estimating techniques that would materially impact our revenue reported herein. DLH recognizes and records revenue on government contracts when it is realized, or realizable, and earned. DLH considers these requirements met when: (a) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (b) the services have been delivered to the customer; (c) the sales price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (d) collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenues related to retroactive billings in 2008 from an agency of the Federal government were recognized when: (1) the Company developed and calculated an amount for such prior period services and had a contractual right to bill for such amounts under its arrangements, (2) there were no remaining unfulfilled conditions for approval of such billings and (3) collectability is reasonably assured based on historical practices with the DVA. The related direct costs, principally comprised of salaries and benefits, were accrued to match the recognized reimbursements from the Federal agency; upon approval, wages will be processed for payment to the employees.

During the year ended September 30, 2008, DLH recognized revenues of \$10.8 million and direct costs of \$10.1 million related to these non-recurring arrangements. At September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the amount of the remaining accounts receivable with the DVA approximated \$9.3 million and accrued liabilities for salaries to employees and related benefits totaled \$8.7 million. The \$9.3 million in accounts receivable was unbilled to the DVA at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. Although the timing cannot be guaranteed, at present the Company expects to bill and collect such amounts during fiscal 2013, based on current discussions with the DVA and collection efforts.

As described in greater detail in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, DLH has accrued the revenue and costs associated with certain government contracts covered by the Service Contract Act. These adjustments were due to changes in the contracted wage determination rates for certain employees. A wage determination is the listing of wage rates and fringe benefit rates for each classification of laborers whom the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor ("DOL") has determined to be prevailing in a given locality. An audit by the

DOL in 2008 at one of the facilities revealed that notification, as required by contract, was not provided to DLH Solutions in order to effectuate the wage increases in a timely manner. Wages for contract employees on assignment at the time have been adjusted prospectively to the prevailing rate and hourly billing rates to the DVA have been increased accordingly.

In April 2012, the Company received formal contract modifications from the DVA, dated April 16, 2012, concerning the retroactive billing matter. The contract modifications from the DVA incorporate relevant wage determinations covering largely 2006 and 2007 applying to the Company's historical contracts with DVA during those periods. These government modifications initiate the procedures whereby the Company may invoice the DVA in accordance with the modified wage determinations and subsequently make timely retroactive payments to employees (active and inactive) covering work performed at the certain locations. The Company expects to follow the same process implemented as directed by and in conjunction with the Department of Labor and the DVA when similar wage determination-related contract modifications were made to cover other sites (also for the periods of 2006 and 2007) in 2008.

The Company continues to support the Government's review of the detailed supporting calculations for the retroactive billings and to negotiate an incremental final amount related to indirect costs and fees applied to these retroactive billings. As such, there may be additional revenues recognized in future periods once the final approval for such additional amounts is obtained. The additional indirect costs and fees are estimated to be between \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million. The Company has developed these estimates under the same contractual provisions applied to the sites that were settled in 2008. However, because these amounts remain subject to government review, no assurances can be given that any amounts we may receive will be within the range specified above.

#### Goodwill

In accordance with applicable accounting standards, DLH does not amortize goodwill. DLH continues to review its goodwill for possible impairment or loss of value at least annually or more frequently upon the occurrence of an event or when circumstances indicate that a reporting unit's carrying amount is greater than its fair value. At September 30, 2012, we performed a goodwill impairment evaluation. We performed both a qualitative and quantitative assessment of factors to determine whether it was necessary to perform the goodwill impairment test. Based on the results of the work performed, the Company has concluded that no impairment loss was warranted at September 30, 2012. Additional impairment analyses at future dates may be performed to determine if indicators of impairment are present, and if so, such amount will be determined and the associated charge will be recorded to the consolidated statement of operations.

Factors including non-renewal of a major contract (see Note 2—Liquidity and Note 13) or other substantial changes in business conditions could have a material adverse effect on the valuation of goodwill in future periods and the resulting charge could be material to future periods' results of operations. If an impairment write off of all the goodwill became necessary in future periods, a charge of up to \$8.6 million would be expensed in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. All remaining goodwill is attributable to the DLH Solutions operating subsidiary.

#### **Intangible Assets**

As required by applicable accounting standards, DLH did not amortize its tradenames, an indefinite life intangible asset. DLH reviewed its indefinite life intangible assets for possible impairment or loss of value at least annually or more frequently upon the occurrence of an event or when circumstances indicated that an asset's carrying amount was greater than its fair value. On September 15, 2011, the Board of Directors of DLH approved the change of the corporate name of TeamStaff GS to DLH Solutions and also approved a plan to change the corporate name of the

Company to DLH Holdings Corp. In connection with these actions, the Company ceased further use of the TeamStaff trademark and implemented new marketing and branding initiatives associated with the new corporate identity being adopted by the Company. As a result of the corporate name change, abandoning the use of the TeamStaff name and associated rebranding efforts being implemented by the Company, the Company concluded that it was required to record a non-cash impairment charge with respect to the value of the "TeamStaff" trademark of \$2.6 million to fully write-off the value of this trademark.

#### **Prepaid Workers' Compensation**

As part of the Company's discontinued PEO operations, DLH had a workers' compensation program with Zurich American Insurance Company ("Zurich") which covered the period from March 22, 2002 through November 16, 2003, inclusive. Payments for the policy were made to a trust monthly based on projected claims for the policy period. Interest on all assets held in the trust is credited to DLH. Payments for claims and claims expenses are made from the trust. From time-to-time, trust assets have been refunded to the Company based on Zurich's and managers' overall assessment of claims experience and historical and projected settlements. The final amount of trust funds that could be refunded to the Company is subject to a number of uncertainties (e.g. claim settlements and experience, health care costs, the extended statutory filing periods for such claims); however, based on a third party's study of claims experience, DLH estimates that at September 30, 2012, the remaining prepaid asset of \$0.3 million will be received within the next twelve to thirty-six months. This amount is reflected on DLH's balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 as a current asset, in addition to approximately \$0.2 million related to other policy deposits.

#### **Workers' Compensation Insurance**

From November 17, 2003 through April 14, 2009, inclusive, DLH's workers' compensation insurance program was provided by Zurich. This program covered DLH's temporary, contract and corporate employees. This program was a fully insured, guaranteed cost program that contained no deductible or retention feature. The premium for the program was paid monthly based upon actual payroll and is subject to a policy year-end audit. Effective April 15, 2009, DLH entered into a partially self-funded workers' compensation insurance program with a national insurance carrier for the premium year April 15, 2009 through April 14, 2010 and has been renewed through April 14, 2013. The Company pays a base premium plus actual losses incurred, not to exceed certain stop-loss limits. The Company is insured for losses above these limits, both per occurrence and in the aggregate.

As of September 30, 2012 and 2011, the adequacy of the workers' compensation reserves (including those periods' amounts that are offset against the trust fund balances in prepaid assets) was determined, in management's opinion, to be reasonable. In determining our reserves, we rely in part upon information regarding loss data received from our workers' compensation insurance carriers that may include loss data for claims incurred during prior policy periods. In addition, these reserves are for claims that have not been sufficiently developed and such variables as timing of payments and investment returns thereon are uncertain or unknown; therefore, actual results may vary from current estimates. DLH will continue to monitor the development of these reserves, the actual payments made against the claims incurred, the timing of these payments, the interest accumulated in DLH's prepayments and adjust the related reserves as deemed appropriate.

#### Fair Value

DLH has financial instruments, principally accounts receivable, accounts payable, loan payable, notes payable and accrued expenses. DLH estimates that the fair value of these financial instruments at September 30, 2012 and 2011 does not differ materially from the aggregate carrying values of these financial instruments recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. However, because the

Company presents certain common stock warrants and embedded conversion features (associated with Convertible Debentures—See Note 6) and accounts for such derivative financial instruments at fair value, such derivatives are materially impacted by the market value of the Company's stock and therefore subject to a high degree of volatility. The Company's future results may be materially impacted by changes in the Company's closing stock price as of the date it prepares future periodic financial statements.

## **Income Taxes**

TeamStaff accounts for income taxes in accordance with the "liability" method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are reflected on the consolidated balance sheet when it is determined that it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized. This guidance also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded a 100% valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets (See Note 5).

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") has issued authoritative guidance that clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement of the tax uncertainty occurs if the recognition threshold has been met. This interpretation also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosures. The Company conducts business solely in the U.S. and, as a result, also files income taxes in various states and other jurisdictions. Given the substantial net operating losses and the related valuation allowance established against such amounts, the Company has concluded that it does not have any uncertain tax positions. There have been no income tax related interest or penalties for the periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to examination by Federal and state taxing authorities. The Company's income tax returns for years subsequent to fiscal 2008 are currently open, by statute, for review by authorities. However, there are no examinations currently in progress and the Company is not aware of any pending audits.

## **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

Accounts receivable are unsecured and carried at fair value, which is net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on a specific identification methodology. Generally an account receivable is deemed uncollectible based upon the aging of the receivable and/or specific identification. Interest is not typically charged on past due accounts and the specific identification method takes into account the Company's assessment of the default risk based upon recent events in the customer's business, economic status and changes in credit status. With respect to receivables owed by agencies of the U.S. Government, the Company believes that the risk of loss on these accounts is minimal (See Note 13).

Before accounts are deemed uncollectible, demand letters are sent and, if that does not result in payment, the receivable is placed for collection with a collection agency. The Company's last attempt at collection would be legal action, depending upon the customer's financial situation. If the Company is unsuccessful at collection after these steps, the receivable is written-off.

## **Overview**

## **Business Description**

DLH, Holdings Corp., incorporated in New Jersey, provides healthcare delivery solutions, logistics & technical services, and contingency/staff augmentation services to government agencies including the Department of Veteran Affairs, the Department of Defense, and other clients. The Company principally operates through its wholly-owned subsidiary DLH Solutions, Inc. ("DLH Solutions") and is headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia.

#### Name Change

In February 2012, the Company's shareholders approved a proposal to change its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. On June 25, 2012 the Company filed an amendment to its certificate of incorporation to implement the change in its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. The Company's common shares continue to trade on the Nasdaq Capital Market and its new ticker symbol is "DLHC", which became effective on June 27, 2012. The name change is a reflection of the Company's refined and enhanced business strategy, which began approximately two years ago. In recent months the Company undertook a major rebranding effort, which included the change in corporate name of our principal operating subsidiary to DLH Solutions, Inc., the launch of new corporate website at www.dlhcorp.com, and other communications and marketing measures to establish the "DLH" brand and create associated brand equity.

#### **Business Units**

As part of our overall strategic planning process, the Company realigned its business into three broad integrated business areas: Healthcare Delivery Solutions, Logistics & Technical Services, and Contingency/Staff Augmentation. This structure enables us to leverage our core competencies and drive towards profitable growth within our focused target markets. We recognize that some business units may grow faster than others as a result of acquisitions or disposition of business. In either case, we intend to enhance our delivery of quality products and services.

#### **Healthcare Delivery Solutions**

The Healthcare Delivery Solutions business unit, provides a broad continuum of care for our nation's servicemen/women and veterans in various settings and facilities. These include Combat Trauma Centers (CTCs), Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs), Medical Centers, Community-based Outpatient Clinics (CBOCs), and Pharmacy Distribution Centers (including VA Consolidated Mail-order Outpatient Pharmacy). We leverage our network of over 400 active clinicians and other healthcare workers throughout selected regions in the US, applying differentiating tools, databases and technology (including e-PRAT and SPOT-m) to deliver these services. For over a decade, DLH Solutions has been serving the DVA and DoD in providing qualified medical and other professionals in a variety of positions. Healthcare Delivery Solutions is one of our strategic focus areas for growth and a major business area that DLH Solutions services. As more and more Federal and DoD programs increase their performance-based requirements, DLH Solutions' workforce profile of medical talent and credentials (as described above) will help it to compete and differentiate itself in the market place. Our healthcare and medical service new business pipeline adds important credentials strategically linked to diversifying and profitably growing our Healthcare Delivery Solutions business base. Professional services have included case management, health and injury assessment, critical care, medical/surgical, emergency room/trauma center, counseling, behavioral health and traumic brain injury management, medical systems analysis, and medical logistics. While the DVA is its largest customer in this business unit, the Company has focused on leveraging that experience in adjacent healthcare markets within

DoD and other federal agencies. In fiscal 2012, approximately 54% of our revenue was derived from the Healthcare Delivery Solutions business unit.

## Logistics & Technical Services

The Logistics & Technical Services business unit draws heavily upon our proven logistics expertise and processes. DLH resources possess expertise covering a wide range of logistics, readiness and project engineering. The experience of DLH Solutions' project personnel is diverse from operational unit level to systems and program office experience. Our core competencies include; supply chain management, performance-based logistics, distribution center and inventory management, statistical process control, packaging/handling/storage & transportation, configuration management, readiness planning, and supply support operations. In addition, we provide program and project management, systems engineering and applicable information technology services, integrated logistics support (including operational systems), readiness assessments, training, equipment maintenance, hazardous material management, facilities and shipyard support services and more. DLH Solutions also provides professional staff to the federal government specializing in logistics, office administration, IT, and facilities/warehouse management.

Through competitively awarded contracts and task orders (including its LOGWORLD contract) DLH Solutions has developed a strong portfolio of logistics processes, personnel and tools to help its clients achieve nationally recognized awards for customer satisfaction. While the DVA is its largest customer in this area, the Company has taken steps to expand in adjacent logistics markets within DoD and other federal agencies. In fiscal 2012, over 45% of our revenue was derived from the Logistics & Technical Services business unit.

## Contingency/Staff Augmentation

The Contingency/Staff Augmentation business unit provides disaster and emergency response services and civilian workforce augmentation services. General staffing and selective recruitment process outsourcing are key components of this service area. Less than 1% of fiscal 2012 revenue was derived from the Contingency/Staff Augmentation line of service.

#### Recent Business Trends

The Federal Government continues to experience delays in awarding new contracts and committing new funds while Congress and the Administration continue to debate means to reduce the national debt and stimulate the economy. The Administration is attempting to balance decisions regarding defense, homeland security, and other federal spending priorities in a greatly constrained fiscal environment imposed by the enactment of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Budget Act), which reduces defense spending by \$487 billion over a ten-year period starting in fiscal 2012. Currently, the federal government is operating under a continuing resolution, scheduled to expire on March 31, 2013. If Congress and the Administration remain unable to reach a debt reduction consensus by the end of calendar year 2012, significant and equal reductions in both security and non-security spending will be automatically triggered and will take effect in January 2013 through a process referred to as sequestration. While it is unclear whether sequestration will occur and what the exact impact of it would be, we are continuously reviewing our operations in an attempt to identify those programs that could be at risk so that we can make appropriate contingency plans. While we may experience reduced funding on some of our programs, we do not expect the cancellation of any of our major programs.

From an overall budget perspective, it is likely that government discretionary spending will be constrained for several years to come. Though specific funding priorities are subject to change from year to year, we believe that our strategic business alignment around DoD and Veterans healthcare and logistics sustainment services allows us to remain well-placed to address what we consider are top

national priority budget areas (along with cyberspace and intelligence). As with other companies operating in the Federal government market, the possibility remains, however, that one or more of our targeted programs could be cut back or terminated as a result of the Administration's decisions.

The DVA continues to be our largest customer followed by the DoD. Prior to the second quarter of fiscal 2011 over 90% of the Company's marketing, sales and discretionary resources were directed toward the commercial nursing and temporary staffing business while DLH Solutions did not bid on large government services contracts to complement its work with DVA and increase its backlog. As such, its business base over the recent 5 years remained relatively flat when adjusted for DVA business anomalies (such as overtime policy changes, preparation for anticipated epidemic, government in-sourcing, etc.).

The Company's strategic plan first addressed creating the financial stability and runway to support implementation of new infrastructure and business development requirements. As such, significant cost reduction and containment initiatives were put into action in the first two quarters of fiscal 2011 and continued through fiscal 2012. Subsequently, a capital raise initiative was put into place leveraging relationships with our largest shareholder, our new banking partner, and directors and management and included the amendments to our secured loan facility to increase our potential borrowings and the completion of a rights offering. Concurrently, management addressed the lack of new and sustainable business by focusing resources on core competencies, existing and adjacent markets, larger and longer-term contracts, and development of differentiators to enhance competitiveness. Major changes in both resources and new business pipeline were implemented to align with the strategy of establishing sustainable, profitable growth while diversifying its portfolio and creating substantial backlog. Management believes that its new strategy has seen early success. As the Company enters fiscal 2013, contract backlog is approximately \$153 million at September 30, 2012. The Company has successfully competed to obtain extensions of its major healthcare re-compete programs, expanding the number of government locations supported as a result. Additionally, the Company has obtained extensions of its major logistics programs. Supporting the strategic portfolio diversification, the Company has been awarded a number of large IDIQ contracts covering a range of healthcare, logistics and technical services with various DoD customers, for which value is added to backlog as task orders are received. In keeping with its transformation, the Company has established several new teaming and partnership arrangements with strategic companies complementing our core competencies.

Though our nation's economy continues to create headwinds for all markets, management has found that many government services industry analysts project a favorable market outlook particularly in select segments. The Company's strategic decision to build upon its healthcare delivery solutions competencies and business aligns its growth prospects with some of the stronger budget areas on both Capitol Hill and within the Pentagon. Based on current research and market analysis, management believes that the federal government's healthcare budget including the Military Healthcare Systems and veterans' healthcare remain a top priority.

# **Results of Operations**

# Fiscal Year 2012 as Compared to Fiscal Year 2011

The following table summarizes, for the periods indicated, selected consolidated statements of operations data expressed as a percentage of revenue:

	Fiscal yea	r ended
	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations:		
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Direct expenses	88.6%	85.9%
Gross profit	11.4%	14.1%
General and administrative	15.0%	17.7%
Severance	0.5%	0.0%
Impairment charge-intangible assets	0.0%	6.2%
Depreciation and amortization expense	0.2%	0.3%
Loss from operations	-4.3%	-10.1%
Other income (expense)	0.3%	-0.9%
Loss from continuing operations before tax	-4.0%	-11.0%
Income tax expense	0.0%	0.0%
Loss from continuing operations	-4.0%	-11.0%
Gain from discontinued operation	0.0%	0.6%
Net loss	-4.0%	-10.4%

## Revenues

Revenues from DLH's continuing operations for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$49.2 million and \$41.9 million, respectively, which represents an increase of \$7.3 million or 17.4% over the prior fiscal year despite extended government delays in major awards. The increase in operating revenues is due primarily to new business awards and increased business on existing contracts, particularly in the healthcare area.

## **Direct Expenses**

Direct expenses are generally comprised of direct labor (including benefits), subcontracts, and other direct costs. Direct expenses from continuing operations for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$43.6 million and \$36.0 million, respectively, which represent an increase for fiscal 2012 of \$7.6 million or 21% over the prior fiscal year. This increase is primarily a result of increased direct labor and related expenses attributable to the increase in revenue. As a percentage of revenue, direct expenses were 88.6% and 85.9%, respectively. See the discussion on gross profit directly below for an explanation of the increase in direct expenses as a percentage of revenue.

# **Gross Profit**

Gross profit for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$5.6 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, which represents a decrease of \$0.3 million or 5.1% over the prior fiscal year. Gross profit from continuing operations, as a percentage of revenue, was 11.4% and 14.1%, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. While gross profit benefited from the additional volume of revenue, the average unit price of hours delivered decreased year over year. This

decrease reflects the competitive marketplace which yields lower gross margins overall, as a percent of revenue.

## **General and Administrative Expenses**

General and administrative ("G&A") primarily relates to functions such as corporate and functional management, legal, finance, accounting, contracts, administration, human resources, management information systems, and business development. Exclusive of a \$2.6 million impairment charge taken in 2011, G&A expenses for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$7.6 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. Significant additional G&A costs in 2012 included severance charges of approximately \$0.3 million, investment in major business proposal initiatives of \$0.7 million, and startup costs related to new contracts of \$0.2 million. Significant incremental G&A costs in 2011 included approximately \$0.6 million related to confirmation of a new contract award.

Distribution of G&A costs in 2012 reflects the Company having continued to successfully seek elimination of overhead costs deemed to be non-essential to growth or infrastructure in order to permit reinvestment in areas considered important to support the strategic direction of the company. The Company has also continued its cost savings and reallocation initiatives, which have resulted in refocused headcount in non-revenue generating departments and within SG&A costs, with significantly increased emphasis on building a strong and sustainable pipeline of new business opportunities.

# Impairment Charge—Intangible Assets

There were no impairment charges for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. As a result of its rebranding initiative, the Company wrote off the carrying value of the tradename related to TeamStaff of \$2.6 million in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

# **Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization expense on tangible assets was approximately \$0.1 million for both of the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

#### **Loss from Operations**

Loss from operations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.2 million as compared to loss from operations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 of \$4.2 million. This represents an improvement of \$2.0 million in results from operations from fiscal 2012 to 2011. The improvement is due primarily to the aforementioned impairment charge in the prior year, offset by the pressure on gross profit and severance charges of \$0.3 million in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

## Other Expense

Other income was \$0.1 million as compared to other expense of \$0.4 million, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest expense for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$0.3 million for both fiscal years. Other income for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 was principally derived from the gain on the settlement of the Notes Payable (see Note 6).

The Company provided a 100% deferred tax valuation allowance because it believes that it cannot be considered more likely than not that it will be able to realize the full benefit of the deferred tax asset. The Company determined that negative evidence, including historic and current taxable losses, as well as uncertainties related to the ability to utilize certain Federal and state net loss carry forwards, outweighed any objectively verifiable positive factors, and as such, concluded that a valuation allowance was necessary. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, the Company historically has considered

all positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, prudent and feasible tax planning strategies and recent financial performance. In the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized no tax expense.

## **Loss from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes**

Loss from continuing operations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.0 million, or \$0.29 per basic and per diluted share, as compared to loss from continuing operations of \$4.6 million, or \$0.84 per basic and diluted share, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The improvement is due to the same factors discussed above pertaining to our loss from operations for fiscal 2012.

# **Discontinued Operation**

A non-recurring gain from discontinued operation for the year ended September 30, 2011 was recognized of \$270,000 or \$0.05 per basic and diluted share. During the year ended September 30, 2011 the State of Florida determined that approximately \$270,000 of escheated funds it was holding was the property of the Company and ordered that such funds be paid to the Company. The Company's right to the funds arose in connection with the Company's former PEO operations that were accounted for as a discontinued operation in fiscal 2003 and, accordingly, the Company has recognized the amounts as income from discontinued operations in the current period after concluding that the amount involved was not material to the results of operations in the year of discontinuance.

## Net Loss

Net loss for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.0 million, or (\$0.29) per basic and diluted share, as compared to net loss of \$4.3 million, or (\$0.79) per basic and diluted share, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to the aforementioned impairment charge in the prior year, offset by the pressure on gross profit and severance charges of \$0.3 million in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

#### Other Data

Earnings (Loss) Before Interest Tax Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") adjusted for other non-cash charges ("Adjusted EBITDA"(1)) for the year ended September 30, 2012 was (\$1.7 million) as compared to (\$1.1 million) for the year ended September 30, 2011. The additional loss is attributable to the softening gross profit and to increased G&A expense described above.

We present Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental non-GAAP measure of our performance. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net loss from continuing operations plus (i) interest and other expenses, net, (ii) provision for or benefit from income taxes, if any, (iii) depreciation and amortization, (iv) G&A expenses—equity grants, and (v) impairment charges. This non-GAAP measure of our performance is used by management to conduct and evaluate its business during its regular review of operating results for the periods presented. Management and the Company's Board utilize this non-GAAP measure to make decisions about the use of the Company's resources, analyze performance between periods, develop internal projections and measure management performance. We believe that this non-GAAP measure is useful to investors in evaluating the Company's ongoing operating and financial results and understanding how such results compare with the Company's historical performance. By providing this non-GAAP measure, as a supplement to GAAP information, we believe we are enhancing investors' understanding of our business and our results of operations. This non-GAAP financial measure is limited in its usefulness and should be considered in addition to, and not in lieu of, US GAAP financial measures. Further, this non-GAAP measure may be unique to the Company, as it may be different from the definition of non-GAAP measures

used by other companies. A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA with net loss from continuing operations is as follows:

	For the Year Ended September 30,
	2012 2011
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (2,026) \$ (4,590)
(i) Interest and other expenses (net)	(125) 367
(ii) provision for taxes	
(iii) amortization and depreciation,	121 113
(iv) G&A expenses—equity grants	352 444
(v) impairment charges	
EBITDA adjusted for other non-cash charges	\$ (1,678) \$ (1,083)

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources; Commitments**

In recent years, the Company has sought to finance its operations and capital expenditures through the sale of equity securities, convertible notes and more recently, through the proceeds from a rights offering. The Company's immediate sources of liquidity include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, unbilled receivables and access to its asset-based credit facility with Presidential Financial Corporation. The Company's operating liabilities are largely predictable and consist of vendor and payroll related obligations. The Company's operations require substantial working capital to fund the future growth of its business model with expanded business development efforts, and planned capital expenditures to support a larger customer base.

At September 30, 2012, the Company had a net working capital deficit of approximately \$1.5 million and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$67.4 million. For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Company incurred an operating loss and a net loss of approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$3.1 million in available cash and cash equivalents. The Company anticipates that it will also rely on operating cash flow and periodic funding, to the extent available, from its line of credit, to sustain the operations of the Company.

In fiscal 2011 the Company completed measures to enhance its liquidity by approximately \$1,000,000 as a result of increasing the maximum availability of its credit facility and receiving funding of and/or commitments for additional equity and/or debt financing. In that regard, our largest shareholder, Wynnefield Capital, Inc., and certain of our directors and executive officers collectively provided a total of \$500,000 of additional capital to the Company. As described in Note 10, \$150,000 of such capital was provided through equity investments on March 31,2011 and \$350,000 of such capital was provided in July 2011 by Wynnefield Capital through the sale of convertible debentures. In addition, as described in Note 6, on February 9, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment of its Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation, pursuant to which they agreed to increase the maximum availability under the Loan and Security Agreement by an additional \$500,000 and provide an unbilled receivable facility within the limits of the Loan and Security Agreement.

In fiscal 2012, the Company continued its efforts to improve and stabilize its financial position and further amended its secured credit facility with Presidential Financial Corporation and completed a rights offering in which it received \$4.2 million in gross proceeds. In May 2012, the Company entered into another amendment to the Loan Agreement pursuant to which the Lender agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. However, as described in greater detail in Note 6 below, the Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit is subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions, and

presently, the maximum availability under this loan facility is \$3,000,000, subject to eligible accounts receivable. At September 30, 2012, the amount of unused availability was \$344,000 and the amount outstanding on the loan facility was \$2,363,000.

On March 16, 2012, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a rights offering in which its existing stockholders received non-transferable rights to purchase \$4.2 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock. Under the terms of the rights offering, the Company distributed to the holders of its common stock non- transferable subscription rights for each share of common stock owned on the record date. Each subscription right entitled the holder to purchase 0.532 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share. In connection with the rights offering, on May 2, 2012, the Company entered into a standby purchase agreement with Wynnefield Capital, which owned, prior to the rights offering, approximately 21% of the Company's common stock (excluding common stock warrants and a convertible note) through certain affiliated entities. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, Wynnefield Capital (or affiliated assignees) agreed to acquire from the Company in the rights offering, subject to the satisfactions of specified conditions, the shares of common stock that related to any rights that remained unexercised at the expiration of the rights offering. The closing of the rights offering occurred on June 15, 2012 and the Company raised gross proceeds of \$4.2 million from the sale of 3,230,769 shares of common stock.

Management believes, at present, that: (a) cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.1 million as of September 30, 2012; (b) the amounts available under its line of credit (which, in turn, is limited by a portion of the amount of eligible assets); (c) forecasted operating cash flow; (d) the ultimate non-payment of certain liabilities and recorded guarantees currently contested by the Company or not expected to be settled in cash (see Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) (classified as current at September 30, 2012) in fiscal 2013; and (e) effects of cost reduction programs and initiatives should be sufficient to support the Company's operations for twelve months from the date of these financial statements. However, should any of the above- referenced factors not occur substantially as currently expected, there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to access the level of liquidity necessary for it to sustain operations at current levels for the next twelve months. In such an event, management may be forced to make further reductions in spending or seek additional sources of capital to support our operations. If the Company raises additional funds by selling shares of common stock or convertible securities, the ownership of its existing shareholders would be diluted.

Presently, the Company derives all of its revenue from agencies of the Federal government and the Company has derived a substantial portion of its revenues through various contracts awarded by the DVA. The Company currently provides services to the DVA under a single source Blanket Purchase Agreement awarded in fiscal 2011 that has a ceiling value of up to \$145,000,000 and is scheduled to expire on October 31, 2016. The agreement is subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and there can be no assurance as to the actual amount of services that the Company will ultimately provide under the agreement. This agreement represented approximately 51% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. In addition, the Company also holds contractual order cover through September 30, 2013 in respect of DVA contracts that generated close to a further 44% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, which are not currently the subject of requests for proposals and may in due course be further extended by the DVA on a sole source basis, although no assurances can be given that this will occur. The Company's results of operations, cash flows and financial condition would be materially adversely affected in the event that we are unable to continue our relationships with the DVA or suffer a significant diminution in the quantity of services that they procure from the Company.

## Cash from operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.8 million as compared to \$1.0 million for fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The increase in the cash used from 2011 to 2012 is principally due to growth in accounts receivable and other assets, partially offset by a growth in accounts payable, accrued payroll and accrued expenses.

#### Cash from investing activities

Net cash used in investing activities in 2012 and fiscal 2011 were \$68,000 and \$37,000, respectively, principally due to capital expenditures.

#### Cash from financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended September 30, 2012 was \$5.2 million as compared to \$0.6 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The increase is fiscal 2012 was due to the proceeds from the rights offering and increased use of the Company's borrowing facilities with Presidential Financial Corporation, reduced by the \$0.7 million settlement payment on the long-standing RS Staffing note.

#### Loan Facility

On July 29, 2010, DLH Solutions entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Presidential Financial Corporation (the "Lender"). Under the Loan Agreement, the Lender agreed to provide a two (2) year secured loan facility to DLH Solutions in an aggregate amount of up to \$1.5 million, upon the further terms and subject to the conditions of the Loan Agreement. In November, 2010, the Lender agreed by means of an amendment to the Loan Agreement to increase the maximum amount available under the facility from \$1.5 million to \$2.5 million and on February 9, 2011, we entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement pursuant to which the Lender agreed to further increase our maximum availability under the Loan Agreement from \$2.5 million to \$3.0 million and to provide an unbilled receivable facility within the limits of the Loan Agreement. The February 2011 amendment also extended the term of the Loan Agreement by 12 months, to July 29, 2013 and will automatically renew annually unless terminated by either party

In May 2012, the Company entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement (the "Fifth Amendment") pursuant to which the Lender agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. The Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit, however, is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Fifth Amendment provides for an initial sublimit under the maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000 (the "Initial Sublimit") and an adjusted sublimit of \$4,000,000 (the "Adjusted Sublimit"). The Initial Sublimit of \$3,000,000 will remain in effect until the satisfaction of the following conditions: (i) the repayment of the \$500,000 over-advance accommodation agreed to by Lender as of May 9, 2012, (ii) the Company's demonstration of the need for the increase, (iii) the Company's continued compliance with the Loan Agreement, and (iv) Lender, in its sole discretion, agrees to increase the Initial Sublimit. In the event that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the credit available to under the Loan Agreement shall remain subject to the Adjusted Sublimit until the parties receive any required waivers or consents from the holders of the Company's subordinated Convertible Debentures issued as of July 28, 2011 and Lender, in its sole discretion, agrees to such further increase. In addition, the increased availability under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement is subject to the satisfaction of the same conditions that are applicable to Initial Sublimit. Accordingly, until these conditions are satisfied, the current borrowing limits remain in effect.

An interest rate premium of 2% is payable in respect of any advances secured by unbilled accounts receivable, which are subject to a sub-facility limit of \$500,000 and an advance rate of 75%. The loan is secured by a security interest and lien on all of DLH Solutions' cash accounts, account deposits, letters of credit and investment property, chattel paper, furniture, fixtures and equipment, instruments, investment property, general intangibles, deposit accounts, inventory, other property, all proceeds and products of the foregoing (including proceeds of any insurance policies and claims against third parties for loss of any of the foregoing) and all books and records related thereto. DLH Solutions' ability to request loan advances under the Loan Agreement is subject to (i) computation of DLH Solutions' advance availability limit based on "eligible accounts receivables" (as defined in the Loan Agreement) multiplied by the "Accounts Advance Rate" established by the Lender which initially shall be 85% and may be increased or decreased by the Lender in exercise of its discretion; and (ii) compliance with the covenants and conditions of the loan.

Under the Loan and Security Agreement, interest accrues at the greater of (a) 3.25% or (b) (i) 1.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the accounts receivable portion of the credit line and (ii) 3.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the unbilled accounts portion. In addition, DLH Solutions will pay certain other related fees and expense reimbursements including a monthly service charge of 0.65% based on the average daily loan balance which shall accrue daily and be due and payable on the last day of each month so long as the Loan Agreement is outstanding. The interest rate in effect at September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 5.2%. At September 30, 2012, based on current eligible accounts receivable, the amount of the unused availability under the line was \$344,000. The amount outstanding as of September 30, 2012 was \$2,363,000.

The Loan Agreement requires compliance with customary covenants and contains restrictions on the Company's ability to engage in certain transactions. Among other matters, under the loan agreement we may not, without consent of the Lender, (i) merge or consolidate with another entity, form any new subsidiary or acquire any interest in a third party; (ii) acquire any assets except in the ordinary course of business; (iii) enter into any transaction outside the ordinary course of business; (iv) sell or transfer collateral; (v) make any loans to, or investments in, any affiliate or enter into any transaction with an affiliate other than on an arms-length basis; (vi) incur any debt outside the ordinary course of business; (vii) pay or declare any dividends or other distributions; or (viii) redeem, retire or purchase any of our equity interests exceeding \$50,000. Further, without the consent of the Lender, the Company is also restricted from making any payments in respect of other outstanding indebtedness. The Lender agreed to eliminate the tangible net worth covenant as part of the Fifth Amendment. The Lender may terminate the Loan Agreement at any time upon 60 days written notice after December 31, 2012 and the Loan Agreement provides for customary events of default following which the Lender may, at its option, terminate the loan agreement and accelerate the repayment of any amount outstanding. The defined events of default include, among other things, a material adverse change in the Company's circumstances, or if the Lender deems itself insecure in the ability of the Company to repay its obligations, or as to the sufficiency of the collateral. At present, the Company has not experienced, and the financial institution has not declared, an event of default. On May 9, 2012, Presidential Financial Corporation agreed to allow the Company to borrow up to \$500,000 under its facility with the Company in excess of the eligible collateral, but subject to the maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000. This arrangement expired with

In consideration of the Lender entering into the Fifth Amendment, we agreed to pay a monthly collateral monitoring fee, a documentation fee and at the time that we may borrow amounts in excess of the Initial Sublimit, an origination fee of 1% of the increased availability.

The Company has concurrently executed a Corporate Guaranty Agreement with Lender pursuant to which it has guaranteed all of the obligations of DLH Solutions under the Loan Agreement.

# **Payroll Taxes**

DLH has received notices from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") claiming taxes, interest and penalties due related to payroll taxes predominantly from its former PEO operations which were sold in fiscal 2003. DLH has also received notices from the IRS reporting overpayments of taxes. Management believes that these notices are predominantly the result of misapplication of payroll tax payments between its legal entities. If not resolved favorably, the Company may incur interest and penalties. Until the sale of certain assets related to the former PEO operations, DLH operated through 17 subsidiaries, and management believes that the IRS has not correctly identified payments made through certain of the different entities, therefore leading to the notices. To date, DLH has been working with the IRS to resolve these discrepancies and has had certain interest and penalty claims abated. DLH has also received notices from the Social Security Administration claiming variances in wage reporting compared to IRS transcripts. DLH believes the notices from the Social Security Administration are directly related to the IRS notices received. DLH believes that after the IRS applies all the funds correctly, any significant interest and penalties will be abated; however, there can be no assurance that each of these matters will be resolved favorably. In settling various years for specific subsidiaries with the IRS, the Company has received refunds for those specific periods; however, as the process of settling and concluding on other periods and subsidiaries is not yet completed, the potential exists for related penalties and interest. The remaining liability (\$1.3 million at September 30, 2012) has been recorded in accounts payable and includes estimated accrued penalties and interest totaling approximately \$500,000.

The Company believes it has accrued for the entire estimated remaining liability, inclusive of interest and penalties through the date of the financial statements. The Company may incur additional interest and may incur possible additional penalties through the future date that this obligation is settled, however, it is not currently possible to estimate what, if any, additional amount(s) may be claimed in the future, given the uncertain timing and nature of any future settlement negotiations. No payments were made in fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2012. Management believes that the ultimate resolution of these remaining payroll tax matters will not have a significant adverse effect on its financial position or future results of operations. The Company's intention is that it will in due course seek to negotiate a mutually satisfactory payment plan with the IRS, but there is no assurance that it would be successful in doing so and the Company's future cash flows and liquidity could therefore be materially affected by this matter.

# **Contractual Obligations**

			Payment:	s Due By	Perio	d
		Le	ess than	2 - 3	4	- 5
Obligations (Amounts in thousands)	Total	1	Year	Years	Y	ears
Loan Payable(1)	\$ 2,363	\$	2,363	\$ —	\$	_
Operating Leases(2)	642		163	312		167
Convertible Debentures	350		_	350		_
Total Obligations	\$ 3,355	\$	2,526	\$ 662	\$	167

- (1) Represents the amount recorded in respect loan payable due to Presidential in accordance with the loan agreement and capital lease obligations.
- (2) Represents lease payments net of sublease income.

## **Settlement Agreement**

DLH and DLH Solutions entered into a settlement agreement dated as of July 22, 2011 (the "Agreement") with Roger Staggs and E. Barry Durham, the former principals of RS Staffing Services, Inc. (together, the "Sellers"). The Sellers were the holders of certain promissory notes issued by DLH in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000 (the "Notes"). The claims resolved by the Settlement Agreement concerned DLH's claim of indemnification of approximately \$1,800,000 arising out of the acquisition by DLH of RS Staffing Services, Inc. in June 2005 and certain counterclaims by the Sellers against DLH, including payment under the Notes. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company paid \$200,000 in cash to the Sellers, and issued them an aggregate of 300,000 shares of common stock of DLH, valued at \$795,000, the fair value of the stock at July 22, 2011. The Company also agreed to permit the Sellers to resell an aggregate of 201,724 other shares of common stock of DLH presently held by them, against which the Company had previously placed a stop order to prevent their resale. The Sellers agreed to orderly sale limitations with respect to their ability to resell all their shares of common stock of DLH addition, DLH provided guarantees to the Sellers that the net proceeds to be received by them from the resale of all of the shares of common stock of DLH sold by them pursuant to the Agreement would not be less than certain minimum guarantees. With respect to the shares of common stock of DLH owned by them prior to the effective date of the Agreement (the "Old DLH Shares"), DLH guaranteed net proceeds of \$100,000, and with respect to the shares of common stock of DLH issued under the Agreement (the "New DLH Shares"), DLH guaranteed net proceeds of \$375,000 to each.

The payments of all amounts under the Agreement were secured by the Notes. In addition, the parties agreed to release each other from any further claims that either may have against the other, except to enforce the Agreement. The guarantees in respect of the Old DLH Shares were satisfied in full as of September 30, 2011 and the guarantees in respect of the New DLH Shares were satisfied in full as of September 30, 2012. At September 27, 2012, the guarantees and the remaining value of the Notes have been satisfied through a combination of the repurchase of certain of the shares of the Company's common stock owned by one of the note holders and the expiration of the guarantee period under the Agreement. Following the completion of the sale of 100,000 shares of common stock of the Company by this holder to members of DLH's management team, the Company's retirement of 40,000 shares of its common stock against the payment of an additional \$40,000 and the payment by the Company of \$225,000, the Company fully satisfied its minimum guarantee obligations related to the Notes. Accordingly, the Company recorded a gain of approximately \$486,000 in the fiscal fourth quarter ending September 30, 2012.

The Company recognized expenses related to legal representation and costs incurred in connection with the investigation and settlement in the amount of \$0 and \$96,000 during fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively, as a component of other income (expense).

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not created, and are not party to, any special-purpose or off-balance sheet entities for the purpose of raising capital, incurring debt or operating parts of our business that are not consolidated into our financial statements. We do not have any arrangements or relationships with entities that are not consolidated into our financial statements that are reasonably likely to materially affect our liquidity or the availability of our capital resources. We have entered into various agreements by which we may be obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Generally, these indemnification provisions are included in contracts arising in the normal course of business under which we customarily agree to hold the indemnified party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations related to such matters as intellectual property rights. Payments by us under such indemnification clauses are generally conditioned on the other party making a claim. Such claims are generally subject to challenge by us and to dispute resolution procedures specified in the particular

contract. Further, our obligations under these arrangements may be limited in terms of time and/or amount and, in some instances, we may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by us. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the conditional nature of our obligations and the unique facts of each particular agreement. Historically, we have not made any payments under these agreements that have been material individually or in the aggregate. As of our most recent fiscal year end we were not aware of any obligations under such indemnification agreements that would require material payments.

# **Effects of Inflation**

Inflation and changing prices have not had a material effect on DLH's net revenues and results of operations, as DLH has been able to modify its prices and cost structure to respond to inflation and changing prices.

# Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements Affecting the Company

In May 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance on fair value measurements to clarify certain disclosure requirements and improve consistency with international reporting standards. This amendment is to be applied prospectively and is effective for the Company's fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on prospective financial statements.

The FASB amended existing guidance on reporting comprehensive income in June 2011 to require entities to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income under current accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This guidance was effective for the Company's fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2012 and its adoption did not had a material effect on the financial statements.

In August 2011, the FASB approved a revised accounting standard to simplify the testing of goodwill for impairment. The guidance permits an entity to first assess defined qualitative factors in determining whether it is necessary to perform the goodwill impairment test. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company has elected to adopt this guidance for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

In December 2011, the FASB amended disclosure concerning offsetting assets and liabilities. The amendments in the update requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. Entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This scope would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. The amendment is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

In July 2012, the FASB further amended existing guidance on testing indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment. The amendments are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of performing

an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and to improve consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The amendments permit an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with Subtopic 350-30. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. This update applies to all entities, both public and nonpublic, that have indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, reported in their financial statements. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if a public entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

In August 2012, the FASB issued technical amendments and corrections to SEC sections, effective for the period ended September 30, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued technical corrections and improvements, which include revisions to prior guidance on numerous topics, including Convertible Debentures. The effective date of these revisions is for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

DLH does not undertake trading practices in securities or other financial instruments and therefore does not have any material exposure to interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk or other similar risks, which might otherwise result from such practices. DLH is not materially subject to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, commodity prices or other market rates or prices from market sensitive instruments other than potentially in future periods in regard to certain derivative instruments or embedded features required to be accounted for as derivative instruments as discussed in Note 6 to the accompanying financial statements. DLH believes it does not have a material interest rate risk with respect to our prior workers' compensation programs. In connection with DLH's prior workers' compensation programs, prepayments of future claims were deposited into trust funds for possible future payments of these claims in accordance with the policies. The interest income resulting from these prepayments is for the benefit of DLH, and is used to offset workers' compensation expense. Interest rates payable on these funds have been relatively static and at a level where any further downward rate adjustments would not be expected to result in a material adverse impact on the Company's exposure to workers' compensation expense. DLH does not believe the level of exposure to interest rate fluctuations on its debt instruments is material given the amount of debt subject to variable interest rates and the prime rate interest rate floors applied by the Lenders.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

See attached Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 attached to this Report on Form 10-K.

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our CEO and President and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report, has concluded that, based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and President and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, including our CEO and President and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. Our management, however, believes our disclosure controls and procedures are in fact effective to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

## Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012. In making this evaluation, management used the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial eporting was effective as of September 30, 2012.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding our internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

## **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There was no change in our system of internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter of our fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Effective as of November 15, 2012, the Company granted an aggregate of 52,500 shares of restricted stock to its non-executive directors consistent with its compensation policy for non-executive directors. These shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. There is no other information required to be disclosed that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item with respect to our executive officers is provided under the caption entitled "Executive Officers of the Company" in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated by reference herein. The information required by this item with respect to our directors, board committees, and corporate governance matters will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement under the captions "Election of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Corporate Governance" of the Proxy Statement, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

We have adopted a written code of business conduct and ethics, which applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial or accounting officer or person serving similar functions and all of our other employees and members of our board of directors. We did not waive any provisions of the code of business ethics during the year ended September 30, 2012. If we amend, or grant a waiver under, our code of business ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial or accounting officer, or persons performing similar functions, we intend to post information about such amendment or waiver on our website.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

# ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

# ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm", to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

#### PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The financial statements and schedules of DLH are included in Part II, Item 8 of this report beginning on page F-1.

(a) (2) Financial Statement Schedule

All schedules have been omitted since the required information is not applicable or because the information required is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes thereto.

(a) (3) Exhibits

The exhibits designated with an asterisk (\*) are filed herewith. All other exhibits have been previously filed with the Commission and, pursuant to 17 C.F.R. Secs. 201.24 and 240.12b-32, are incorporated by reference to the document referenced in brackets following the descriptions of such exhibits. The exhibits designated with a number sign (#) indicate a management contract or compensation plan or arrangement.

Exhibit No. Description

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among TeamStaff, Inc., TeamSub, Inc and BrightLane.com, Inc., dated as of March 6, 2001, as amended by Amendment No. 1 dated as of March 21, 2001 and Amendment No. 2 dated as of April 6, 2001 (filed as Appendix A to the Proxy Statement/prospectus filed on August 7, 2001, SEC File no. 333-61730, as part of Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4).
- 2.2.1 Form of Asset Purchase Agreement between TeamStaff, Inc and Gevity HR, Inc. dated as of November 14, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 2 to Form 8-K dated November 14, 2003).
  - 2.3 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 29, 2008, by and among Temps, Inc., TeamStaff, Inc. and TeamStaff Rx, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 5, 2008).
  - 2.4 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 28, 2009, by and among Advantage RN, LLC, TeamStaff, Inc. and TeamStaff Rx, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on December 30, 2009).
  - 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (filed as Exhibit A to Definitive Proxy Statement dated May 1, 2000 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission).
  - 3.2 Amended By-Laws of Registrant adopted as of May 15, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 File No. 333-61730).
  - 3.3 Amended and restated By-Laws of Registrant adopted as of August 29, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Form S-3 filed on December 27, 2001).
  - 3.4 Amendment to By-Laws of Registrant adopted November 8, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2007).
  - 3.5 Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (filed as Exhibit B to Definitive Proxy Statement dated March 13, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission).
  - 3.6 Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company filed June 25, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 26, 2012).

Exhibit No. Description 4.1 Convertible Debenture issued to Wynnefield Small Cap Value, LP I (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011). 4.2 Convertible Debenture issued to Wynnefield Small Cap Value, LP (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011). 4.3 Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued to Wynnefield Small Cap Value, LP I (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011). 4.4 Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued to Wynnefield Small Cap Value, LP (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011). 4.5 Form of Warrant Issued in October 2011 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011). 4.6 Form of Subscription Rights Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on April 26, 2012). 4.7 Form of Subscription Agent Agreement by and between Teamstaff, Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company (filed as Exhibit 4.6 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on March 16, 2012). 10.1# 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit B to the Proxy Statement dated as of March 8, 2000 with respect to the Annual meeting of Shareholders held on April 13, 2000). 10.2# 2000 Non-Executive Director Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit B to the Proxy Statement dated as of March 8, 2000 with respect to the Annual meeting of Shareholders held on April 13, 2000). 10.3 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of April 6, 2001 between TeamStaff, Inc. and BrightLane.com, Inc. with respect to purchase of Series A Preferred Stock (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated April 6, 2001). 10.4 Form of Escrow Agreement between TeamStaff, Inc. and BrightLane Shareholders with respect to the placement of 150,000 shares into escrow by the BrightLane shareholders (filed as Appendix B to the proxy statement/prospectus filed on August 7, 2001 SEC File No. 333.61730). 10.5# Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option Certificate and Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Form 10-K filed on December 23, 2004). 10.6# Form of Employee Non-Qualified Stock Option Certificate and Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Form 10-K filed on December 23, 2004). 10.7# Form of 2000 Director Plan Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Form 10-K filed on December 23, 2004). 10.8 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement among TeamStaff, Inc. and the Shareholders of RS Staffing Services, Inc. dated as of May 26, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated June 8, 2005).

10.9.2 Form of Note dated June 8, 2005 issued by Team Staff, Inc. to Barry Durham (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the

10.9.1 Form of Note dated June 8, 2005 issued by TeamStaff, Inc. to Roger Staggs (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the

Form 10-Q filed on August 12, 2005).

Exhibit No. Description 10.10# Form Notice of Restricted Stock Bonus Award and Restricted Stock Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q filed on May 15, 2006). 10.1# Form of Director Stock Option Agreement for options granted September 1, 2006. (filed as Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on December 21, 2006). 10.12# Employment Agreement between the Company and Zachary C. Parker, dated February 9, 2010 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 11, 2010). 10.13# Form of Stock Option Award under 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010). 10.14 Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2010, between Teamstaff Government Solutions, Inc. and Presidential Financial Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 16, 2010). 10.15 Secured Promissory Note, dated July 29, 2010, executed by TeamStaff Government Solutions, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 16, 2010). 10.16 Corporate Guaranty Agreement, dated July 29, 2010, executed by TeamStaff, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 16, 2010). 10.17 Amendment to Secured Promissory Note and Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 27, 2010). 10.18 Second Amendment to Secured Promissory Note and Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 30, 2010). 10.19 Employment Agreement between the Company and John E. Kahn, dated September 22, 2010 (filed as Exhibit 10.33 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010). 10.20# Employment Agreement between the Company and John F. Armstrong, dated February 7, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.34 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010). 10.21 Third Amendment to Secured Promissory Note and Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation, dated February 9, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.35 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010). 10.22 Form of Subscription Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011). 10.23 Form of Subscription Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011). 10.24 Form of Settlement Agreement dated as of July 22, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 28, 2011). 10.25# 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit A to the Proxy Statement dated July 18, 2011) with respect to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on August 18, 2011). 10.26 Debenture Purchase Agreement dated as of June 1, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Quarterly Report on

14(a).

Exhibi	it No.	Description
	10.27	Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Zachary C. Parker (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011).
	10.28	Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and John E. Kahn (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011).
	10.29	Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and John F. Armstrong (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011).
	10.30	Creditor Subordination Agreement by TeamStaff Government Solutions, Inc., TeamStaff, Inc., Presidential Financial Corporation and Wynnefield Partners SmallCap Value LP (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011).
	10.31	Creditor Subordination Agreement by TeamStaff Government Solutions, Inc., TeamStaff, Inc., Presidential Financial Corporation and Wynnefield Partners SmallCap Value LP I (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011).
	10.32#	Employment Agreement between the Company and Kevin Wilson (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2011).
	10.33	Fourth Amendment to Secured Promissory Note and Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation dated November 30, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 10.44 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011).
	10.34	Standby Purchase Agreement dated May 2, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2012).
	10.35	Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 2, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2012).
	10.36	Amendment to Secured Promissory Note and Loan Agreement dated as of May 18, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 24, 2012).
	10.37#	Employment Agreement with Kathryn M. JohnBull (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 29, 2012).
	10.38#	Separation Agreement with John E. Kahn dated as of August 23, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012)
	10.39#	Amendment to Employment Agreement with Zachary C. Parker (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-k filed November 12, 2013).
	14	Code of Ethics (Exhibit 14.1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003).
	21*	Subsidiaries of Registrants.
	23.1*	Consent of WithumSmith+Brown, PC
	31 1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 17 CFR 240 13a-14(a) or 17 CFR 240 15d-

Exhibit No. Description

31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a) or 17 CFR 240.15d-

- 31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a) or 17 CFR 240.15d-14(a).
- 32.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 17 CFR 240.15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 101## The following financial information from the DLH Holdings Corp. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; (iv) the Consolidated Statements Of Shareholders' Equity and, (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
- Portions of this exhibit were omitted and filed separately with the Secretary of the Commission pursuant to an application for confidential treatment filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# DLH HOLDINGS CORP.

By:	/s/ ZACHARY C. PARKER	
	Zachary C. Parker Chief Executive Officer	
	(Principal Executive Officer)	

Dated: December 13, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ FREDERICK G. WASSERMAN Frederick G. Wasserman	Chairman of the Board	December 13, 2012
/s/ T. STEPHEN JOHNSON  T. Stephen Johnson	Director	December 13, 2012
/s/ PETER BLACK Peter Black	Director	December 13, 2012
/s/ MARTIN J. DELANEY  Martin J. Delaney	Director	December 13, 2012
/s/ WILLIAM H. ALDERMAN William H. Alderman	Director	December 13, 2012
/s/ AUSTIN J. YERKS III	Director	December 13, 2012
/s/ ZACHARY C. PARKER	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	December 13, 2012
Zachary C. Parker /s/ KATHRYN M. JOHNBULL	Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer	December 13, 2012
Kathryn M. Johnbull	58	

# **DLH Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries**

# **Index to Consolidated Financial Statements**

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets As Of September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>F-3</u>
Consolidated Statements Of Operations For the Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements Of Cash Flows For The Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated Statements Of Shareholders' Equity For The Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>F-7</u>
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

Schedules have been omitted as they are either not required or because the related information has been included in the notes to consolidated financial statements

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of DLH Holdings Corp.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of DLH Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of DLH Holdings Corp., Inc. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ WITHUMSMITH+BROWN, PC WithumSmith+Brown, PC New York, New York December 13, 2012

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

ASSETS	Sep	September 30, 2012		tember 30, 2011
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,089	\$	763
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 as of				
September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011		13,028		11,112
Prepaid workers' compensation		516		513
Other current assets		133		184
Total current assets		16,766		12,572
EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS:				
Furniture and equipment		139		177
Computer equipment		126		102
Computer software		408		260
Leasehold improvements		24		21
		697		560
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(429)		(346)
Equipment and improvements, net		268		214
GOODWILL		8,595		8,595
OTHER ASSETS				
Deferred financing costs, net		9		26
Other assets		784		510
Total other assets		793		536
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	26,422	\$	21,917

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PAR VALUE OF SHARES)

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Bank loan payable	\$ 2,36	53 \$	740	
Notes payable	-	_	711	
Current portion of capital lease obligations	5	51	8	
Accrued payroll	10,55	55	10,318	
Accounts payable	2,29	96	1,983	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	2,83	17	2,134	
Liabilities from discontinued operation	18	35	235	
Total current liabilities	18,26	57	16,129	
LONG TERM LIABILITIES				
Convertible debenture, net	20	)2	46	
Derivative financial instruments, at fair value	11	19	182	
Other long term liability	8	34	6	
Total long term liabilities	40	)5	234	
Total liabilities	18,67	72	16,363	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Preferred stock, \$.10 par value; authorized 5,000 shares; none issued and outstanding	-		_	
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 40,000 shares; issued 9,266 at September 30, 2012 and 6,023 at September 30, 2011, outstanding 9,264 at				
September 30, 2012 and 6,021 at September 30, 2011	75.00	9	50,000	
Additional paid-in capital	75,20		70,988	
Accumulated deficit	(67,44	<del>(</del> 2)	(65,416)	
Treasury stock, 2 shares at cost at September 30, 2012 and 2 shares at	16	14)	(0.4)	
September 30, 2011		24)	(24)	
Total shareholders' equity	7,75	50	5,554	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 26,42	22 \$	21,917	

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

# (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	For the Year Ended			ded
	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
REVENUES	\$	49,193	\$	41,923
DIRECT EXPENSES		43,596		36,025
GROSS PROFIT		5,597		5,898
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES		7,361		7,425
SEVERANCE		267		_
IMPAIRMENT CHARGE—INTANGIBLE ASSETS		_		2,583
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION		120		113
Loss from operations		(2,151)		(4,223)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Interest income		13		8
Interest expense		(298)		(291)
Amortization of deferred financing costs		(195)		(56)
Change in fair value of financial instruments		105		107
Loss on retirement of assets		(2)		(45)
Settlement of note payable		486		
Other income, net		16		6
Legal expense related to pre-acquisition activity of acquired company		_		(96)
		125		(367)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes		(2,026)		(4,590)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE		_		_
Loss from continuing operations		(2,026)		(4,590)
GAIN FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATION				270
NET LOSS	\$	(2,026)	\$	(4,320)
NET GAIN (LOSS) PER SHARE—BASIC AND DILUTED				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.84)
Gain from discontinued operation			_	0.05
Net loss per share	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.79)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE BASIC AND DILUTED SHARES OUTSTANDING		7,026		5,460

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	For the Year Ended			aded
	Sep	tember 30,	Sep	otember 30,
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		2012		2011
Net loss	\$	(2,026)	\$	(4,320)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities, net of	Ψ	(2,020)	Ψ	(1,020)
divested business:				
Depreciation and amortization including debt costs		275		146
Impairment charge				2,583
Change in fair value of derivative financial instruments		(105)		(107)
Compensation expense related to employee stock option grants		179		398
Compensation expense related to employee restricted stock grants		50		14
Compensation expense related to director restricted stock grants		123		20
Share based expense on non employee options		25		_
Warrants issued to consultants		12		_
Other non cash compensation		6		12
Loss on retirement of equipment		2		45
Gain on settlement of notes payable		(486)		_
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of divested business:				
Accounts receivable		(1,916)		212
Other current assets		48		160
Other assets		(258)		(150)
Accounts payable, accrued payroll, accrued expenses and other current liabilities		1,233		(1)
Other long term liabilities		56		1
Net cash used in operating activities		(2,782)		(987)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Purchase of equipment, leasehold improvements and software		(68)		(37)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(68)		(37)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net advances on revolving line of credit		1,623		378
Settlement of notes payable		(225)		(200)
Rights offering proceeds		4,197		
Rights offering expenses		(335)		_
Payments on capital lease obligations		(37)		(18)
Issuance of convertible debentures, net of direct costs \$32		_		318
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		_		30
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net		3		146
Cash flows from discontinued operation		(50)		(54)
Net cash provided by financing activities		5,176		600
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		2,326		(424)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		763		1,187
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$	3,089	\$	763
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:				
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	298	\$	229
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$	11	\$	17
1				

# NON CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Equipment acquired under capital lease

\$ 102 \$

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

# For the year ended September 30, 2012

# (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	Commo	n Stock	Additional Paid-In		cumulated	Treasury		Total
	Shares	Amount				Shares An		Equity
BALANCE,								
September 30,								
2010	5,103	\$ 5	\$ 69,503	\$	(61,096)	2 \$	(24)\$	8,388
	ĺ		,					ŕ
Director restricted								
stock grants	35		20					20
Exercise of stock								
options	30		30					30
Proceeds of non cash considerations from sale of								
common stock	459	1	161					162
Issuance of	737		101					102
shares for								
services	51		25					25
Expense related to employee								
stock option								
grants			398					398
Issuance of								
common								
shares in								
settlement of								
note payable	300		795					795
Warrants issued								
on convertible								
debentures			42					42
Expense related to employee restricted stock								
grants	43		14					14
Net loss				_	(4,320)			(4,320)
BALANCE,								
September 30,								
2011	6,021	\$ 6	\$ 70,988	\$	(65,416)	2 \$	(24)\$	5,554
Director restricted								
stock grants	54		123					123
Proceeds from	31		123					123
sale of								
commons								

stock						_
Issuance of						
shares for						
services			12			12
Expense related						
to employee						
stock option						
grants			179			179
Fees related to						
rights offering			(292)			(292)
Non-employee						
options			25			25
Warrants issued						
on convertible						
debentures			(34)			(34)
Expense related						
to employee						
restricted stock						
grants			50			50
Rights offering	3,231	3	4,196			4,199
Purchase of						
common stock	(40)		(40)			(40)
Net loss				(2,026)		(2,026)
BALANCE,						
September 30,						
2012	9,266 \$	9 \$	75,207 \$	(67,442)	2 \$ (24)\$	7,750

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

#### (1) ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS:

For more than 25 years, DLH Holdings Corp. ("DLH" formerly TeamStaff Inc.), has provided professional services to the U.S. Government. Headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, DLH employs approximately 1,100 skilled technicians, logisticians, engineers, healthcare and support personnel at more than 25 locations around the United States. DLH's operating subsidiary, DLH Solutions, Inc. is organized into three broad integrated business areas: Healthcare Delivery Solutions, Logistics and Technical Services, and Contingency / Staff Augmentation Services. Our customers, a majority of whom are within the Departments of Defense ("DoD") and Veterans Affairs ("DVA"), benefit from proven leadership processes, technical excellence, and cost management. The remaining portion of DLH's business is comprised of customers within the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Departments of Justice, Agriculture, Interior and Federal Emergency Management. Agency, at locations throughout the United States.

In February 2012, the Company's shareholders approved a proposal to change its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. On June 25, 2012 the Company filed an amendment to its certificate of incorporation to implement the change in its corporate name to DLH Holdings Corp. (together with its subsidiaries, "DLH" or the "Company" and also referred to as "we," "us" and "our"). DLH's primary operations are managed from Loganville, Georgia and its principal executive offices are located at 1776 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

#### Corporate History

DLH Holdings Corp. was originally incorporated in New Jersey in 1969 as a payroll staffing company. Through several strategic transactions over recent decades, the Company has evolved considerably and in early 2010, made the strategic decision to build the Company around its government services entity, DLH Solutions, Inc. (formerly, TeamStaff Government Solutions, Inc.) The Company is now completely focused on government services both as a prime contractor as well as a partner with other government contractors. The Company's other wholly-owned subsidiaries are not actively operating.

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

# **Liquidity**

In recent years, the Company has sought to finance its operations and capital expenditures through the sale of equity securities, convertible notes and more recently, through the proceeds from a rights offering. The Company's immediate sources of liquidity include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, unbilled receivables and access to its asset-based credit facility with Presidential Financial Corporation. The Company's operating liabilities are largely predictable and consist of vendor and payroll related obligations. The Company's operations require substantial working capital to fund the future growth of its business model with expanded business development efforts, and planned capital expenditures to support a larger customer base.

At September 30, 2012, the Company had a net working capital deficit of approximately \$1.5 million and an accumulated deficit of approximately \$67.4 million. For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Company incurred an operating loss and a net loss of approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

In fiscal 2011 the Company completed measures to enhance its liquidity by approximately \$1,000,000 as a result of increasing the maximum availability of its credit facility and receiving funding of and/or commitments for additional equity and/or debt financing. In that regard, our largest shareholder, Wynnefield Capital, Inc., and certain of our directors and executive officers collectively provided a total of \$500,000 of additional capital to the Company. As described in Note 10, \$150,000 of such capital was provided through equity investments on March 31, 2011 and \$350,000 of such capital was provided in July 2011 by Wynnefield Capital through the sale of convertible debentures. In addition, as described in Note 6, on February 9, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment of its Loan and Security Agreement with Presidential Financial Corporation, pursuant to which they agreed to increase the maximum availability under the Loan and Security Agreement by an additional \$500,000 and provide an unbilled receivable facility within the limits of the Loan and Security Agreement. Further, in May 2012, the Company entered into another amendment to the Loan Agreement pursuant to which the Lender agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. However, as described in greater detail in Note 6 below, the Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit is subject to the satisfaction of a number of conditions, and presently, the maximum availability under this loan facility is \$3,000,000; subject to eligible accounts receivable.

At September 30, 2012, the amount of unused availability was \$344,000. The amount outstanding on the loan facility as of September 30, 2012 was \$2,363,000.

On March 16, 2012, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a rights offering in which its existing stockholders received non-transferable rights to purchase \$4.2 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock. Under the terms of the rights offering, the Company distributed to the holders of its common stock non- transferable subscription rights for each share of common stock owned on the record date. Each subscription right entitled the holder to purchase 0.532 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share. In connection with the rights offering, on May 2, 2012, the Company entered into a standby purchase agreement with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. ("Wynnefield Capital"), which owned, prior to the rights offering, approximately 21% of the Company's common stock (excluding common stock warrants and a convertible note) through certain affiliated entities. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, Wynnefield Capital (or affiliated assignees) agreed to acquire from the Company in the rights offering, subject to the satisfactions of specified conditions, the shares of common stock that related to any rights that remained unexercised at the expiration of the rights offering. The closing of the rights offering occurred on June 15, 2012 and the Company raised gross proceeds of \$4.2 million from the sale of 3,230,769 shares of common stock.

Management believes, at present, that: (a) cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.1 million as of September 30, 2012; (b) the amounts available under its line of credit (which, in turn, is limited by a portion of the amount of eligible assets); (c) forecasted operating cash flow; (d) the ultimate non-payment of certain liabilities and recorded guarantees currently contested by the Company or not expected to be settled in cash (see Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) (classified as current at September 30, 2012) in fiscal 2013; and (e) effects of cost reduction programs and initiatives should be sufficient to support the Company's operations for twelve months from the

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

date of these financial statements. However, should any of the above- referenced factors not occur substantially as currently expected, there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to access the level of liquidity necessary for it to sustain operations at current levels for the next twelve months. In such an event, management may be forced to make further reductions in spending or seek additional sources of capital to support our operations. If the Company raises additional funds by selling shares of common stock or convertible securities, the ownership of its existing shareholders would be diluted.

Presently, the Company derives all of its revenue from agencies of the Federal government and the Company has derived a substantial portion of its revenues through various contracts awarded by the DVA. The Company currently provides services to the DVA under a single source Blanket Purchase Agreement awarded in fiscal 2011 that has a ceiling value of approximately \$145,000,000 and is scheduled to expire on October 31, 2016. The agreement is subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and there can be no assurance as to the actual amount of services that the Company will ultimately provide under the agreement. This agreement represented approximately 51% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. In addition, the Company also holds contractual order cover through September 30, 2013 in respect of DVA contracts that generated an additional 44% of its revenue in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, which are not currently the subject of requests for proposals and may in due course be further extended by the DVA on a sole source basis, although no assurances can be given that this will occur. The Company's results of operations, cash flows and financial condition would be materially adversely affected in the event that we are unable to continue our relationships with the DVA or suffer a significant diminution in the quantity of services that they procure from the Company.

### **Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of DLH and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include valuation of goodwill, expected settlement amounts of accounts receivable, measurement of prepaid workers' compensation, valuation allowances established against accounts receivable and deferred tax assets and measurement of payroll tax contingencies, accounts payable, workers' compensation claims and accrued expenses and the valuation of financial instruments associated with debt agreements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In particular, a material reduction in the fair value of goodwill would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

# Revenue Recognition

DLH's revenue is derived from professional and other specialized service offerings to US Government agencies through a variety of contracts, some of which are fixed-price in nature and/or sourced through Federal Supply Schedules administered by the General Services Administration ("GSA") at fixed unit rates or hourly arrangements. We generally operate as a prime contractor, but have also entered into contracts as a subcontractor. The recognition of revenue from fixed rates is based upon objective criteria that generally do not require significant estimates that may change over time. Other types of US Government contracts may include cost reimbursable contracts, fixed price or flexibly priced contracts requiring estimates based on percentage-of-completion methods of recognizing revenue and profit. These contracting vehicles do not, at this time, represent a significant portion of our revenue nor require estimating techniques that would materially impact our revenue reported herein. DLH recognizes and records revenue on government contracts when it is realized, or realizable, and earned. DLH considers these requirements met when: (a) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (b) the services have been delivered to the customer; (c) the sales price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (d) collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenues related to retroactive billings in 2008 from an agency of the Federal government were recognized when: (1) the Company developed and calculated an amount for such prior period services and had a contractual right to bill for such amounts under its arrangements, (2) there were no remaining unfulfilled conditions for approval of such billings and (3) collectability is reasonably assured based on historical practices with the DVA. The related direct costs, principally comprised of salaries and benefits, were accrued to match the recognized reimbursements from the Federal agency; upon approval, wages will be processed for payment to the employees.

During the year ended September 30, 2008, DLH recognized revenues of \$10.8 million and direct costs of \$10.1 million related to these non-recurring arrangements. At September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the amount of the remaining accounts receivable with the DVA approximated \$9.3 million and accrued liabilities for salaries to employees and related benefits totaled \$8.7 million. The \$9.3 million in accounts receivable was unbilled to the DVA at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. Although the timing cannot be guaranteed, at present the Company expects to bill and collect such amounts during fiscal 2013, based on current discussions with the DVA and collection efforts.

In April 2012, the Company received formal contract modifications from the DVA, dated April 16, 2012, concerning the retroactive billing matter. The contract modifications from the DVA incorporate relevant wage determinations covering largely 2006 and 2007 applying to the Company's historical contracts with DVA during those periods. These government modifications initiate the procedures whereby the Company may invoice the DVA in accordance with the modified wage determinations and subsequently make timely retroactive payments to employees (active and inactive) covering work performed at the certain locations. The Company expects to follow the same process implemented as directed by and in conjunction with the Department of Labor and the DVA when similar wage determination-related contract modifications were made to cover other sites (also for the periods of 2006 and 2007) in 2008.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

The Company continues to support the Government's review of the detailed supporting calculations for the retroactive billings and to negotiate an incremental final amount related to indirect costs and fees applied to these retroactive billings. As such, there may be additional revenues recognized in future periods once the final approval for such additional amounts is obtained. The additional indirect costs and fees are estimated to be between \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million. The Company has developed these estimates under the same contractual provisions applied to the sites that were settled in 2008. However, because these amounts remain subject to government review, no assurances can be given that any amounts that we may receive will be within the range specified above.

#### **Concentrations of Credit Risks**

Financial instruments that potentially subject DLH to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and accounts receivable. DLH maintains substantially all its cash balances in a limited number of financial institutions. The balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000 in respect of interest bearing accounts and without limit for other accounts through December 31, 2012. At times the deposits in banks may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. DLH monitors the financial health of these banking institutions. At September 30, 2012, the Company's uninsured cash balances approximated \$0.3 million. Historically, the Company has not experienced any losses on deposits.

DLH provides services to the DVA, the US Department of Defense and other US governmental agencies and operated at over 40 facilities during fiscal 2011 year. Substantially all of the business of DLH Solutions is accomplished through Federal Supply Schedule contracts with the GSA and DVA. Credit, when given, is generally granted on an unsecured basis.

The Company's policy is to maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts, if any, for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to pay. However, if the financial condition of DLH's customers were to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in nonpayment, DLH could be required to provide for additional allowances, which would decrease operating results in the period that such determination was made.

#### **Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, DLH considers all liquid investments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

# **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

Accounts receivable are unsecured and carried at fair value, which is net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on a specific identification methodology. Generally an account receivable is deemed uncollectible based upon the aging of the receivable and/or specific identification. Interest is not typically charged on past due accounts and the specific identification method takes into account the Company's assessment of the default risk based upon recent events in the customer's business, economic status and changes in credit status. With respect to receivables owed by agencies of the U.S. Government, the Company believes that the risk of loss on these accounts is minimal (See Note 13).

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

Before accounts are deemed uncollectible, demand letters are sent and, if that does not result in payment, the receivable is placed for collection with a collection agency. The Company's last attempt at collection would be legal action, depending upon the customer's financial situation. If the Company is unsuccessful at collection after these steps, the receivable is written-off.

### Fair Value

DLH has financial instruments, principally accounts receivable, accounts payable, loan payable, notes payable and accrued expenses. DLH estimates that the fair value of these financial instruments at September 30, 2012 and 2011 does not differ materially from the aggregate carrying values of these financial instruments recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. However, because the Company presents certain common stock warrants and embedded conversion features (associated with Convertible Debentures—See Note 6) and accounts for such derivative financial instruments at fair value, such derivatives are materially impacted by the market value of the Company's stock and therefore subject to a high degree of volatility. The Company's future results may be materially impacted by changes in the Company's closing stock price as of the date it prepares future periodic financial statements.

In accordance with authoritative guidance the Company categorized its assets and liabilities based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique into a three-level fair value hierarchy as set forth below. The three levels of the hierarchy are defined as follows:

Level 1—Unadjusteduoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The Company currently does not have any Level 1 financial assets or liabilities.

Level 2—Observablinputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in non-active markets, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. The Company currently does not have any Level 2 financial assets or liabilities.

Level 3—Unobservablinputs reflecting management's own assumptions about the input used in pricing the asset or liability.

The following table presents the Company's September 30, 2012 and 2011 assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy (all Level 3):

	Septembe	er 30,
	2012	2011
Asset:		
Tradenames	\$ 0	0
Liability:		
Derivative financial instruments	\$ 119 \$	8 182

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

The following is a summary of activity as of and for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 for these assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Asset: Tradenames	
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 2,583
Impairment loss included in results of operations	(2,583)
Balance, September 30, 2011	
Impairment loss included in results of operations	_
Balance, September 30, 2012	\$
Liability: Fair Value of Derivative Financial Instruments	
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ _
Fair value of warrants	(289)
Change in fair value included in results of operations	107
Balance, September 30, 2011	\$ (182)
Fair value of warrants	(42)
Change in fair value included in results of operations	105
Balance, September 30, 2012	\$ (119)

The major assumptions used in determining the associated fair values using level 3 inputs (unobservable) are discussed in Notes 2 and 6. The aforementioned impairment losses included in the results of operations are discussed below.

# **Equipment and Improvements**

Equipment and improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful asset lives (3 to 5 years) and the shorter of the initial lease term or estimated useful life for leasehold improvements. Maintenance and repair costs are charged to expense as incurred.

# **Advertising Costs**

The Company's advertising expenses consist primarily of online advertising, professional trade magazines and various other print media, promotional material and direct mail marketing. The Company expenses advertising costs as they are incurred. Total advertising costs for continuing operations were \$19 and \$5 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

# **Occupancy Lease Commitments**

The Company has occupancy leases with various payment terms to include a fixed payment schedule over the lease term, variable payment schedule over the lease term, or a lease that may have rent escalations, an abatement or "rent holiday" periods. The Company records occupancy expense using the straight-line method over the lease term, regardless of actual payment terms.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

#### Goodwill

In accordance with applicable accounting standards, DLH does not amortize goodwill. DLH continues to review its goodwill for possible impairment or loss of value at least annually or more frequently upon the occurrence of an event or when circumstances indicate that a reporting unit's carrying amount is greater than its fair value. At September 30, 2012, we performed a goodwill impairment evaluation. We performed both a qualitative and quantitative assessment of factors to determine whether it was necessary to perform the goodwill impairment test. Based on the results of the work performed, the Company has concluded that no impairment loss was warranted at September 30, 2012. Additional impairment analyses at future dates may be performed to determine if indicators of impairment are present, and if so, such amount will be determined and the associated charge will be recorded to the consolidated statement of operations.

Factors including non-renewal of a major contract (see Note 2—Liquidity and Note 13) or other substantial changes in business conditions could have a material adverse effect on the valuation of goodwill in future periods and the resulting charge could be material to future periods' results of operations. If an impairment write off of all the goodwill became necessary in future periods, a charge of up to \$8.6 million would be expensed in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. All remaining goodwill is attributable to the DLH Solutions operating subsidiary.

### **Intangible Assets**

As required by applicable accounting standards, DLH did not amortize its tradenames, an indefinite life intangible asset. DLH reviewed its indefinite life intangible assets for possible impairment or loss of value at least annually or more frequently upon the occurrence of an event or when circumstances indicated that an asset's carrying amount was greater than its fair value. On September 15, 2011, the Board of Directors of DLH approved the change of the corporate name of TeamStaff GS to DLH Solutions and also approved a plan to change the corporate name of the Company to DLH Holdings Corp. In connection with these actions, the Company ceased further use of the TeamStaff trademark and implemented new marketing and branding initiatives associated with the new corporate identity being adopted by the Company. As a result of the corporate name change, abandoning the use of the TeamStaff name and associated rebranding efforts being implemented by the Company, the Company concluded that it was required to record a non-cash impairment charge with respect to the value of the "TeamStaff" trademark of \$2.6 million to fully write-off the value of this trademark.

### **Income Taxes**

TeamStaff accounts for income taxes in accordance with the "liability" method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are reflected on the consolidated balance sheet when it is determined that it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized. This guidance also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded a 100% valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets (See Note 5).

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") has issued authoritative guidance that clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement of the tax uncertainty occurs if the recognition threshold has been met. This interpretation also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosures. The Company conducts business solely in the U.S. and, as a result, also files income taxes in various states and other jurisdictions. Given the substantial net operating losses and the related valuation allowance established against such amounts, the Company has concluded that it does not have any uncertain tax positions. There have been no income tax related interest or penalties for the periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to examination by Federal and state taxing authorities. The Company's income tax returns for years subsequent to fiscal 2008 are currently open, by statute, for review by authorities. However, there are no examinations currently in progress and the Company is not aware of any pending audits.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

Compensation costs for the portion of equity awards (for which the requisite service has not been rendered) that are outstanding are recognized as the requisite service is rendered. The compensation cost for that portion of awards shall be based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated for recognition purposes under applicable guidance. As of September 30, 2012, there is \$0.2 million remaining unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock based awards to be recognized in future periods.

For options that vest based on the Company's common stock achieving and maintaining defined market prices, the Company values these awards with a binomial model that utilizes various probability factors and other criterion in establishing fair value of the grant. The related compensation cost is recognized over the derived service period determined in the valuation.

From time to time, the Company grants restricted stock awards to non-employee directors and employees under existing plans. The Company recognizes non cash compensation expense over the various vesting periods.

Stock compensation expense totaled \$0.2 million for all awards for the year ended September 30, 2012, and totaled \$0.4 million for all awards for the year ended September 30, 2011. Certain awards vest upon satisfaction of certain performance criteria. As permitted, the Company will not recognize expense on the performance based shares until it is probable that these conditions will be achieved. Such charges could be material in future periods.

Warrants are issued from time-to-time to non-employee third parties in order to induce then to enter in certain transactions with the Company. The Company recognizes non-cash expense related to such activity over the estimated period of performance.

# **Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and restricted stock

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (2) LIQUIDITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (Continued)

grants that vested or are likely to vest during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect potentially dilutive securities.

The respective determination of weighted average shares used in the computation of earnings (loss) per share is as follows (amounts in table show in thousands);

	2012	2011
Basic and Diluted:		
Loss from continuing operations	7,026	5,460
Gain (loss) from discontinued operation	N/A	5,460

The effects of common stock equivalents of 1,436,346 are anti-dilutive for fiscal 2012. The effects of common stock equivalents of approximately 1.643.846 are anti-dilutive for fiscal 2011.

### (3) RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS:

In May 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance on fair value measurements to clarify certain disclosure requirements and improve consistency with international reporting standards. This amendment is to be applied prospectively and is effective for the Company's fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2012. The adoption did not have a material effect on the financial statements.

The FASB amended existing guidance on reporting comprehensive income in June 2011 to require entities to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income under current accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This guidance was effective for the Company's fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2012. The adoption did not have a material effect on prospective financial statements.

In August 2011, the FASB approved a revised accounting standard to simplify the testing of goodwill for impairment. The guidance permits an entity to first assess defined qualitative factors in determining whether it is necessary to perform the goodwill impairment test. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company has elected to adopt this guidance for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

In December 2011, the FASB amended disclosure concerning offsetting assets and liabilities. The amendments in the update requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. Entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This scope would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

### (3) RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS: (Continued)

The amendment is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

In July 2012, the FASB further amended existing guidance on testing indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment. The amendments are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of performing an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and to improve consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The amendments permit an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with Subtopic 350-30. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. This update applies to all entities, both public and nonpublic, that have indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, reported in their financial statements. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if a public entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

In August 2012, the FASB issued technical amendments and corrections to SEC sections, effective for the period ended September 30, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued technical corrections and improvements, which include revisions to prior guidance on numerous topics, including Convertible Debentures. The effective date of these revisions is for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently evaluating the prospective effects, if any, of adopting this guidance.

# (4) DISCONTINUED OPERATION:

#### **Non-Recurring Gain**

There were no non-recurring gains from discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The Company recognized a non-recurring gain from discontinued operations for fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 of \$270,000, related to escheated funds refunded by the State of Florida. The Company's right to the funds arose in connection with the Company's former PEO operations that were accounted for as a discontinued operation in fiscal 2003 and, accordingly, the Company has recognized the amounts as income from discontinued operation in the current period after concluding that the amount involved was not material to the results of operations in the year of discontinuance.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (4) DISCONTINUED OPERATION: (Continued)

### **Condensed Financial Information**

Condensed financial statement information and results of the discontinued operation are as follows:

	Year Ended			
(amounts in thousands)	September 30, S		September 30, 2011	
Revenues	\$	_	\$	_
Direct expenses		_		_
General and administrative expenses		_		_
Other expense, net				_
Loss from operations				
Loss from disposal		_		_
Other income		0		270
Net gain (loss)	\$	0	\$	270

In previous periods, the Company has accrued expenses related to the shut down of discontinued businesses. The following chart details liabilities from the discontinued operation (amounts in thousands):

Liabilities	 September 30, 2012		2011
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 185	\$	235
Total liabilities	\$ 185	\$	235

The fiscal 2012 decrease in the liability arises from lease payments.

#### (5) INCOME TAXES:

DLH accounts for income taxes in accordance with the "liability" method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are reflected on the balance sheet when it is determined that it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized. This guidance also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

After an assessment of all available evidence (including historical and forecasted operating results), management has concluded that realization of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards (which included those amounts acquired in previous years' business combinations, collectively "NOLs"), tax credits and other deferred tax assets, could not be considered more likely than not. Accordingly, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not record a tax benefit for NOLs and other deferred tax assets.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (5) INCOME TAXES: (Continued)

Based on similar assessments, the Company increased the valuation allowance established on deferred tax assets by approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance is primarily due to increased Federal and state NOLs and stock based compensation expense (not currently deductible) for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

In prospective periods, there may be reductions to the valuation allowance to the extent that the Company concludes that it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets can be utilized (subject to annual limitations and prior to the expiration of such NOLs), to offset future periods' taxable income.

In the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not recognize a tax expense or benefit.

At September 30, 2012 the Company had net operating losses of approximately \$ 40.2 million and \$28.2 million for U.S. and state tax return purposes, respectively, and unutilized tax credits of approximately \$1.1 million. As a result of previous business combinations and changes in its ownership, there is a substantial amount of NOLs that are subject to annual limitations on utilization. The U.S. NOLs begin to expire in 2021 and continue to expire through 2032.

An analysis of DLH's deferred tax asset and liability is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Years F Septemb	
	2012	2011
Deferred income tax asset (liability):		
Net operating loss carry forwards and tax credits	\$ 16,459	\$ 15,669
Prepaid workers' compensation	111	55
Deferred rent	3	4
Accrued liabilities	474	445
Stock based compensation	424	372
Fixed and intangible assets	(1,460)	(1,276)
Other items, net	12	(11)
Valuation allowance	(16,023)	(15,258)
	\$ —	\$

The significant components of the expense (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations are summarized as follows:

	Years Ended September 30,
(amounts in thousands)	2012 2011
Current expense (benefit)	\$ — \$ —
Deferred expense (benefit)	
Total expense (benefit)	<del>\$ _</del> <del>\$ _</del>

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (5) INCOME TAXES: (Continued)

The following table indicates the significant differences between the Federal statutory rate and DLH's effective tax rate for continuing operations:

	Years Ended September 30,	
(amounts in thousands)	2012	2011
Federal statutory rate	\$ (689)	\$ (1,561)
State taxes, net		
Tradename impairment		878
Other permanent items	13	18
Valuation allowance	676	665
	\$ —	\$

### (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES:

### **Current Bank Loan Facility (See Note 9—Government Assignments of Contracts)**

On July 29, 2010, DLH Solutions entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Presidential Financial Corporation (the "Lender"). Under the Loan Agreement, the Lender agreed to provide a two (2) year secured loan facility to DLH Solutions in an aggregate amount of up to \$1.5 million, upon the further terms and subject to the conditions of the Loan Agreement. In November, 2010, the Lender agreed by means of an amendment to the Loan Agreement to increase the maximum amount available under the facility from \$1.5 million to \$2.5 million and on February 9, 2011, we entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement pursuant to which the Lender agreed to further increase our maximum availability under the Loan Agreement from \$2.5 million to \$3.0 million and to provide an unbilled receivable facility within the limits of the Loan Agreement. The February 2011 amendment also extended the initial term of the Loan Agreement by 12 months, to July 29, 2013, and will automatically renew annually unless terminated by either party.

In May 2012, the Company entered into a further amendment to the Loan Agreement (the "Fifth Amendment") pursuant to which the Lender agreed to increase the available line of credit from \$3,000,000 to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 and to increase the maximum amount available under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. The Company's ability to borrow against the increased available credit, however, is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Fifth Amendment provides for an initial sublimit under the maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000 (the "Initial Sublimit") and an adjusted sublimit of \$4,000,000 (the "Adjusted Sublimit"). The Initial Sublimit of \$3,000,000 will remain in effect until the satisfaction of the following conditions: (i) the repayment of the \$500,000 over-advance accommodation agreed to by Lender as of May 9, 2012, (ii) the Company's demonstration of the need for the increase, (iii) the Company's continued compliance with the Loan Agreement, and (iv) Lender, in its sole discretion, agrees to increase the Initial Sublimit. In the event that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the credit available to under the Loan Agreement shall remain subject to the Adjusted Sublimit until the parties receive any required waivers or consents from the holders of the Company's subordinated Convertible Debentures issued as of July 28, 2011 and Lender, in its sole discretion, agrees to such further increase. In addition,

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

### (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES: (Continued)

the increased availability under the unbilled accounts facility of the Loan Agreement is subject to the satisfaction of the same conditions that are applicable to Initial Sublimit. Accordingly, until these conditions are satisfied, the current borrowing limits remain in effect.

An interest rate premium of 2% is payable in respect of any advances secured by unbilled accounts receivable, which are subject to a sub-facility limit of \$500,000 and an advance rate of 75%. The loan is secured by a security interest and lien on all of DLH Solutions' cash accounts, account deposits, letters of credit and investment property, chattel paper, furniture, fixtures and equipment, instruments, investment property, general intangibles, deposit accounts, inventory, other property, all proceeds and products of the foregoing (including proceeds of any insurance policies and claims against third parties for loss of any of the foregoing) and all books and records related thereto. DLH Solutions' ability to request loan advances under the Loan Agreement is subject to (i) computation of DLH Solutions' advance availability limit based on "eligible accounts receivables" (as defined in the Loan Agreement) multiplied by the "Accounts Advance Rate" established by the Lender which initially shall be 85% and may be increased or decreased by the Lender in exercise of its discretion; and (ii) compliance with the covenants and conditions of the loan.

Under the Loan and Security Agreement, interest accrues at the greater of (a) 3.25% or (b) (i) 1.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the accounts receivable portion of the credit line and (ii) 3.95% above the Wall Street Journal Prime rate on the unbilled accounts portion. In addition, DLH Solutions will pay certain other related fees and expense reimbursements including a monthly service charge of 0.65% based on the average daily loan balance which shall accrue daily and be due and payable on the last day of each month so long as the Loan Agreement is outstanding. The interest rate in effect at September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 5.2%. At September 30, 2012, based on current eligible accounts receivable, the amount of the unused availability under the line was \$344,000. The amount outstanding as of September 30, 2012 was \$2,363,000.

The Loan Agreement requires compliance with customary covenants and contains restrictions on the Company's ability to engage in certain transactions. Among other matters, under the loan agreement we may not, without consent of the Lender, (i) merge or consolidate with another entity, form any new subsidiary or acquire any interest in a third party; (ii) acquire any assets except in the ordinary course of business; (iii) enter into any transaction outside the ordinary course of business; (iv) sell or transfer collateral; (v) make any loans to, or investments in, any affiliate or enter into any transaction with an affiliate other than on an arms-length basis; (vi) incur any debt outside the ordinary course of business; (vii) pay or declare any dividends or other distributions; or (viii) redeem, retire or purchase any of our equity interests exceeding \$50,000. Further, without the consent of the Lender, the Company is also restricted from making any payments in respect of other outstanding indebtedness. The Lender agreed to eliminate the tangible net worth covenant as part of the Fifth Amendment. The Lender may terminate the Loan Agreement at any time upon 60 days written notice after December 31, 2012 and the Loan Agreement provides for customary events of default following which the Lender may, at its option, terminate the loan agreement and accelerate the repayment of any amount outstanding. The defined events of default include, among other things, a material adverse change in the Company's circumstances, or if the Lender deems itself insecure in the ability of the Company to repay its obligations, or as to the sufficiency of the collateral.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

### (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES: (Continued)

On May 9, 2012, Presidential Financial Corporation agreed to allow the Company to borrow up to \$500,000 under its facility with the Company in excess of the eligible collateral, but subject to the maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000. This arrangement expired with the closing of the rights offering on June 15, 2012. There were no advances against this facility.

In consideration of the Lender entering into the Fifth Amendment, we agreed to pay a monthly collateral monitoring fee, a documentation fee and at the time that we may borrow amounts in excess of the Initial Sublimit, an origination fee of 1% of the increased availability.

The Company has concurrently executed a Corporate Guaranty Agreement with Lender pursuant to which it has guaranteed all of the obligations of DLH Solutions under the Loan Agreement.

### **Notes Payable**

DLH and DLH Solutions entered into a settlement agreement dated as of July 22, 2011 (the "Agreement") with Roger Staggs and E. Barry Durham, the former principals of RS Staffing Services, Inc. (together, the "Sellers"). The Sellers were the holders of certain promissory notes issued by DLH in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000 (the "Notes"). The claims resolved by the Settlement Agreement concerned DLH's claim of indemnification of approximately \$1,800,000 arising out of the acquisition by DLH of RS Staffing Services, Inc. in June 2005 and certain counterclaims by the Sellers against DLH, including payment under the Notes. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company paid \$200,000 in cash to the Sellers, and issued them an aggregate of 300,000 shares of common stock of DLH, valued at \$795,000, the fair value of the stock at July 22, 2011. The Company also agreed to permit the Sellers to resell an aggregate of 201,724 other shares of common stock of DLH presently held by them, against which the Company had previously placed a stop order to prevent their resale. The Sellers agreed to orderly sale limitations with respect to their ability to resell all their shares of common stock of DLH In addition, DLH provided guarantees to the Sellers that the net proceeds to be received by them from the resale of all of the shares of common stock of DLH sold by them pursuant to the Agreement would not be less than certain minimum guarantees. With respect to the shares of common stock of DLH owned by them prior to the effective date of the Agreement (the "Old DLH Shares"), DLH guaranteed to each Seller net proceeds of \$100,000, and with respect to the shares of common stock of DLH issued under the Agreement (the "New DLH Shares"), DLH guaranteed net proceeds of \$375,000 to each. The payments of all amounts under the Agreement were secured by the Notes. In addition, the parties agreed to release each other from any further claims that either may have against the other, except to enforce the Agreement. The guarantees in respect of the Old DLH Shares were satisfied in full as of September 30, 2011. The guarantees in respect of the New DLH Shares were satisfied in full as of September 30, 2012.

At September 27, 2012, the guarantees and the remaining value of the Notes have been satisfied through a combination of the repurchase of certain of the shares of the Company's common stock owned by one of the note holders and the expiration of the guarantee period under the Agreement. Following the completion of the sale of 100,000 shares of common stock of the Company by this holder to members of DLH's management team, the Company's retirement of 40,000 shares of its common stock against the payment of an additional \$40,000 and the payment by the Company of \$225,000, the Company fully satisfied its minimum guarantee obligations related to the Notes. Accordingly, the

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES: (Continued)

Company recorded a gain of approximately \$486,000 in the fiscal fourth quarter ending September 30, 2012.

The Company recognized expenses related to legal representation and costs incurred in connection with the investigation and settlement in the amount of \$0 and \$96,000 during fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively, as a component of other income (expense).

# **Convertible Debentures**

On June 1, 2011, the Company entered into a debenture purchase agreement (the "Debenture Purchase Agreement") with entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. (the "Debenture Purchasers"), providing for a standby commitment pursuant to which the Debenture Purchasers agreed to purchase convertible debentures (the "Convertible Debentures") in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$350,000 (the "Total Commitment Amount"). In addition, the Company issued the Debenture Purchasers warrants to purchase an aggregate of 53,846 shares of common stock (the "Warrants") in consideration of their agreement to provide the Total Commitment Amount. On July 28, 2011, the Company drew down the entire amount of the Total Commitment amount available under the Debenture Purchase Agreement, of which \$200,000 was used for the initial payments under the Debenture Purchase Agreement relating to the settlement with the former owners of RS Staffing, and on such date the Company issued the Convertible Debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$350,000 to the Debenture Purchasers, and received such funds.

The Convertible Debentures will mature on the 27-month anniversary of issuance and bear interest at the rate of the greater of the prime rate plus 5%, or 10% per annum, payable at maturity or upon redemption of such Convertible Debentures. The interest rate at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was 10%. The Convertible Debentures are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.30 per share, and a post rights offering conversion price of \$1.25 per share, which was in excess of the fair market value of the Company's common stock at that date. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment to account for certain customary events and also includes weighted-average anti-dilution protection for future issuances by the Company, subject to certain exclusions. The Company can also redeem the outstanding Convertible Debentures at any time at 120% of the remaining principal amount, plus accrued but unpaid interest. The Warrants will be exercisable for five years at an initial exercise price equal to \$1.00, and a post rights offering exercise price of \$0.96. The exercise price of the Warrants is subject to adjustment for certain customary events and includes weighted average anti-dilution protection for future issuances by the Company, subject to certain exclusions. In connection with the parties' entry into the Debenture Purchase Agreement, the Company, DLH Solutions, the Debenture Purchasers and Presidential Financial Corporation entered into subordination agreements concerning the terms of the subordination of the Convertible Debentures to the secured loan facility provided by Presidential Financial Corporation. Under the subordination agreements, the Company may not make payments to the Debenture Purchasers under the Convertible Debentures unless before and following such payments, no "Event of Default" exists under the secured loan facility.

The Debenture Purchasers are entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital, Inc., the Company's largest shareholder. Mr. Peter Black, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, is an employee of Wynnefield Capital. Direct costs associated with the Debenture Purchase Agreement totaled \$32,000.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

### (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES: (Continued)

These costs have been and will be capitalized as deferred financing costs and amortized over the period that such debentures are outstanding or the Debenture Agreement is effective. In addition, an initial value of \$42,000 was ascribed to the warrants and it was determined that at July 28, 2011, because of appreciation in the Company's stock price, the embedded conversion feature included in the Convertible Debentures had a fair value of \$289,000 at the time of issuance of the Convertible Debentures; such amount is also being expensed over the life of the Convertible Debentures and the unamortized amounts have been deducted from the value of the Convertible Debentures as noted below. The value of the convertible debenture and warrants at September 30, 2012, were \$87,000 and \$32,000, respectively.

At September 30, 2012, there were 53,846 warrants outstanding and the Debenture Purchasers have the right to convert the principal amount of the Convertible Debentures into 269,230 shares of common stock based on initial conversion rates, 280,682 shares of common stock based on post rights offering conversion rates, under the terms of the conversion feature embedded in the Convertible Debentures. Because the warrants and the conversion feature embedded in the Convertible Debenture have a weighted average anti-dilution feature that in certain circumstances could provide the holders with protection against changes in the market value of the Company's common stock, they are required under applicable accounting standards to be recorded at fair value as of the balance sheet date. At September 30, 2012, the Company evaluated the fair value of the Warrants and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Debentures using a binomial valuation model and recorded a loss of \$105,000 and income of \$107,000 at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, to reflect the net difference between their initial carrying values (July 28, 2011) and their fair values on those dates.

Assumptions used in valuing the warrants and the embedded conversion features at September 30, 2012 included the following:

		Embedded
		Conversions
	Warrants	Features
Risk free interest rate	0.62%	0.23%
Contractual term	5 years	27 months
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected lives	5 years	27 months
Expected volatility	70.3%	70.3%
Fair value per warrants per share or per \$1.25 of debt	\$0.23	\$0.05

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (6) DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASES: (Continued)

Assumptions used in valuing the warrants and the embedded conversion features at September 30, 2011 included the following:

		Embedded Conversions
	Warrants	Features
Risk free interest rate	1.60%	0.42%
Contractual term	5 years	27 months
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected lives	5 years	27 months
Expected volatility	70.9%	71.8%
Fair value per warrants per share or per \$1.30 of debt	\$1.01	\$0.63

At September 30, 2012, the carrying value of the Convertible Debentures is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Principal amount of Convertible Debentures	\$ 350	\$ 350
Less:		
Value of financial instruments to Convertible Debentures purchasers	(131)	(268)
Value of warrants issued to Convertible Debentures purchasers	(17)	(36)
Carrying Value	\$ 202	\$ 46

The payment of the entire \$350,000 principal amount of the Convertible Debentures is contractually due in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014. The Company has evaluated the likelihood of satisfying the liability associated with the financial instruments in fiscal 2013 and has concluded that the classification of this liability is non- current at September 30, 2012.

#### **Capital Leases:**

The Company leases certain office equipment under a non-cancelable capital lease agreement that expires in fiscal year 2014. The interest rate is 6.42%. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company has recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in gross capital leases and accumulated depreciation of \$0.02 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

The Company's remaining lease obligation of \$77,000 is payable through February 2014. Principal payments of \$51,000 and \$26,000 are due in 2013 and 2014, respectively.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

### (7) OTHER CURRENT ASSETS:

Other current assets at September 30, 2012 and 2011 consist of the following (amounts in thousands):

	2012	2011
Miscellaneous receivables	\$ 43	\$ 77
Prepaid insurance	51	48
Miscellaneous prepaid expense	39	59
	\$ 133	\$ 184

#### (8) ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities at September 30, 2012 and 2011 consist of the following (amounts in thousands):

	2012	2011
Accrued benefits and incentives	\$ 1,326	\$ 523
Accrued bonus	236	357
Accrued occupancy	_	
Accrued workers compensation	569	429
Accrued professional fees	217	439
Other	469	386
	\$ 2,817	\$ 2,134

# (9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Also Note 13):

# **Potential Contractual Billing Adjustments**

DLH has accrued revenue and costs associated with certain government contracts covered by the Service Contract Act. These adjustments are due to changes in the contracted wage determination rates for certain employees. A wage determination is the listing of wage rates and fringe benefit rates for each classification of laborers whom the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor ("DOL") has determined to be prevailing in a given locality. Contractors performing services for the Federal government under certain contracts are required to pay service employees in various classes no less than the wage rates and fringe benefits determined to be prevailing in these localities. An audit by the DOL in fiscal 2008 at one of the facilities revealed that notification, as required by contract, was not provided to DLH Solutions in order to effectuate the wage increases in a timely manner. Wages for employees on assignment at the time have been adjusted prospectively to the prevailing rate and hourly billing rates to the DVA have been increased accordingly. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, DLH recognized nonrecurring revenues of \$10.8 million and direct costs of \$10.1 million, based on amounts that are contractually due under its arrangements with the Federal agencies. At September 30, 2012, the amount of the remaining accounts receivable with the DVA approximates \$9.3 million.

In April 2012, the Company received formal contract modifications from the DVA, dated April 16, 2012, concerning the retroactive billing matter. The contract modifications from the DVA incorporate

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Also Note 13): (Continued)

relevant wage determinations covering largely 2006 and 2007 applying to the Company's historical contracts with DVA during those periods. These government modifications initiate the procedures whereby the Company may invoice the DVA in accordance with the modified wage determinations and subsequently make timely retroactive payments to employees (active and inactive) covering work performed at the certain locations. The Company expects to follow the same process implemented as directed by and in conjunction with the Department of Labor and the DVA when similar wage determination-related contract modifications were made to cover other sites (also for the periods of 2006 and 2007) in 2008.

The Company continues to support the Government's review of the detailed supporting calculations for the retroactive billings and to negotiate an incremental final amount related to indirect costs and fees applied to these retroactive billings. As such, there may be additional revenues recognized in future periods once the final approval for such additional amounts is obtained. The additional indirect costs and fees are estimated to be between \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million. The Company has developed these estimates under the same contractual provisions applied to the sites that were settled in 2008. However, because these amounts remain subject to government review, no assurances can be given that any amounts that we may receive will be within the range specified above.

#### **Leases**

Minimum payments, assuming no expected sublease payments, under non-cancelable operating lease obligations at September 30, 2012 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

Years Ending September 30,	
2013	\$ 163
2014	169
2015	143
2016	86
2017	81
	\$ 642

Rent expense, net of sublease income, under all operating leases in fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, was \$173,000. Rent expense, net of sublease income, under all operating leases in fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, was \$155,000.

As discussed in Note 4, as part of the sale of TeamStaff Rx, Advantage RN had the right to use, through February 28, 2011, the premises located in Clearwater, Florida that was used by TeamStaff Rx for its principal executive offices. In connection with such use, Advantage RN was obligated to make rent subsidy payments to TeamStaff Rx totaling \$125,000, consisting of (i) \$25,000 payable at the closing and (ii) an additional \$100,000 payable in 10 equal monthly installments of \$10,000 payable on the first day of each calendar month beginning on March 1, 2010 until December 1, 2010. Advantage RN has since vacated the premises and ceased making installment payments. The Company is pursuing a claim against Advantage RN for all amounts owed. The Company has provided an allowance of \$50,000 for their estimate of uncollectible sub-lease funding.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Also Note 13): (Continued)

### **Prepaid Workers' Compensation**

As part of the Company's discontinued PEO operations, DLH had a workers' compensation program with Zurich American Insurance Company ("Zurich") which covered the period from March 22, 2002 through November 16, 2003, inclusive. Payments for the policy were made to a trust monthly based on projected claims for the policy period. Interest on all assets held in the trust is credited to DLH. Payments for claims and claims expenses are made from the trust. From time-to-time, trust assets have been refunded to the Company based on Zurich's and managers' overall assessment of claims experience and historical and projected settlements. The final amount of trust funds that could be refunded to the Company is subject to a number of uncertainties (e.g. claim settlements and experience, health care costs, the extended statutory filing periods for such claims); however, based on a third party's study of claims experience, DLH estimates that at September 30, 2012, the remaining prepaid asset of \$0.3 million will be received within the next twelve to thirty-six months. This amount is reflected on DLH's balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 as a current asset, in addition to approximately \$0.2 million related to other policy deposits.

#### **Workers' Compensation Insurance**

From November 17, 2003 through April 14, 2009, inclusive, DLH's workers' compensation insurance program was provided by Zurich. This program covered DLH's temporary, contract and corporate employees. This program was a fully insured, guaranteed cost program that contained no deductible or retention feature. The premium for the program was paid monthly based upon actual payroll and is subject to a policy year-end audit. Effective April 15, 2009, DLH entered into a partially self-funded workers' compensation insurance program with a national insurance carrier for the premium year April 15, 2009 through April 14, 2010 and has been renewed through April 14, 2013. The Company pays a base premium plus actual losses incurred, not to exceed certain stop-loss limits. The Company is insured for losses above these limits, both per occurrence and in the aggregate.

As of September 30, 2012 and 2011, the adequacy of the workers' compensation reserves (including those periods' amounts that are offset against the trust fund balances in prepaid assets) was determined, in management's opinion, to be reasonable. In determining our reserves, we rely in part upon information regarding loss data received from our workers' compensation insurance carriers that may include loss data for claims incurred during prior policy periods. In addition, these reserves are for claims that have not been sufficiently developed and such variables as timing of payments and investment returns thereon are uncertain or unknown; therefore, actual results may vary from current estimates. DLH will continue to monitor the development of these reserves, the actual payments made against the claims incurred, the timing of these payments, the interest accumulated in DLH's prepayments and adjust the related reserves as deemed appropriate.

### **Payroll Taxes**

DLH has received notices from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") claiming taxes, interest and penalties due related to payroll taxes predominantly from its former PEO operations which were sold in fiscal 2003. DLH has also received notices from the IRS reporting overpayments of taxes. Management believes that these notices are predominantly the result of misapplication of payroll tax payments between its legal entities. If not resolved favorably, the Company may incur interest and penalties. Until the sale of certain assets related to the former PEO operations, DLH operated through

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Also Note 13): (Continued)

17 subsidiaries, and management believes that the IRS has not correctly identified payments made through certain of the different entities, therefore leading to the notices. To date, DLH has been working with the IRS to resolve these discrepancies and has had certain interest and penalty claims abated. DLH has also received notices from the Social Security Administration claiming variances in wage reporting compared to IRS transcripts. DLH believes the notices from the Social Security Administration are directly related to the IRS notices received. DLH believes that after the IRS applies all the funds correctly, any significant interest and penalties will be abated; however, there can be no assurance that each of these matters will be resolved favorably. In settling various years for specific subsidiaries with the IRS, the Company has received refunds for those specific periods; however, as the process of settling and concluding on other periods and subsidiaries is not yet completed, the potential exists for related penalties and interest. The remaining liability (\$1.3 million at September 30, 2012) has been recorded in accounts payable and includes estimated accrued penalties and interest totaling approximately \$500,000.

The Company believes it has accrued for the entire estimated remaining liability, inclusive of interest and penalties through the date of the financial statements. The Company may incur additional interest and may incur possible additional penalties through the future date that this obligation is settled, however, it is not currently possible to estimate what, if any, additional amount(s) may be claimed in the future, given the uncertain timing and nature of any future settlement negotiations. No payments were made in fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2012. Management believes that the ultimate resolution of these remaining payroll tax matters will not have a significant adverse effect on its financial position or future results of operations. The Company's intention is that it will in due course seek to negotiate a mutually satisfactory payment plan with the IRS, but there is no assurance that it would be successful in doing so and the Company's future cash flows and liquidity could therefore be materially affected by this matter.

# **Legal Proceedings**

As a commercial enterprise and employer, we are subject to various claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. These matters can include professional liability, employment-relations issues, workers' compensation, tax, payroll and employee-related matters and inquiries and investigations by governmental agencies regarding our employment practices or other matters. We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation that we believe is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows. However, as the Company has previously reported, it was advised of a claim by the U.S. Attorney based on an alleged failure to pay certain classes of employees the prevailing wages as required by the Service Contract Act during the years 2003-2010. The Company is continuing to review the data allegedly supporting the claims with the U.S. Department of Justice in an effort to determine whether any wage adjustment is required. These claims appear, in part, to be part of the claims previously disclosed by the Company and related to services provided to the Department of Veterans Affairs by DLH. See "Risk Factors" in Part I and "Potential Contractual Billing Adjustments" in the Management Discussion and Analysis. Until the analysis of the data is complete, we cannot finally determine either the merits of the claim or the potential impact on the Company; however, the Company continues to believes it has acted in conformity with its contractual commitments and no wage adjustment is required. Nevertheless, there

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Also Note 13): (Continued)

can be no assurance that an adverse decision or settlement would not have a material adverse impact on the Company.

DLH is engaged in no other litigation, the effect of which is expected to have a material adverse impact on DLH's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

### **Employment Agreements**

From time-to-time, we enter into employment agreements with certain key executives which provide for fixed compensation, criterion for earning bonuses and other incentives and, in certain instances, issuance of share based equity grants. These agreements generally continue until terminated by the employee or the Board of Directors or, upon the occurrence of defined certain events or circumstances (including a defined change in control), and provide for salary continuance for specified periods of generally no more than a year and or lump sum payments in the event of a change of control of up to 150% of annual salary.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, the Company terminated certain executives, management and staff personnel, and as a result, incurred severance related expenses of approximately \$0.3 million in staff personnel severance (included in general and administrative expense) At September 30, 2012, the remaining liability from these arrangements was \$0.2 million. With respect to the termination of the Company's former Chief Financial Officer, the Company entered into a separation agreement with him in August 2012, which sets forth the terms of his departure from the Company.

### **Government Assignment of Contracts**

Availability of funds under the Presidential Financial line of credit is directly related to the successful assignment of certain accounts receivable. Certain government accounts of DLH Solutions are required to execute "Acknowledgements of Assignment." There can be no assurance that every DLH Solutions government account will execute the documentation to effectuate the assignment and secure availability. The failure of government third parties to sign the required documentation could result in a decrease in availability under the existing line of credit.

### (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

On March 31, 2011, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with a limited number of accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold for \$225,000 an aggregate of 459,181 shares of its Common Stock to such persons in a private transaction (the "Equity Investment"). The purchasers participating in the transaction are members of the Company's Board of Directors and management team (the "Purchasers"). The transaction closed on March 31, 2011. Of this amount, the Company received \$150,000 in total cash proceeds for the purchase of the shares of Common Stock and three of the purchasers agreed with the Company to pay the purchase price for the shares of Common Stock by granting an offsetting credit to the Company for an amount equal to the purchase price and authorizing the Company to apply such credit against any obligation of the Company to such person within twelve months of the closing date, except for base salary. The shares to which the credit relates were to be held by the Company until the credit is applied and will be cancelled upon the one-year anniversary to the extent the credit is not applied. The

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

aggregate amount of such credits totaled \$75,000 and were fully exercised during 2012. The Company has used these cash proceeds for general working capital. The value ascribed to the Equity Investment was based on the fair value of the Company's stock on March 31, 2011. On May 18, 2011, the Company issued 51,020 shares of Common Stock to its counsel in connection with an arrangement to cancel \$25,000 of outstanding fees.

On June 1, 2011, the Company entered into a debenture purchase agreement (the "Debenture Purchase Agreement") with entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. (the "Debenture Purchasers"), providing for a standby commitment pursuant to which the Debenture Purchasers agreed to purchase convertible debentures (the "Convertible Debentures") in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$350,000 (the "Total Commitment Amount"). In addition, the Company issued the Debenture Purchasers warrants to purchase an aggregate of 53,846 shares of common stock (the "Warrants") in consideration of their agreement to provide the Total Commitment Amount. On July 28, 2011, the Company drew down the entire amount of the Total Commitment amount available under the Debenture Purchase Agreement, of which \$200,000 was used for the initial payments under the Debenture Purchase Agreement relating to the settlement with the former owners of RS Staffing, and on such date the Company issued the Convertible Debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$350,000 to the Debenture Purchasers, and received such funds.

The Convertible Debentures will mature on the 27-month anniversary of issuance and bear interest at the rate of the greater of the prime rate plus 5%, or 10% per annum, payable at maturity or upon redemption of such Convertible Debentures. The interest rate at June 30, 2012 was 10%. The Convertible Debentures were initially convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.30 per share, which was in excess of the fair market value of the Company's common stock at that date. The initial conversion rate is subject to adjustment to account for certain customary events and also includes weighted-average anti-dilution protection for future issuances by the Company, subject to certain exclusions. The Company can also redeem the outstanding Convertible Debentures at any time at 120% of the remaining principal amount, plus accrued but unpaid interest. The Warrants are exercisable for five years at an initial exercise price equal to \$1.00. The initial exercise price of the Warrants is subject to adjustment for certain customary events and includes weighted average anti-dilution protection for future issuances by the Company, subject to certain exclusions. In connection with the parties' entry into the Debenture Purchase Agreement, the Company, DLH Solutions, the Debenture Purchasers and Presidential Financial Corporation entered into subordination agreements concerning the terms of the subordination of the Convertible Debentures to the Secured loan facility provided by Presidential Financial Corporation. Under the subordination agreements, the Company may not make payments to the Debenture Purchasers under the Convertible Debentures unless before and following such payments, no "Event of Default" exists under the secured loan facility.

As a result of the rights offering, the conversion rate of the Convertible Debentures was adjusted to \$1.25 and the exercise price of the Warrants was adjusted from \$1.00 to \$0.96 per share.

The Debenture Purchasers are entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital, Inc., the Company's largest shareholder. Mr. Peter Black, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, is an employee of Wynnefield Capital.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

Direct costs associated with the Debenture Purchase Agreement totaled \$31,000. These costs have been and will be capitalized as deferred financing costs and amortized over the period that such debentures are outstanding or the Debenture Agreement is effective. In addition, an initial value of \$42,000 was ascribed to the warrants and it was determined that at July 28, 2011, because of appreciation in the Company's stock price, the embedded conversion feature included in the Convertible Debentures had a fair value of \$289,000 at the time of issuance of the Convertible Debentures; such amount is also being expensed over the life of the Convertible Debentures and the unamortized amounts have been deducted from the value of the Convertible Debentures as noted below. As a result of the rights offering the initial value of the warrants were revalued with minimal change and the value of the Convertible Debenture of \$289,000 was revalued at \$307,000.

At September 30, 2012, there were 53,846 warrants outstanding and the principal amount of the Convertible Debentures is convertible into 280,682 shares of common stock under the terms of the conversion feature embedded in the Convertible Debentures. The amount of shares of common stock under the conversion feature embedded in the Convertible Debenture was revalued from 269,230 shares to 280,682 as a result of adjustment to the conversion price due to the rights offering. Because the warrants and the conversion feature embedded in the Convertible Debenture have a weighted average anti-dilution feature that in certain circumstances could provide the holders with protection against changes in the market value of the Company's common stock, they are required under applicable accounting standards to be recorded at fair value as of the balance sheet date. At September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the Company evaluated the fair value of the Warrants and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Debentures using a binomial valuation model and recorded income in the amount of \$105,000 for the year ended September 30, 2012 to reflect the net difference between their carrying values at September 30, 2011 and their fair values as of September 30, 2012 using the closing stock price of \$1.06 as of September 30, 2012, for a total value of \$119,000.

The payment of the entire \$350,000 principal amount of the Convertible Debentures is contractually due in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014. The Company has evaluated the likelihood of satisfying the liability associated with the financial instruments in fiscal 2012 and has concluded that the classification of this liability is non-current at September 30, 2012

On March 16, 2012, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a rights offering in which existing stockholders of the Company will receive non-transferable rights to purchase \$4.2 million of additional shares of its common stock. Under the terms of the rights offering, the Company distributed, at no charge to the holders of its common stock as of the record date of April 10, 2012, non-transferable subscription rights for each share of common stock owned on the record date. Each subscription right entitled the holder to purchase 0.532 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share. The rights offering also includes an over-subscription privilege, which entitled a holder who exercises its basic subscription privilege in full the right to purchase additional shares of common stock that remain unsubscribed at the expiration of the rights offering, subject to the availability and *pro rata* allocation of shares among persons exercising this over-subscription right.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

In connection with the rights offering, on April 30, 2012, the Company entered into a standby purchase agreement with Wynnefield Capital, Inc. ("Wynnefield Capital"), which owned, prior to the rights offering, approximately 21% of the Company's Common Stock through certain affiliated entities. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, Wynnefield Capital (or affiliated assignees) agreed to acquire from us in the rights offering, subject to the satisfactions of specified conditions, the shares of common stock that relate to any rights that remain unexercised at the expiration of the rights offering. Mr. Peter Black, a member of our board of directors, is an employee of Wynnefield Capital. We entered into a registration rights agreement with Wynnefield Capital whereby we will, at our cost and expense, register for resale under the Securities Act of 1933, all shares of common stock beneficially owned by Wynnefield Capital, including shares purchased by Wynnefield Capital in the rights offering. We have agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC within 90 days of closing of the rights offering.

The Company completed the closing of the rights offering on June 15, 2012 and raised gross proceeds of \$4.2 million from the sale of 3,230,769 shares of its common stock. As a result, the total number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding increased to 9,265,702 shares. Officers and directors purchased an aggregate of 137,678 shares in the rights offering and entities affiliated with Wynnefield Capital purchased a total of 2,840,251 shares of our common stock.

#### **Stock Option Plans**

### 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan ("2006 Plan")

The Board of Directors adopted the 2006 Plan on January 17, 2006. The shareholders approved the 2006 Plan at the annual meeting on April 27, 2006 and the Company initially reserved an aggregate of 1,250,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the 2006 Plan. In August 2011, the Company's shareholders approved amendments to the 2006 Plan pursuant to which the maximum number of shares eligible for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the 2006 Plan was increased to an initial reserve of 3,001,625 shares of common stock. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be delivered to participants under the 2006 Plan as amended equals the sum of: (a) 2,750,000 shares of common stock under the 2006 Plan; (b) 251,625 shares subject to awards granted under the predecessor 2000 Plan and the 2000 Director Plan (collectively, the "2000 Plans"), which were forfeited, expired, canceled or settled in cash without delivery of such shares to the participant or otherwise is terminated without a share issuance; (c) any shares tendered by participants or withheld in payment of the exercise price of options or to satisfy withholding taxes under the 2000 Plans; and (d) any shares repurchased with the proceeds of options exercised under the 2000 Plans. Shares that are subject to issuance upon exercise of an award granted under the 2006 Plan but which cease to be subject to such award (other than due to the exercise of such award), and shares that are subject to an award that is granted under the 2006 Plan but is subsequently forfeited, or that are subject to an award that terminates without shares being issued, will again be available for grant and issuance under the 2006 Plan.

Administration. The 2006 Plan is administered by the Management Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee"). The 2006 Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee to select those participants to whom awards may be granted, to determine whether and to what extent awards are granted, to determine the number of shares of common stock

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

or other considerations to be covered by each award, to determine the terms and conditions of awards, to amend the terms of outstanding awards, and to take any other action consistent with the terms of the 2006 Plan as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. The Compensation Committee may grant awards subject to vesting schedules or restrictions and contingencies in the Company's favor. However, the awards may be subject to acceleration such that they become fully vested, exercisable and released from any restrictions or contingencies upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the 2006 Plan).

Terms and Conditions of Awards. The Compensation Committee is authorized to make any type of award to a participant that is consistent with the provisions of the Plan. Awards may consist of options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, cash awards or any combination of these types of awards. Options may be determined to be an "incentive stock option" ("ISO") or a non-qualified stock option. An option designated as an ISO is intended to qualify as such under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Subject to the terms of the 2006 Plan, the Compensation Committee determines the provisions, terms and conditions of each award. The Compensation Committee may grant awards subject to vesting schedules or restrictions and contingencies in the Company's favor. However, the awards may be subject to acceleration such that they become fully vested, exercisable and released from any restrictions or contingencies upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the 2006 Plan). The Compensation Committee may provide that stock-based awards earn dividends or dividend equivalents, which may be paid in cash or shares or may be credited to an account designated in the name of the participants. Participants may also be required or permitted to defer the issuance of shares or cash settlements under awards including under other deferred compensation arrangements of the Company. Each option granted under the 2006 Plan will be designated as either an incentive stock option or a non-statutory stock option. No option or stock appreciation right may be granted with a term of more than 10 years from the date of grant.

Performance shares or cash awards will depend on achievement of performance goals based on one or more performance measures determined by the Compensation Committee over a performance period as prescribed by the Compensation Committee of not less than one year and not more than five years. Performance goals may be established on a corporate-wide basis or as to one or more business units, divisions or subsidiaries, and may be in either absolute terms or relative to the performance of one or more comparable companies on an index covering multiple companies. "Performance measures" means criteria established by the Compensation Committee from time to time prior to granting the performance shares or cash awards.

Exercise Price. The 2006 Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee to grant options and stock appreciation rights at an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee has the right to provide post-grant reduction in exercise price to reflect any floating index as specified in an award agreement. The exercise price is generally payable in cash, check, surrender of preowned shares of common stock, broker-dealer exercise and sale, or by such other means determined by the Compensation Committee.

Option Repricing Prohibited. The exercise price for any outstanding option or stock appreciation right may not be decreased after the date of grant, nor may any outstanding option or stock

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

appreciation right be surrendered as consideration for the grant of a new option or stock appreciation right with a lower exercise price.

Duration, Amendment and Termination. The 2006 Plan became effective upon its approval by the Company's shareholders in April 2006 and will terminate on the tenth anniversary of its effective date, unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors. In addition to the power to terminate the 2006 Plan at any time, the Board of Directors also has the power to amend the 2006 Plan; provided, no amendment to the 2006 Plan may be made without stockholder approval if such approval is required by law or agreement, or if such change would: (i) expand the classes of persons to whom awards may be made under the 2006 Plan; (ii) increase the number of shares of Common Stock authorized for grant under the 2006 Plan; (iii) increase the number of shares which may be granted under awards to any one participant under the 2006 Plan; (iv) allow the creation of additional types of awards; or (v) decrease performance award criteria except to the extent permitted under the 2006 Plan.

*Eligibility*. The 2006 Plan, as amended, provides that awards may be granted to employees, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company as the Compensation Committee may determine.

### **Option Activity Table**

The following table summarizes the activity in DLH's various stock option plans for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

				Weighted		
		Weighted Average Exercise Price		Average	Aggregate	
	Number of Shares			Remaining Contractual Term	Pretax Intrinsic Value	
Options outstanding, September 30, 2010	722,500	\$	1.13	9.4	\$ 0	
Granted	850,000	\$	1.42			
Exercised	(30,000)		1.00			
Cancelled	(5,000)	\$	5.16			
Options outstanding, September 30, 2011	1,537,500	\$	1.19	9.3	\$ 743,745	
Granted	275,000	\$	1.39			
Exercised	0		_			
Cancelled	(450,000)	\$	1.34			
Options outstanding, September 30, 2012	1,362,500	\$	1.19	8.6	\$ 140,000	

As of September 30, 2012, a total of 387,500 options outstanding were vested and 975,000 options were unvested. As of September 30, 2011, a total of 662,500 options outstanding were vested and 875,000 options were unvested. As of September 30, 2010, 172,500 options outstanding had vested and 550,000 options were unvested. As of September 30, 2012, approximately \$186,000 of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested option awards are expected to be recognized in future periods.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal year and the exercise price, times the number of shares) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their in the money options on those dates. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's stock.

During fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, the Company issued 200,000 and 472,000 options, respectively, that vest to the recipients when the market value of the Company's stock achieves and maintains defined levels. The Company used a binomial valuation model and various probability factors in establishing the fair value of the options.

Weighted average assumptions used in the valuation of all option awards were as follows:

	2012	2011
Risk free interest rate	.62%	.95%
Contractual lives	10 years	10 years
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected lives (in years)	10 years	10 years
Expected volatility	70.3%	70.9%
Fair Value per Option	\$0.17	\$.56

Stock compensation related to option grants totaled \$180,000 and \$398,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively; such amounts are included in General and Administrative expenses.

*Pre-Vesting Forfeitures*. Estimates of pre-vesting option forfeitures are based on Company experience. The Company will adjust its estimate of forfeitures over the requisite service period based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from such estimates. Changes in estimated forfeitures will be recognized through a cumulative catch-up adjustment in the period of change and will also impact the amount of compensation expense to be recognized in future periods.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (10) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: (Continued)

#### **Restricted Stock Grants**

A summary of activity in restricted stock is as follows:

		Weight Avera	
	Number Of Shares	Fair Va Grant-I	
Restricted stock outstanding, September 30, 2010	95,000	\$ 2	2.42
Granted	35,000	(	0.56
Issued	(77,500)		1.29
Cancelled			
Restricted stock outstanding, September 30, 2011	52,500	2	2.85
Granted	53,750	2	2.28
Issued	(53,750)	2	2.28
Cancelled			
Restricted stock outstanding, September 30, 2012	52,500	2	2.85

During the year ended September 30, 2012, DLH granted an aggregate of 53,750 restricted shares to non-employee directors at the closing price on the award date. Of this award, 53,750 shares vested immediately, resulting in a charge of approximately \$122,500. Approximately \$186,000 of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested non-performance based restricted stock awards is expected to be recognized in future periods.

During the year ended September 30, 2011, DLH granted an aggregate of 35,000 restricted shares to non-employee directors at the closing price on the award date. Of this award, 35,000 shares vested immediately, resulting in a charge of approximately \$20,000. In addition, \$14,000 related to prior periods' grants to employees was recognized as an expense.

At September 30, 2012 and 2011 the number of unvested shares under this program totaled 52,500 for both years. At September 30, 2012, the Company had reserved 1,079,847 shares of common stock for issuance under various option, shares and warrant plans and arrangements.

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the Company granted warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock to a consultant for services, which warrants will expire in October 2016. These warrants have an exercise price of \$2.28 per share and vest in two equal annual installments.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (11) QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED):

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)	Fir	st Quarter	Se	econd Quarter	Th	ird Quarter	Fou	rth Quarter
Fiscal Year 2012								
Net revenues	\$	11,495	\$	12,619	\$	12,618	\$	12,461
Gross profit		1,567		1,298		1,590		1,142
Loss from operations		(210)		(564)		(625)		(752)
Loss from continuing operations(1)		(389)		(715)		(568)		(354)
Loss from discontinued operations				_				
Net loss		(389)		(715)		(568)		(354)
Basic and diluted loss per share from								
continuing operations(2)	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.04)
Net loss per share—Basic and								
diluted(2)	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.04)

	Fir	st Quarter	Se	econd Quarter	T	hird Quarter	Four	th Quarter
Fiscal Year 2011								
Net revenues	\$	10,575	\$	10,444	\$	10,579	\$	10,325
Gross profit		1,318		1,508		1,513		1,559
Loss from operations(3)(4)		(275)		(81)		(275)		(3,592)
Loss from continuing operations(3)(4)		(337)		(183)		(410)		(3,660)
Gain from discontinued operations		_		_		270		_
Net loss		(337)		(183)		(140)		(3,660)
Basic and diluted loss per share from								
continuing operations(2)	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.62)
Net loss per share—Basic and								
diluted(2)	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.62)

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes gain in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 on settlement of notes payable of \$0.5 million, not expected to recur.

# (12) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

As of September 30, 2012, DLH and its subsidiaries maintain a defined contribution and a supplemental pension plan.

As of January 1, 2004, DLH adopted the DLH 401(k) Plan (the "401(k) Plan") for the benefit of its eligible employees. Any DLH corporate (non worksite) employee is immediately eligible upon hire

<sup>(2)</sup> Note that the sum of the quarterly net loss per share amounts does not equal the full fiscal year net loss per share amount due to the effect of changes during the year in the number of shares outstanding.

<sup>(3)</sup> Reflects impairment charges in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 on tradename intangible assets of \$2.6 million.

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes strategic legal fees in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 of \$0.6 million not expected to regularly reoccur at a similar magnitude. Fiscal 2011 also includes \$0.4 million of non cash stock option expense of which \$0.3 occurred in the fourth quarter.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (12) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS: (Continued)

for participation in the 401(k) Plan. DLH may provide a discretionary matching contribution of 25% of each of the first 4% of a participant's elective contributions under the 401 (k) Plan. DLH recorded related expense of \$54 in fiscal 2012 and \$7 in fiscal year 2011. A participant is always fully vested in his or her elective contributions and vests in Company matching contributions over a four year period.

### (13) ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY:

A major customer is defined as a customer from which the Company derives at least 10% of its revenues. In each of the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, revenue from the U.S. Government accounted, either directly or in-directly, for 100% of total revenue. Our largest service line is healthcare, which accounted for approximately 54% and 45% of revenue in fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. Within the U.S. Government, our largest customer in fiscal 2012 continued to be the DVA, accounting for 95% of revenue in fiscal 2012 and 94% in fiscal 2011, with whom the Company held over a dozen contracts and/or task orders for logistics, pharmaceutical, and medical services, all subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulations. As further discussed below, during fiscal 2011, the Company was awarded work of up to \$145 million (unaudited) for pharmaceutical and other medical services during a period of up to five years which will both retain and expand its business with the DVA. Accordingly, DLH Solutions remains particularly dependent on the continuation of its relationship with the DVA.

The largest component of the awards discussed above comprised the award in May 2011 to DLH Solutions of a competitively bid Blanket Purchase Agreement contract with the DVA for pharmaceutical services which retains and expands work that accounted for approximately 45% of revenues for fiscal 2011. Work under the new contract began on November 1, 2011 and is expected toontinue for up to 5 years and generate revenue of up to \$145 million (unaudited). The Company also was awarded a contract in September 2011 to provide other medical services to the DVA of approximately \$10 million (unaudited) over five years. In addition, the Company also provides further services to the DVA under contracts which accounted for approximately 50% of revenues for fiscal 2012, which currently expire on September 30, 2013, in respect of which no request for proposals have yet been invited. Accordingly, the Company has in the past, and anticipates in the future, receiving sole source extensions of this work for an additional period of time. While the Company believes it is well positioned to continue its relationship with the DVA, no assurances can be given that the DVA would further extend our current orders for the provision of services, that we would be successful in any bid for new contracts to provide such services or that if we are granted subsequent orders, that such orders would be of a scope comparable to the services that we have provided to date. If the DVA does not further extend our current service contracts or we are not successful in our efforts to obtain contract awards pursuant to either the current or new solicitations for the provision of such services, our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition would be materially adversely affected. However, in such circumstances, the Company may be able to avail itself of a right to continue for an additional period beyond the expiration date as part of any protest filed by an interested party.

Accounts receivable from agencies of the United States Government totaled \$13.0 million and \$11.1 million at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of which \$9.3 and \$10.5 million was unbilled at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, including \$9.3 million for each period associated with potential contractual billings adjustments. As discussed in Note 9, included in revenue derived

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

# (13) ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY: (Continued)

from the Federal government in 2008 were retroactive adjustments that totaled \$10.8 million; \$9.3 million of this amount is included in accounts receivable (unbilled) at September 30, 2012 and 2011. Such revenue is not expected to recur in future periods.

### (14) MANAGEMENT TRANSITION:

On June 25, 2012, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Ms. Kathryn M. JohnBull pursuant to which she became the Company's Chief Financial Officer commencing on June 25, 2012. On June 25, 2012, the Company's employment of John E. Kahn, who had served as its Chief Financial Officer, terminated. On August 23, 2012, the Company entered into a separation agreement with Mr. Kahn, memorializing the terms of his departure from the Company.

The following is a description of the Company's employment agreement with Ms. JohnBull, which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such agreement.

The employment agreement is for an initial term of three years from its commencement date of June 25, 2012. Under the employment agreement, Ms. JohnBull will receive a base salary of \$225,000 per annum and may receive an annual bonus of up to 50% of base salary based on performance targets and other key objectives established by the Management Resources and Compensation Committee of the board of directors; however, \$31,000 of the annual bonus for her initial year of employment is guaranteed. The Company granted Ms. JohnBull options to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock under its 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended, subject to vesting. In the event of the termination of employment by us without "cause" or by her for "good reason", she will be entitled to a severance payment of 12 months of base salary and will be entitled to a payment equal to 12 months of base salary if her employment is terminated in connection with a change in control of the Company.

### (15) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

Effective as of November 15, 2012, the Company granted an aggregate of 52,500 shares of restricted stock to its non-executive directors, consistent with its compensation policy for non-executive directors. These shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Effective as of November 20, 2012, the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Company elected Austin J. Yerks III to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Yerks waselected as a Class 2 director and will serve for an initial term expiring at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2013 and until his successor shall have been duly elected and qualified. Mr. Yerks also was appointed to the Audit Committee and Strategic Planning Committee of the Board.

On November 21, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to amend certain of the terms and conditions of its existing employment agreement with its chief executive officer, Zachary C. Parker, the terms of which are summarized below. The following description of the amendment agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such agreement. The amendment provides for increases in Mr. Parker's base salary upon the achievement of certain performance conditions regarding the Company's operations and also extends the term of his current employment agreement to September 30, 2015. Pursuant to the Amendment, in the event the Company reports positive net income for a fiscal quarter ending prior to the expiration date of the amended term of the employment

### DLH HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

## (15) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS: (Continued)

agreement, as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, Mr. Parker shall receive a 5% increase in his base salary. Further, in the event that the Company subsequently continues to report positive net income on a quarterly basis (as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles) for two sequential quarterly periods, Mr. Parker's base salary shall be increased by an additional 5%. In addition, under the amendment, the Company and Mr. Parker have a mutual option, to be exercised prior to the new expiration date, to further extend the term of the employment agreement for an additional one year period. If the parties agree to exercise this right, the expiration date shall be extended to September 30, 2016 and the Company shall pay Mr. Parker a \$50,000 bonus.

In addition, the Company granted Mr. Parker options to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock under the Company's 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended. The options, to the extent vested, shall be exercisable for a period of ten years at the per share exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the effective date of the amendment. The options will vest in full if the closing price of the Company's Common Stock equals or exceeds the lesser of (i) \$4.00 per share or (ii) a per share price equal to 200% of the exercise price, in each case for ten consecutive trading days. Other than as modified by the amendment, the provisions of Mr. Parker's original employment agreement remain in full force and effect.

Management has evaluated subsequent events through the date that the Company's financial statements were issued. Based on this evaluation, the Company has determined that no other subsequent events have occurred which require disclosure through the date that these financial statements were issued.

## **EXHIBIT 21**

# DLH HOLDINGS CORP. SUBSIDIARIES OF REGISTRANT

DLH Solutions, Inc. (formerly known as TeamStaff Government Solutions, Inc.)

DSI Staff ConnXions Northeast, Inc.

DSI Staff ConnXions Southwest, Inc.

TeamStaff Rx, Inc

TeamStaff Solutions, Inc.

TeamStaff I, Inc.

TeamStaff II, Inc.

TeamStaff III, Inc.

TeamStaff IV, Inc.

TeamStaff V, Inc.

TeamStaff VI, Inc.

TeamStaff VIII, Inc.

TeamStaff IX, Inc.

TeamStaff Insurance Services, Inc.

Employer Support Services, Inc.

Digital Insurance Services, Inc.

HR2, Inc.

BrightLane.com, Inc.

EXHIBIT 21

<u>DLH HOLDINGS CORP. SUBSIDIARIES OF REGISTRANT</u>

**EXHIBIT 23.1** 

## CONSENT OF REGISTERED INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference of our report dated December 13, 2012 relating to the consolidated financial statements of DLH Holdings Corp. (the "Company") as of and for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K into the Company's previously filed Registration Statements on Form(s) S-3 (File Nos. 333-74478 and 333-120423) and Form(s) S-8 (File Nos. 333-178830, 333-73426 and 333-143951).

/s/ WithumSmith+Brown, PC
WithumSmith+Brown, PC

New York, New York December 13, 2012

EXHIBIT 23.1

CONSENT OF REGISTERED INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

**EXHIBIT 31.1** 


### Certification

# I, Zachary C. Parker, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of DLH Holdings Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 13, 2012

/s/ ZACHARY C. PARKER



**EXHIBIT 31.1** 

Certification

**EXHIBIT 31.2** 


### Certification

### I, Kathryn M. JohnBull, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of DLH Holdings, Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 13, 2012

/s/ KATHRYN M. JOHNBULL



**EXHIBIT 31.2** 

Certification

**EXHIBIT 32.1** 

# Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Fiscal Year End Report of DLH Holdings, Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending September 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, being, Zachary C. Parker, Chief Executive Officer, and Kathryn M. JohnBull, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss.1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Dated: December 13, 2012

/s/ ZACHARY C. PARKER

/s/ KATHRYN M. JOHNBULL

Zachary C. Parker Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) Kathryn M. JohnBull Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

EXHIBIT 32.1

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002